## MILITARY JUSTICE REVIEW PANEL (MJRP)

+ + + + +

10TH MEETING

+ + + + +

OPEN SESSION

+ + + + +

TUESDAY APRIL 23, 2024

+ + + + +

The Military Justice Review Panel met at the McChord Club, 700 Barnes Boulevard, Joint Base Lewis McChord, Washington, at 9:00 a.m., Dr. Elizabeth L. Hillman, Chair, presiding.

## PRESENT

Dr. Elizabeth Hillman, Chair
Capt (R) Benes Z. Aldana
Capt (R) Steven Barney\*
Col (R) Kirsten Brunson
MG (R) John R. Ewers, Jr.
Col (R) Will A. Gunn
Judge Bruce E. Kasold\*
MG (R) Robert Kenny
Col (R) Tara Osborn
Judge James Redford
Capt (R) Bryan Schroder
Judge Jeri K. Somers

#### ALSO PRESENT

Mr. Louis Pete Yob, Director

Ms. Stacy A. Boggess, Senior Paralegal

Ms. Jennifer Campbell, Chief of Staff

Ms. Alice Falk, Technical Writer-Editor\*

Ms. Breyana N. Franklin, Communication Specialist

Mr. David Gruber, Deputy General Counsel,
Personnel and Health Policy

Ms. Nalini Gupta, Staff Attorney

Ms. Amanda Hagy, Senior Paralegal

Mr. Michael Libretto, Deputy Director

Mr. Chuck Mason, Staff Attorney\*

Ms. Janelle McLaughlin, Paralegal

Mr. Blake A. Morris, Paralegal

Ms. Meghan Peters, Staff Attorney

Ms. Stayce D. Rozell, Senior Paralegal

Ms. Ruth Vetter, Associate General Counsel,
Personnel and Health Policy

Ms. Eleanor Magers Vuono, Staff Attorney

### PRESENTERS

Judge Arenda Allen\*

Commander Rene Cano Jr., U.S. Navy

Command Master Chief Shane Carroll, U.S. Coast Guard

Sergeant Major Kevin A. Fontenot, U.S. Marine Corps

Colonel Andrew Gallo, U.S. Army

Chief Master Sergeant Timothey Hodgin, U.S. Air Force

Judge Grady Leupold

Captain Mark McDonnell, U.S. Coast Guard

Lieutenant Colonel Ian Dunlap, U.S. Marine Corps Command Sergeant Major Raymond Lathan, U.S. Army

Colonel David Morales, U.S. Air Force

Command Master Chief Benjamin Rushing, U.S. Navy

Judge Jim Stonier

Judge James Andrew Wynn

<sup>\*</sup>attended virtually

# CONTENTS

Panel	Discussio	n with	Commanders	•		•			•	. 4
	Discussion and Edvisor		Senior		•	•		•		.98
			Practition Experience		5 W	<i>i</i> it	h			

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(9:36 a.m.)

MR. LIBRETTO: We're going to be moving into our first public session of this 10th Meeting of the Military Justice Review Panel. And I'm going to turn it over now to Ms. Meghan Peters, the staff lead for this panel.

Thank you.

MS. PETERS: All right. Good morning, everyone. And thank you to our panel of commanders for joining us here today. During this 90-minute session we will be discussing command perspectives on issues that will help this panel conduct the comprehensive review of the military justice system.

The topics include, but are certainly not limited to, the effectiveness of courtsmartial; non-judicial or adverse administrative actions in the maintenance of good order and discipline; perspectives on the Offices of Special Trial Counsel; procedures for reporting sexual assault and sexual harassment, and;

1 sentencing options available at courts-martial. 2 With that, I'd like to introduce our 3 distinguished speakers who serve in command billets in and around Joint Base Lewis-McChord. 4 5 All right. We have Colonel Ian Dunlap from the United States Marine Corps. 6 7 We have Commander Rene Cano, Jr., from 8 the United States Navy. 9 We have Colonel Andrew Gallo, United 10 States Army. 11 Colonel David Morales, United States 12 Air Force. 13 And Captain Mark McDonnell, United 14 States Coast Guard. 15 Thank you, gentlemen. 16 Dr. Hillman, before we begin the 17 question and answer session I just want to turn 18 the floor to you if you have anything to add. 19 CHAIR HILLMAN: Thanks, Meghan. 20 I just want to thank you all for 21 joining us. It's incredibly important that we 22 have a chance to hear from you.

I appreciate Ms. Peters and our whole staff, you know, sharing some questions ahead of time so you have a sense of what we're looking for and just encourage you to help us do a better job of understanding what's happening right now in military justice and what the impact of the changes that have been made are having on you, the changes that actually are related to military law which we're most especially concerned with.

But we realize that law doesn't operate in a vacuum, and you are seeing all kinds of changes out there that are altering the force that you're leading, the challenges that you're navigating, the missions that you're fulfilling. And those things make a difference in what should happen in military justice, too.

So, sharing your insight about what you see out there right now and what you think is ahead will really help us do a better job trying to understand what's out there right now, and advise the lawmakers and other decision-makers who are influencing this climate of military

1 justice to make good decisions moving ahead about 2 -- that will make you and your troops, you know, 3 your folks more successful down the road. Thanks, Meghan. 4 Okay. MS. PETERS: All right. I'm going to 5 begin this question and answer session. 6 And the 7 first topic: your perspectives on the military 8 justice system's tools for accountability and 9 maintaining good order and discipline. 10 So, what are the biggest challenges 11 you face when dealing with good order and 12 discipline in your units? 13 I'm going to open it up to the group. 14 We're not going to go in any particular order. 15 And if anyone would like to speak to the biggest 16 challenges you face dealing with good order and 17 discipline, then give everybody else a chance to 18 answer as well. 19 Colonel Gallo? I'll kick that off. 20 COL GALLO: Sure. 21 I think, so I'll answer it in general terms. 22 So, I think having had a chance to

reflect on this year prior to this panel and kind of talk about it as well, I think one of the challenges we face is just from an education perspective. And that's subordinate leaders or junior leaders understanding the full array and slate of tools that they have at their disposal in accordance with the regulations.

What we notice is that sometimes junior leaders are not necessarily, you know, fully educated on that array of tools, and so sometimes they'll jump to a tool that perhaps is not most appropriate for the infraction or the potential infraction that we're attempting to deal with.

So, what we've noticed is that it pays great dividend --

MR. LIBRETTO: Sir, I'm sorry to interrupt you. It's Mike Libretto.

Can you turn on your microphone?

COL GALLO: I must have turned it off.

How's that? Oh, that's so much

better. That's so much better. Okay.

Thank you so much.

So, in short, we've noticed that there's an education gap amongst some of our leaders in terms of understanding that the tools at their disposal to deal with incidents or infractions amongst soldiers in the formation.

And so, we found some, some opportunities to engage in leader professional development sessions with our team and our junior leaders to kind of educate them on some of these tools available. And I think this applies all the way up through the major level.

I think many of our lieutenant colonels have had the opportunity to attend the Army's Senior Officer Legal Orientation. I myself was fortunate to attend that before battalion command, and then required to attend it before brigade command. For me, that was the most kind of instructive to help me understand the tools available.

So, not all of our leaders have that opportunity. And that's just kind of one of the

1 gaps that we've identified. 2 I'll pause there. Thanks. MS. PETERS: Does anyone have anything 3 to add similar to that or something, a different 4 5 perspective. COL MORALES: Colonel Morales, United 6 7 States Air Force. 8 I would say, you know, one of my 9 concerns is definitely consistency and efficiency. And so, I think it's critical for 10 11 commanders to give intent, to kind of inform, you 12 know, what they need for good order and 13 discipline in the unit to execute mission. 14 Consistency I think is a tough one because you then delegate authorities down to 15 16 individual squadron commanders to execute non-

judicial punishment. And so, ultimately what you want to see is consistency.

I think it's a tough decision between finding consistency in something and then also efficiency. You also want to try and get justice as quick as possible to ensure that the focus can

17

18

19

20

21

then get back to mission.

Sometimes when you're in pursuit in courts-martial that can take an extended period of time. And so, there are, there are obvious times where you pursue other methods of non-judicial punishment in the effort of efficiency.

Thank you.

MS. PETERS: Captain McDonnell?

CAPT McDONNELL: Yes, thank you.

Good morning, everyone.

Just to amplify what Colonel Morales said, for the Coast Guard in particular we have smaller units. Some of our Coast Guard cutters only have 10 or 12 people on it. So, from a good order and discipline perspective it's also managing the unit readiness. If one person has to fall out of a unit for a particular reason, that is a particular challenge for that unit. So, it's making it a smooth and efficient process and being able to move quickly.

As Colonel Morales said, sometimes some of these cases can take quite an amount,

lengthy amount of time. So, it's managing that and, you know, making sure that our unit readiness is not impacted significantly when we do have a more egregious case where somebody may have to be removed from the vessel or a unit.

Thank you.

LTCOL DUNLAP: Good morning, all. Lieutenant Colonel Dunlap.

I would echo the comments of these gentlemen largely with the timeliness piece.

That's our biggest frustration. We have a number of -- we have an interesting command here where

I'm coming from, it's almost 50/50 Marines and sailors, over a thousand young folks.

And when we deal with disciplinary issues that we do deal with, it takes quite a while to get through the process and procedures to see that those individuals are, are dealt with, you know, to instill a good order and discipline in the units.

So, the timeliness is a big piece of it, the education as well, and the consistency as

2.1

well. Again, having Navy and Marine Corps
officers I think sometimes we look at things a
little bit differently. So, it's a challenge for
our command specifically.

But, again, just echo the comments of these gentlemen.

CDR CANO: Hi. Good morning. Rene Cano.

I'd like some of the things that we've discussed, I've seen that, too, just the timeliness of the process sometimes it takes months to get justice. And in the meantime some of these sailors are kind of on this legal hold because I can't deploy them, will either get in additional trouble or then we start getting into some mental health issues that we have to address.

Because of this kind of waiting, they can't do their job. They're, you know, they're just, you know, out of the, out of the formation, as you will, as we said earlier.

So, I think timeliness is the biggest

1 factor when it comes to, you know, doing this, 2 especially the OTC process. 3 That's all. Thank you. COL (R) BRUNSON: I have a quick 4 5 question. Right here. CHAIR HILLMAN: Colonel Brunson. 6 7 COL (R) BRUNSON: Yes. 8 Do any of the other services have 9 anything like the SOLO, the Senior Officer Legal Orientation? I know the Army does it. 10 I don't 11 know if other services come to our JAG School or 12 if you offer anything like that for your 13 commanders. 14 COL MORALES: Yes, ma'am. I'll jump 15 in. 16 I'll say, so, pre-command training, so 17 whether they're pursuing an operations group 18 command, basically at the 06 level, anyone who 19 has gone through that type of command will go to 20 Maxwell Air Force Base and they do go through the 21 SOLO course as well where they sit with the 22 senior ranking JAG and discuss various legal

1 topics that are on the plate with the Air Force 2 at the time. 3 CDR CANO: I'll go that, too. For the 4 Navy Submarine Force in particular, like, our XOs 5 and COs, and even the major commanders, the 06 commanders, will go through the Senior Justice 6 7 School in Newport. So, that's the Navy's process. 8 LTCOL DUNLAP: Same for the Marine 9 I went to the Senior Leader Legal Corps, ma'am. 10 Course in Newport, Rhode Island. But it was about 11 three days and it was a firehose. 12 13 MS. PETERS: All right, thank you. 14 Colonel Osborn, you have a question? 15 COL (R) OSBORN: Yes. Thanks, Ms. 16 Peters. 17 We love to sell our course at the JAG 18 School, and glad that you all went to it. 19 what about the junior commanders, what about the 20 captains, how are we educating them? And how are 21 we keeping them involved in the process of good

order and discipline?

I've got to say, I'm thinking, you know, an analogy of privatized housing, you know, and so, you know, when privatized housing happened, I mean, we kind of said, okay, well, good, we don't have to deal with that anymore.

And, you know, we saw what happened with privatized housing.

How do we keep those junior commanders involved in the process? And how do we educate them so that they continue to be involved and lead in the good order and discipline frame?

COL GALLO: Yes, ma'am. Appreciate the question.

So, so there are some things that the Army does in the schoolhouse in the normal progression that our officers progress through.

So, there's a portion of the specific to the captains of the Captain Career Course within each of the branches where those captains will have an introduction to some of the basic tenets of military justice.

But, frankly, I don't think they

really get a good grasp of it until they're at the unit level and they have an opportunity to engage on an array of different cases.

One way we get after the leader development perspective is we've got essentially a Company Commander First Sergeant Course that's run here at our Division Headquarters, and then is also run at the corps level as well here on JBLM. And there's a portion of that that focuses specifically more on the practical side of some of the various actions that commanders will be involved in, and some of the decision making they have to execute.

Frankly, we have also added to that within our own leader professional development programs. At the unit level we've identified gaps in knowledge, and then we've conducted sessions focused on those gaps in knowledge.

For example, we just did one recently on investigations. And, specifically, we identified that there's sometimes a tendency for commanders to jump directly to the AR 15-6

investigation. And you know all the kind of the second and third order effects of doing that.

But, of course, there's a tool, R.C.M.

303, commander's inquiry, that we identify that
many commanders who are out of formation,
primarily at the captain level, just, frankly,
were unfamiliar with this potential tool.

So, we had a leader professional development session on investigations. We had a great discussion around investigations. And then we were able to kind of illustrate different components of this.

And I think it's about you kind of have to circle the wagons on that multiple times over a 2-year command tenure because your captain population is rotating. I have 37 company commanders, and they'll rotate through at least twice. So, it's about 75 to 100 captains in command over a 2-year tenure. And we're kind of always looking to circle back to reeducate.

Thanks, ma'am.

COL (R) OSBORN: Excellent. Thanks.

MS. PETERS: All right.

This is a two-part question. First is what are the main types of disciplinary issues that you deal with in your unit?

And can you speak to whether the tools that you have at your disposal are working well to address those, those types of misconduct? Or whether you would recommend any changes in policy or practice to deal with those most pressing disciplinary issues?

LTCOL DUNLAP: I'll lead off.

I've got a significant number of disciplinary issues in my unit. So, again, I've got over a thousand young folks, Marines and sailors. We've got I would say the large, the vast majority are alcohol-related incidents that lead to other issues. Also drugs. You know, Washington State, legal to buy marijuana. Not legal under the UCMJ. So, we've got drugs and alcohol issues.

We've got hazing issues. We've got racial and sexual harassment issues. We've got

sexual assault issues. All the things that you would find with a thousand 18 to 22 year olds on a college campus somewhere, unfortunately.

So, those, those take up a significant amount of the leadership's time, my time, time that would be the battalion leadership, the company leadership.

The second part of that question, recommended changes to policy and practice.

We're dealing with the issues as best we can at our level. You know, a number of the more significant issues, obviously, are over to the OSTC. I know we've got some gentlemen in the audience there that are actually working some of the cases for my command.

You know, what we can handle at our level we handle at our level. I can't speak necessarily to whether we need policy changes. I would just, as we're sitting here talking about what can we do better, again, the timeliness.

I've got Marines and sailors that are, that are suspects that are still walking around amongst

their victims.

I've got Marines and sailors that have participated in misconduct, and their fellow Marines and sailors that are doing the right things see that they are still walking amongst the Marines and sailors that are doing the right things. And that's frustrating for the leadership, it's frustrating for the Marines and sailors that are doing the right things.

And, you know, I will tell you, the vast majority of our Marines and sailors are doing the right things. But it's that small percentage that are not doing the right things that are still walking amongst the rest of the crowd, that impact the good order and discipline, and the morale of the unit, and the effectiveness of the unit. And that's frustrating for all of us.

So, I don't know that I can speak to necessarily recommend policy changes. But, again, just the timeliness piece of it and getting Marines and sailors who have committed

1 some form of misconduct to take care of that in a 2 more timely, efficient matter. 3 CAPT (R) SCHRODER: Meghan. MS. PETERS: Thank you. 4 5 Go ahead, Captain Schroder. CAPT (R) SCHRODER: I don't know if 6 7 anybody else, it would be helpful for me, I don't think we saw or you all now kind of told us what 8 9 your jobs are. So, I hear a thousand Marines and 10 sailors and I'm just not sure where that's coming 11 from. 12 LTCOL DUNLAP: Yes, sir. 13 So, very interesting, unique command 14 where I'm coming from, Marine Corps Security 15 Force Battalion, Bangor, Washington. So, right up the road here. And it is over a thousand 16 17 young Marines and sailors. 18 The Marines are primarily infantry 19 Marines. And the sailors are master-at-arms. 20 And we are, our mission is to 21 safeguard strategic weapons and assets up there

at Bangor. And so, it's a very unique command.

There's only two of them in the world, Bangor, Washington and Kings Bay, Georgia.

CDR CANO: Rene Cano. I'm in command of Submarine Readiness Squadron 3-1. Our primary mission is to ensure that all subMarines are ready, and the logistics and medical realms.

I have a number of collateral duties.

One of those is I have the only Staff Judge

Advocate between two O-6 commanders who actually,
the commodores that own the subMarines, and O-5s
who captain the subMarines.

So, for about 2,600 sailors my JAG runs all those cases. So, he's processing I'd say almost 50 cases at any given time across the entire spectrum of sexual assault to non-covered offenses.

So, and then I'm also the repository for a lot of those sailors. So, they come off the ships and I have about 50 of those personnel either there for legal or medical reasons can't be on a submarine. So, I hold them and I keep them busy.

1	COL GALLO: I command 2nd Stryker
2	Brigade.
3	JUDGE REDFORD: Colonel, I have a
4	question
5	COL GALLO: I'm sorry.
6	JUDGE REDFORD: I'd like to
7	interpose.
8	Thank you for all your service and
9	your leadership for these sons and daughters of
10	America. We appreciate it greatly.
11	I would be curious to know what's the
12	time period, just, you know, not exactly it's 172
13	days or whatever, but from incident to
14	completion. If when you're talking to us we hear
15	it's a long period, I'd like to know what you
16	think what does that mean to each of you?
17	We don't have to start over, but if we
18	could work that in I'd be very grateful.
19	COL GALLO: Absolutely.
20	So, my name is Colonel Gallo. I
21	command 2nd Stryker Brigade here at JBLM. 2nd
22	Stryker Brigade is an infantry brigade, a

warfighting brigade. We have about 4,500 soldiers, so incidents span the whole gamut that you'd expect to see in a city of 4,500.

Just to answer, I'll answer both questions here quickly.

So, about 40 percent of our incidents over the last year involved alcohol. We are a unit that redeployed from a year in Korea this past summer. So, about 10 months ago unit redeployed from Korea.

And so, to get at the specific question what types of disciplinary issues do we mainly deal with in our unit? It's mainly DUIs and allegations of domestic violence. And, perhaps unsurprisingly, in the 90 days or so after the unit redeployed is when we saw a significant spike in our DUIs. And we saw a significant spike in our domestic violence allegations.

And so, we've had some success in the last six months in bringing those numbers way down, but those are still the primary infractions

that we deal with.

From a policy perspective what I'll say is the DUIs we're relatively successful at processing them quickly. So, to answer your question specifically, let's say a soldier got a DUI of a severity that we thought warranted administrative separation, kind of the protocol that we seek to follow is within 45 days.

There's a policy letter signed by our division commander that lays out a construct to do that. Obviously, it's challenging to separate, but that would be 45 days. And if you could do it in that 45 days, I would say that's fast. That would be success, depending on how you look at it.

That being said, let's say, for example, a soldier got a DUI off post and perhaps refused a Breathalyzer, and instead took the blood test. The blood test will take 6 to 10 to 12 months to come back through the state. And so, you could potentially have someone, you know, on your books, you know, for upwards of a year

waiting on the results of that particular blood test to move forward with that admin separation.

And then from a policy perspective is there anything I would change to get after these incidents? Nothing specifically.

But what I will say is, as we know, domestic violence is a covered offense with OSTC now. And I'm sure we'll talk about this here shortly. But relatively new to the OSTC process, so it remains to be seen how that plays out. So, I don't, I don't have any specific recommendations there. But I do know that there is a throughput challenge for OSTC right now just based on the volume of cases, particularly the volume of domestic violence cases in and around JBLM, and probably most Army posts.

Thanks.

MS. PETERS: Colonel Morales and Captain McDonnell, if you could talk a little bit about your unit mission, and address, I guess, questions about the types of disciplinary issues you're seeing.

Thanks.

COL MORALES: Absolutely.

So, Colonel David Morales. I am the Acting Wing Commander at the 62nd Airlift Wing, so 40 C-17s used in both a strategic and tactical mission set. 2,400 active duty airmen underneath me.

And then I am currently serving as the Air Force Senior Service Component Commander and court-martial convening authority for 7,000 total force individuals.

What that includes is when I say that, there's a bunch of tenant Air Force units on the base as well. There's the Western Air Defense Sector. We also have the 446th classic reserve associated with three flying squadrons in their unit as well.

I would say the majority of our cases, to give you an example, within the last three years we've had approximately 100 non-judicial NJP-type incidents. And then the majority of our incidents when it comes to military justice has

to do with drug use, drug possession, and then domestic violence.

Overseeing the case management group in the Sexual Assault Preparedness and Response Office, over an annual period we probably see around 20 or so sexual assaults.

I would like to comment just on when we talk about the tools available to us, there is somewhat of a nuanced approach being a tenant unit on a joint base, obviously a lot smaller in the wing than on the Army side.

So, what we have is we have Air Force Office of Special Investigations that cover large offenses, most felony level crimes.

For everything else under the Joint
Base Lewis-McChord MOA, the Army MPI, Military
Police Investigations, investigates all those
other cases. And so, when we're talking about
small things such as sexual harassment type
investigations, the Air Force actually has no
investigation arm under Security Forces. And so,
we rely on our Army brothers and sisters to do

that.

And sometimes just because of bandwidth they're extremely limited.

So, for example, there are times where sexual harassment cases will go over to Fairchild for investigation, and they will assist us in that manner.

But Colonel Gallo mentioned it, kind of the time that it takes to wrap up, for example, toxicology reports if someone refuses a Breathalyzer can take upwards of 12 months. And so, we are waiting on that time. And that's a big LIMFAC when we're looking to try to, again, turn cases quickly, be efficient, and then get stuff back to mission.

Thank you.

COL (R) OSBORN: I just want to confirm. During that 12 months time the soldier or the airman, he's flagged; right? Okay? So, he's non-deployable during that 12 months time?

COL MORALES: Yes, ma'am.

COL (R) OSBORN: And is there still a

1 policy in effect that if you hit the 12 month 2 mark, and you're non-deployable there's a 3 mandatory initiation of separation action? Ts that still in effect? 4 COL MORALES: That is correct. 5 We actually receive a roll-up where we 6 will have individuals who are shown as non-7 deployable. And then, I mean, it definitely 8 flags it to senior level attention to either take 9 10 action or pursue discharge. COL (R) OSBORN: So, this whole time 11 12 when he's pending that toxicology report out in 13 King County or Pierce County, the soldier is 14 flagged, no favorable info -- no favorable, no 15 PCS, no training, no education courses, just on 16 your books in your units pretty much? 17 COL MORALES: There is a couple 18 creative ways we can, we can gainfully employ the 19 individual for the most part. For the most part, 20 yes, ma'am. 21 COL (R) OSBORN: Okay. All right,

thanks.

1 MS. PETERS: Captain McDonnell. 2 CAPT McDONNELL: Good morning. 3 Captain McDonnell. So, I'm the 4 Commander of Sector Puget Sound for the U.S. Coast Guard. So, our region includes the state 5 of Washington, Montana, and Northern Idaho. 6 So, 7 we have 14 subordinate units that are stationed 8 throughout the state of Washington throughout the 9 sound and on the coast, primarily doing search 10 and rescue, law enforcement, pollution response, 11 and other suite of Coast Guard missions. 12 So, that's 600 people that includes 13 civilians and reservists as well, but mostly 14 active duty members serving at our 14 units. 15 What my colleagues here stated is 16 pretty much the range that we see in terms of 17 some of the offenses, from very minor, 18 adjudicated within a few weeks, usually typically 19 using NJP authorities that I hold, but all four 20 teams, four of the units hold NJP authority. We have a mix of officer commands and 21 22 what we call officer-in-charge, or officers-incharge, which are enlisted members that are in command of vessels and stations, which is a little bit unique for the Coast Guard.

In terms of timing, I mentioned a few weeks for NJP, and then up to, you know, several months to over a year for some of those more egregious cases that might be of the sexual assault nature or domestic violence, whether they be on the civil side being investigated that way or through the Coast Guard.

Our primary investigative arm is the Coast Guard Investigative Service, or CGIS. And the minor offenses at our unit level are typically investigated by Coast Guard members.

Thank you.

LTCOL DUNLAP: Again I would echo the comments of these gentlemen.

What I can handle in my level NJP, very expeditious. But when it goes to something outside of my control, OSTC or what have you, months, you know, months to a year.

I've not been in the seat quite a year

yet, but I had Marines and sailors on my legal tracker when I came into the command who had been in a holding pattern for months. And they are just now being adjudicated. And I've been there, you know, going on, going on a year now.

We have a sailor who refused a
Breathalyzer who's been sitting for eight months
because we can't get the blood results from out
in town. So, yes, 6 to 8 to 12 months or more.
And, again, these are for generally the more
egregious cases that we cannot handle at our
level expeditiously.

CDR CANO: From my perspective I see a lot of domestic violence and sexual assault.

Those are the most of the different types of cases we see.

Some of those cases that have gone to court-martial, I can think of three right now that are about two years in the process, so.

However, for some of the cases that are deferred back to the command, that turnaround time is about 3 to 5, 6 months sometimes.

The only policy change I would recommend for that process, for the cases which are going to be deferred if the decisional authority were at the Special Trial Counsel level instead of the Chief Special Trial Counsel level, so possibly at the 0-4 level, those be deferred back to the command. Given that person's overall, the Special Trial Counsel's overall experience and, you know, seeing these cases all the time, I think we may see a quicker turnaround if the ones that were going to be deferred were a shorter time line.

MS. PETERS: You all have discussed administrative separations and processing times for courts-martial. In your experience are administrative separations being used when a court-martial might otherwise be more appropriate for disposing of the offense?

And, if so, is this due to the lengthy process of getting a case through courts-martial?

Does anyone have any comments or any

experience with that issue?

1 LTCOL DUNLAP: I would say yes and yes. 2 MS. PETERS: Anyone besides Colonel 3 Dunlap have any experience in the relative efficacy? 4 5 CDR CANO: Yeah. T believe administrative separation has kind of become the 6 7 de facto punishment in a lot of cases. 8 Only there's one case that I know of 9 that has been afforded courts-martial by the OSTC 10 in my area. Everything else has been deferred 11 back. 12 Sorry, I'm drawing a blank here. MS. PETERS: Does anyone have anything 13 14 to add on the administrative separation and use of that process? 15 16 COL MORALES: Yes, ma'am. 17 I'll say that when you're, when a 18 commander is deciding whether or not to take some 19 of these drug offenses to court, you know, if you 20 look statistically at what we've done in the Air Force, you know, you have, you see a history of 21

very low acquittals and low sentencing rates.

That, coupled with the duration of time to run the process, and then also the cost, significant cost to get expert witnesses to testify on behalf of toxicology, those I think are deterrents to sending it towards court-martial.

And then, as I discussed in the very beginning, you know, in terms of efficiency in closing the case, good order and discipline in a unit and having, you know, a swift response to something as grievous as, you know, drug use and drug possession in the Air Force.

COL GALLO: I think prior to OSTC it was probably, there was probably a likelihood that in some cases units would be pursuing admin separation in lieu of court-martial because of the lengthy time delays that have been discussed.

I think one significant benefit of OSTC that I see from my seat now is we are getting that kind of third independent party look, which is kind of validating our approach.

And so, I've actually found that to be beneficial just from the extent of making sure we're doing

1 our due diligence to consider all the tools that 2 are possible. MS. PETERS: All right. And one more 3 note on administrative separations. 4 5 In your view, is the administrative separation process in the boards, are they fair 6 7 to the service member who is subject to that administrative action? 8 9 Do you have any comments on the 10 process from the standpoint of the service member 11 respondent? CDR CANO: In the few boards that I've 12 seen the results of, I think, other service 13 14 members are they see it more as beyond a 15 reasonable doubt kind of calculation than a 16 preponderance of the evidence. So, because of 17 that it's a very high bar to actually get a board to separate an individual. 18 19 COL MORALES: I would say it's a very 20 I mean, the recommendation is fair process. 21 given by the immediate commander. It goes 22 through a full legal review through the JAG

Corps. And then, ultimately it comes up to, you know, the senior service commander for ultimate decision.

I will say oftentimes when I'm reviewing those packages I see rebuttals from the service member themselves. And where they have done their due diligence in terms of research, you know, the possibility of rehabilitation for some of these drug cases we get into. And so, you really have to show that you meet all the criteria. But those are things that are taken, taken a look at under very close scrutiny from all levels.

MS. PETERS: Anyone else?

Colonel Brunson has a question.

COL (R) BRUNSON: This is kind of taking a left turn a little bit. But looking at non-judicial punishment, Article 15s, I'll ask it like this: what is your understanding of the standard of proof in an Article 15?

And do you think that differs from what the service member thinks it is?

(Pause.)

COL (R) BRUNSON: I didn't know it was going to be that hard.

CDR CANO: I think the hard part is understanding what the service member thinks, you know. Because we're kind of detached as a commander from that explanation part.

But I know for -- this is my personal, you know, having run the NJPs, Article 15s, is that it's a preponderance of the evidence situation from my perspective. I'm not sure what the sailors believe.

COL GALLO: Tracking the same, whether or not the soldier understands that is difficult to tell. I think that Trial Defense Service articulates that to the soldier when they go through the process. And I think our legal team does as well immediately prior to the execution of non-judicial punishment.

But it's probably something for me to look into to know a little bit better, ma'am.

LTCOL DUNLAP: I was promised I

1 wouldn't be quizzed, ma'am. 2 (Laughter.) 3 LTCOL DUNLAP: But the same. The same, ma'am. 4 5 And, you know, I've run a number of NJPs, and captain's mast for Marines, sailors 6 7 respectfully. And, you know, on occasion I have 8 a marine or sailor says, no, I'm not guilty. 9 And whether that's them not taking 10 accountability for their actions, or whether they 11 actually think that, no, they're not guilty, I 12 can't speak to that necessarily. But, you know, 13 we believe it's fair and impartial, and 14 preponderance of the evidence. 15 COL (R) BRUNSON: And I'll tell you, 16 the reason I asked the question is because as a 17 former defense counsel many, many times the soldiers that I defended viewed the Article 15 as 18 19 a given. Like, the commander already thinks I'm 20 quilty, it's just a chance for me to stand in 21 front of them. Right?

And so, I'm just wondering how you

view, and whether it's changed. With the changes in the UCMJ and the changes in the processes have you seen or do you anticipate any change in the number of non-judicial punishment turndowns in favor of trial?

Especially if, you know, we're looking at preponderance of the evidence at NJP versus beyond a reasonable doubt at trial, I'm wondering if you see or anticipate any impact on that process?

that appear here lately, Marines and sailors who have refused NJP because they think that they will go to court-martial and win. Absolutely.

COL GALLO: I haven't seen any change recently, ma'am. But it does, it does kind of bring to mind the options that commanders have now once OSTC defers a case. And so, obviously, we are receiving a number of deferent -- deferments, and we're learning about what our options are, what our options are.

And I think one of the things we've

realized right up front is that non-judicial punishment in the form of a field grade Article

15 is likely not a proven option once a case has been deferred because, essentially, it is already past that court-martial litmus test. So, if the soldier was to take the court-martial it would be problematic.

So, I think that's something that we're in dialog with, with OSTC now. But I'm not sure how that's going to play out over time, ma'am.

CAPT McDONNELL: Just from my

perspective, I don't see any increase of refusal.

We do have a mix of vessels for our Coast Guard,

so they can, they cannot refuse mast or NJP on

the vessel side.

But we have not seen any increase or any concern there.

And I have not seen a disconnect in terms of whether people that have gone to captain's mast have not understood what that preponderance of the evidence is. It's in our,

1 our script when we actually -- if we do impose 2 NJP it's something that is discussed in the 3 script. Thanks. 4 5 CDR CANO: Just to piggyback on that 6 one. 7 So, my experience kind of my senior enlisted leader definitely communicates to those 8 9 awaiting NJP that if they go to court-martial the punishment is a whole other level. 10 11 So, I think that in a way is a 12 deterrent to a court-martial day. Although I 13 have many sailors, I haven't seen one that has 14 refused NJP, and they could have. 15 Yes, ma'am. 16 MS. PETERS: A follow-up question to 17 that, gentlemen. 18 Has anyone ever personally experienced 19 or knows of a commander who found somebody not 20 guilty at an NJP proceeding? 21 COL GALLO: Yes. Myself. 22 Yeah, just obviously I don't, don't

1 see many of those now in my current position. 2 But, you know, as a battalion commander 3 considered the merits of every case. And, you know, some percentage of those cases were not 4 5 guilty. MS. PETERS: Does anyone have anything 6 7 to add? 8 COL MORALES: Yeah. Same here. 9 CAPT McDONNELL: I've had the same 10 experience. I've had, held masts before and 11 dismissed the case, so. MS. PETERS: All right, thank you. 12 13 Let's turn now to the Special Trial 14 Counsel, this is your interaction with them has 15 already begun. And you've mentioned that in your 16 comments thus far. 17 Can you speak just broadly to general 18 effects of the establishment of the Office of 19 Special Trial Counsel has had on the way you 20 handle cases involving covered offenses, that

being sexual assault and other serious violent

felony offenses, talking about the relationships

21

and the way you, again, handle those within your units?

And that can be through comments on your communication with folks in those offices.

But, please, what are your observations now that that program is up and running?

Thank you.

COL GALLO: For me it hasn't directly changed how I operate or the level or nature of information and I receive -- that I receive.

The one big difference is that the charging decision is now made outside of the chain of command. That's really the fundamental difference.

Myself, I don't have any direct contact with OSTC. I suppose I could if I wanted to. But right now I use the legal chain. So, my brigade judge advocate has established a pretty functional and effective relationship with the OSTC here at JBLM. As I understand it, they're in routine contact to manage the caseload.

I think, and I mentioned it before,

but just considering, you know, if there is a friction point with OSTC, from my perspective what I would just say right now is just a caseload and the time it's taking to turn.

We know there was an effective date for OSTC to stand up. But OSTC also has reached back into the past prior to that effective date, which has greatly increased the total number of cases that it's moving through.

As I understand it, there's about three or four individuals here at JBLM that sit in the OSTC. And I cannot even imagine what their volume of cases are, just given the magnitude of the number of soldiers on this post.

The one direct communication that I do get from OSTC, and I assume maybe it's similar for the whole team up here, is I, is I get an automated email which basically informs me that OSTC is going to be moving forward with a case.

It's a do not reply email, but on occasion I get an automated email that says, in the case of Private Smith we are moving forward.

We are taking on this case.

It goes just directly to me.

Typically what I do with it is I forward it to the battalion command team that is responsible for the soldier, and then I loop in my legal chain so that we all have visibility over it.

I don't think anyone is a huge fan of those automated emails, but at least it's a piece of information which gives us some situational awareness over where they are in the process.

MS. PETERS: Thanks.

Does anyone have any other comments?

COL MORALES: I would say on the Air

Force side it's really way too early. We have

seen no communication coming back from OSTC in

terms of some of the cases that they do have with

the Air Force.

You know, that cutoff which Colonel Gallo referred to, 28 December, we did see a couple deferrals just then drawing a line in the sand in terms of the case they didn't want to take on.

One thing that we are promised, though, in terms of information flow from our JAG Corps is that, you know, when those deferrals do come back that it will have very specific guidance as to why. And so, that's something that I'm interested in learning about why, you know, cases potentially would be deferred from OSTC. And then going back to the commander to determine, you know, what we're going to do with that case where OSTC has decided not to act.

Thank you.

MS. PETERS: Anyone else?

LTCOL DUNLAP: I'll add one comment.

The colonel mentioned consistency earlier. And, again, I'm from a command that's Marine and Navy. We're dealing right now with OSTC offices, for Marines for a Marine OSTC office, and for sailors with a Navy OSTC office. So, it's been unusual.

And, again, that's maybe unique to my command because of how we're structured. But I would agree with Colonel Morales as well, just,

you know, we're early on so I haven't had a great deal of interaction with them.

You know, we have folks on our legal tracker. And every week it's still with NCIS, or still with OSTC. And, you know, again I realize we have some in the audience there that are working on the cases right now, so.

But the consistency piece is also a concern for me when I'm working with Marines and sailors that are going to different offices for the same offenses.

CHAIR HILLMAN: I want to follow up on something Colonel Morales said.

You have a sense of the tempo and the pace of how the changes are being implemented, and also the tempo and pace of issues that rise up in the transition of personnel, including legal and command personnel, you know, in the different ranks and spaces that you're working in.

If you were in our chairs, how much time would be enough to actually know how OSTC

is, in its many permutations across the services and units and geographic spaces, how much time do we need to be able to tell how things are going? How much data?

CDR CANO: Yeah, I think that it's kind of first on the investigation side. So, streamlining that process, that's the first place I would look if I was sitting on that side of the table is, like, how do we get our investigations throughput higher so we can get the facts to get that data to make a decision, so?

CHAIR HILLMAN: I hear you. And we are hearing what you're saying about the challenges in just perfecting the information, the evidence, so that you can actually figure out what to do.

But how much time do we need to watch what's happening out there before we can make any kind of recommendation or assessment about how things are working? Like how much? How many months do we need?

Colonel Morales said it's way too early, just a few months in right now. But how

much time do we need?

CDR CANO: I'd say no greater than 60 days if I had a decision. Because, again, that erodes the good order and discipline by having the sailor in this purgatory, and then the victim, too, the anxiety of the justice on hold.

So, to me, like if in the magical world, I'd say 60 days would be the ideal.

COL MORALES: So, I mean we're, in the military, you know, our leadership corps is very transient in so much as they only have a certain amount of time that they sit in a leadership position in command. And so, I think, you know, everyone is going to be affected differently based upon how they interpret certain cases and their own, you know, ethical stamps on certain issues.

I would say there's, you know, what is the right amount of time? I think any data coming back to the Air Force is going to be, is going to be worthwhile. I understand that justice takes time, you know, to execute.

But one thing I will say is that from my understanding of OSTC, there are certain cases where OSTC may enter into plea agreements where then that binds the court-martial convening authority into certain agreements coming out of that. And so that, that's something that would be, you know, interesting for me as a commander to be bound into this kind of court-martial agreement that was something that I don't have any say in.

And so, again, we haven't seen any of these cases come back, but I think every case is going to be unique in that manner.

COL GALLO: I would think from a data collection perspective I'd probably think you'd probably want a year, a year's worth of data. I think in the first few months there's been a steep learning curve. And I think OSTC is figuring out how to organize themselves for success as well and building relationships.

So, I think probably the first three to six months it's hard to really learn much from

that because it's so new. But I would think that perhaps at the one year mark, you know, based on the data then, that would be a useful point to get an assessment of, you know, what it looks like in the aggregate, ma'am.

LTCOL DUNLAP: I would concur with that, ma'am. I'm the furthest from an expert on this, but I would say a year's worth of data to move out on it.

CAPT McDONNELL: From a Coast Guard perspective, I agree. And our Office of Chief Prosecutor is also new and, you know, I don't think we have enough data really to determine how those changes have affected, you know, the streamlining of cases and such. So, I think a year is the right point.

Thanks.

LTCOL DUNLAP: And I would add, too, that I think, again, agree with the comments that the OSTC offices around the military are probably being overwhelmed right now.

MS. PETERS: All right. I'd like to

focus on the deferral process for just another moment.

Do you believe that the process is operating smoothly, that is by which a Special Trial Counsel evaluates a case, and if they decline to prefer charges, that case is essentially deferred to the command. And with that we would appreciate your comments on the timeliness with which the case is deferred, and your communication?

And I think expanding upon whether you are receiving not just the deferral decision, yes or no, but an explanation to accompany that decision?

So, the timeliness, the explanation, and whether it's operating smoothly.

And the last part of that, I think we have touched on that momentarily is whether there is effective disciplinary tools in your hands once that case is deferred?

So, that's a lot about it, but just generally the deferral process, your

1 communication, the timelines, and what you're left with in your toolkit once a deferral occurs. 2 3 Thank you. COL GALLO: Yes, ma'am. 4 So, we've actually received a bunch of 5 deferrals. Maybe, if I had to guess, maybe 15 to 6 20 or so in the last month. 7 And many of those, as discussed 8 9 previously, have been on the domestic violence 10 front. And some of those have been pretty quick 11 turns.

In terms of what's formally transmitted to me when that's deferred, I have not yet received any explicit articulation of the rationale behind the deferral and the decision not to prefer charges. But I have received a verbal explanation from my brigade JAG that I believe is being communicated to him verbally through the legal chain.

So, he has always come back to me and said he has paperwork which basically says that OSTC has decided not to prefer charges and to

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

defer. And he shows me that paperwork and then he'll articulate the reason. And it's usually an assessment was made that the standard of evidence doesn't meet the threshold, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

And then him and I have a conversation about tools available for me as the commander or for my subordinate commanders to execute moving forward.

And so, in some cases I have deferred that right down to the battalion commander. In other cases I have directed the initiation of a general officer memorandum of reprimand, or have asked the chain of command to initiate admin separation -- not necessarily separate but initiate admin separation.

But I think we are just now fully coming to grips with what options we do have.

And as mentioned about NJP, we perceive that that's really not a valid option once a case has been deferred.

That being said, I think there is some

1 room, as I've been told, for engagement with OSTC 2 to potentially move down the non-judicial 3 punishment route, but haven't had an opportunity yet to actually do that. 4 Last thing to say on this is we've 5 developed an internal process to codify what is 6 7 being transmitted to us from OSTC just for a 8 recordkeeping, recordkeeping purposes. 9 I'm not sure exactly what the 10 requirements are for units to do so, but we have 11 kind of articulated the results from OSTC in a 12 memorandum that we've put on file just for good 13 recordkeeping purposes within the soldier's file. 14 MS. PETERS: Does anyone else have 15 comments on their experience with the deferral 16 process? 17 COL MORALES: Again just from the Air 18 Force perspective, the only deferrals we've 19 received are those that are pre-28th December. 20 MS. PETERS: Okay, thank you. 21 you. 22 All right, I'm going to move on to a

different topic, and that is military justice in general.

If I can get your comments on the process by which a service member who's facing charges and pending court-martial, through their counsel they may initiate a discussion around plea agreement.

Have any of you had any experience in making recommendations or negotiating a term in a plea agreement? And, if so, was that term about the range of sentence, or the type of punishment that would be appropriate in that case?

So, your experience with the plea agreement process.

COL GALLO: I have limited experience in this, in this process. But I do recall a number of incidents where a plea has come up. I believe it's specifically been involved in the range. And I think in some cases it's been specific to confinement, perhaps confinement duration.

In every case that I could recall, I

wasn't the decision maker. It was the first general officer in my chain of command who is my division commander. And so, I was making a recommendation.

So, with counsel from my JAG I would essentially consider the merits of the case, the evidence, all the possible outcomes. And then I would make a recommendation to my commanding general on the nature of the plea.

MS. PETERS: Does anyone else have any experiences to share with the plea agreements?

CDR CANO: For me the biggest one, so I've done two so far, and to me the bad conduct discharge is -- so, one was for a case on sexual assault, child sexual assault case. So, to me that was, like, that was mandatory.

And, obviously, the other thing was mandatory registration for sexual offender. So, that was all, that was part of the discussions in the plea agreement. I had some bare minimums. Confinement wasn't really discussed based on I had the pers -- my SJA had given me the

1 perspective that the judge was not going to do 2 confinement in these two cases, so we didn't want 3 to go after something that we wouldn't -- we'd lose the whole plea agreement if we had that on 4 5 there So, that's my experience. 6 MS. PETERS: I see. 7 So, the 8 discussion, to be clear, was whether there was an 9 appropriate minimum confinement in the plea 10 agreement to be specified, and whether that would 11 be -- whether that would survive the judge's 12 inquiry? 13 CDR CANO: That's correct. 14 And because it wouldn't survive, we 15 didn't offer it as a minimum requirement. 16 MS. PETERS: Does anyone have anything 17 else to add on plea agreements? 18 All right. In general, with regard to 19 the court-martial process, and we're talking 20 about for a moment just focusing on the trial 21 itself and the result, do you think more

transparency and information about ongoing

courts-martial would increase awareness or enhance trust in the system by service members?

LTCOL DUNLAP: I'm always an advocate for more transparency.

You know, I will say, too, though, and I used the term when, earlier and it was probably not the best term to use, for Marines and sailors when they refused NJP to go to court-martial to get a better outcome in their favor.

What concerns me is, is that if all those Marines and sailors go to court-martial and then get an outcome in their favor, when we believe that they have done something, they have participated in some form of misconduct, and then we're transparent about it, then all the Marines and sailors are going to say, well, I'm going to refuse NJP. I'm going to go to court-martial and I'm going to get an outcome in my favor.

So, that, that is a concern. But, you know, that said, just weighing the pros and cons of being more transparent, I mean, yes, we need to be transparent and forthcoming about what's

1 going on, both at the NJP level, you know, 2 internally to the command, NJP, captain's mast, 3 but also a court-martial as well. But, again, 4 there is that concern. 5 MS. PETERS: And would that transparency, what would that look like in terms 6 7 of projecting the results and the status of ongoing cases, the nature of the charges? 8 9 just are you talking about the end results? LTCOL DUNLAP: I think both. 10 11 I would say, too, though that I've 12 made the decision to send Marines to court-13 martial a couple times now. And that in and of 14 itself is usually impactful to the rest of the 15 command when they know, oh wow, like, that marine 16 or sailor is going to court-martial. That's a 17 pretty big deal. 18 So, you know, regardless of what the 19 outcome is, you know, that's impactful in and of 20 itself. 21 MS. PETERS: All right. Does anyone

have anything to add?

## Captain McDonnell?

CAPT McDONNELL: Yeah. I think you have there's a thirst for transparency at the local level, at the unit level, especially when it impacts their unit. But also in the aggregate, you know, what is the service doing for, you know, good order and discipline overall?

So, for the Coast Guard, for example, we have a good order and discipline quarterly message that's sent out that summarizes all courts-martial, NJP imposition, administrative separations. And now it also includes antiharassment type incidents as well.

So, that's something new the Coast Guard has put into our quarterly report and that rolls up all of those cases. So, it's just something that the Coast Guard has shared with our, our workforce.

MS. PETERS: Colonel Morales?

COL MORALES: Yeah. I would say in the Air Force we do a quarterly status of discipline where we go over all cases that are NJP that have

closed out in the previous quarter, and then courts-martial as well.

So, I think that's, that's relayed to commanders and senior leaders. It is for them to determine how they wish to be transparent with their units.

But that information is definitely out there.

I'll add one more piece because when it comes to general courts-martial in the Air Force we have gone to kind of a, a standing panel. And the members of these standing panels who can sit on these general courts-martial can include members all the way down to the rank of E-3.

And so, you know, it's questionable whether or not, you know, commanders are supposed to determine potential members for a standing court-martial based upon their experience, their role, their rank, any number of different things, their age, their maturity.

But having an individual who is

potentially an E-3 on a court-martial does give a very, I think, open sense of transparency to all aspects and all ranks, so that they're able to sit in and be part of that, that military justice process.

Thank you.

MS. PETERS: Does anyone want to comment on that, on the composition of court-martial panels and transparency.

Okay, General Ewers, yes.

MAJ GEN (R) EWERS: It's in a different area.

Thanks for coming, by the way. I know you are all busy.

But I want to confirm what I think I'm hearing. And I realize you're not general courtmartial convening authorities, or haven't been general court-martial convening authorities in the past. But it sounds to me like all the communication that's coming from OTC -- OSTC to commanders is advising them on what decision is being made.

1 Have you been given an opportunity to give input to what ought to happen in a 2 3 particular case that's yours that you send up in a situation where you might make a recommendation 4 to a general court-martial convening authority? 5 COL GALLO: Sir, in short, no. 6 Ι 7 haven't had an opportunity to do that yet. 8 That being said, my understanding is 9 that that is a possibility that that can be done. 10 I haven't come upon a circumstance yet where I 11 thought it made sense for me to transmit a 12 specific recommendation to OSTC about a case. 13 But I have been told through the legal channel 14 and from OSTC at the outset of this that that is 15 a potential COA that could be pursued. That 16 could be pursued, though I personally haven't 17 taken it yet. COL MORALES: That would be a negative, 18 19 sir, for the Air Force. Not, not me personally, 20 no, sir. 21 CDR CANO: I've had a complete 22 different experience. My SJA has been very

1 proactive with communications with OSTC. 2 part of every single case is, hey, OSTC is 3 thinking about deferring this. You know, what's 4 the command's stance and what would they like to 5 see? Because it's under my belief, you 6 7 know, everything, you could still go to courtmartial even if the prosecution believes that 8 9 there's not enough evidence or whatever the 10 concerns are. LTCOL DUNLAP: Haven't gotten that far 11 12 into it yet, sir, to the cases we're dealing 13 with. 14 CAPT McDONNELL: Same for me, sir. We 15 haven't had a case that's warranted a 16 recommendation. 17 MAJ GEN (R) EWERS: Thank you. 18 MS. PETERS: Any other questions about 19 Special Trial Counsel from the members? 20 Okay, hearing none, I'm going to get 21 back to a more generalized military justice 22 topic, and that is sentencing.

And, currently, only enlisted service members are able to be reduced in rank by way of a courts-martial sentence. Do you believe that a military judge should be authorized to adjudge reduction in rank for an officer convicted of an offense?

LTCOL DUNLAP: Absolutely. But that's a personal opinion.

MS. PETERS: And can you explain why that would be beneficial as a sentencing tool to add to the courts-martial process?

LTCOL DUNLAP: Well, we talk about, you know, transparency and information flow, and we're holding -- it seems that we're holding our junior, senior and junior enlisted service members accountable. It seems we're not holding officers accountable.

And, you know, we constantly read these articles in Army, Navy, Marine, and Air Force Times about officers that are participating in misconduct. And it appears at least, on the basis of it, that they're not being held

1 accountable. So, they should be held accountable 2 as well. 3 MS. PETERS: Thank you. Does anyone have a comment on the 4 5 court-martial process and sentencing for officers? 6 7 COL GALLO: Yes, ma'am, I'm definitely 8 not an expert on sentencing, but I think just 9 from the perspective of dealing with misconduct, 10 I think I'd be a proponent of you, you know, 11 expanding the options, you know, rather than 12 constraining the options. 13 So, I think there'd be some value 14 there. 15 And then, I share similar sentiment to 16 the, you know, perceptions of equity concern 17 mentioned here. 18 CHAIR HILLMAN: Can I ask you, 19 Lieutenant Colonel Dunlap, it's -- you raised the 20 point, there's a perception of that deference to 21 officers that isn't applied to enlisted folks who 22 are accused of wrongdoing.

1	There is that perception.
2	Do you think that's the reality out
3	there?
4	That's for each of you.
5	And if you don't think that that
6	perception reflects reality, why do people
7	perceive it that?
8	Is there something we can do about
9	that?
10	You can start, Lieutenant Colonel
11	Dunlap since you raised this.
12	LTCOL DUNLAP: Sure, yes, ma'am.
13	That seems like a loaded question
14	there.
15	(Laughter.)
16	LTCOL DUNLAP: But you know, going on
17	19, 20 years of service, and over the course of
18	my career, I've seen a number of, you know, in
19	the media, cases where general officers, flag
20	officers participate in misconduct.
21	And seemingly, again, my perception
22	has been, as a junior officer, that they were

1 allowed to retire with rank, with pension, with 2 all the things that we remove from our enlisted 3 service members. So, again, we're talking fairness, 4 5 that seems unfair to me. And whether that is the actual reality 6 7 or not, I couldn't speak to that, ma'am. that is the perception I think within the ranks. 8 9 That's my perception. 10 CHAIR HILLMAN: Thank you. 11 MG (R) EWERS: I can give you an anecdotal view. 12 13 I mean, I understand the point and I 14 can see how accountability can be an issue. But as a practical matter, the 15 16 officers who retire with rank don't go to courts 17 martial, haven't been to courts martial. 18 Maybe they should have gone to courts 19 martial, but that's kind of another issue. 20 And the fact is, that as a practical 21 matter, we don't keep officers around who go to 22 courts martial. They're gone.

1 So, reducing them, you know, maybe 2 it's a nice slap in the face as they go out the 3 door, but it really doesn't get you much. just -- it's like the reduction to E-1 you get 4 when you get an administrative separation. 5 Who cares if you're an E-1, you're not 6 7 in the service anymore, just for processing 8 purposes. 9 We do -- and we do a really bad job of 10 telling people what's going on with our officer 11 discipline, which we need to get better at. COL GALLO: That's a point that I was 12 13 going to make. 14 And in the interest of transparency, I think we tend to be a little bit more close 15 16 hold with proceedings involving officers and that 17 kind of works against us, you know, from 18 perceptions of equity. 19 And then, I think, you know, officers 20 just, they follow a different process. 21 sometimes, that process can be very lengthy. 22 From an Army perspective, it normally

1 involves human resources command. And it could 2 very, very lengthy, that process from start to 3 finish. CDR CANO: I think one difference is, 4 5 if there's a reduction in rank situation with an enlisted person, they potentially still have a 6 7 future in the military. 8 However, with an officer, they're, you 9 know, they resign or retire or leave. 10 And if you -- if we were to go to 11 courts martial, or an officer were to go to 12 courts martial before they retire, then, you 13 know, you have to do something different, I 14 think, and you know, a fine is probably a way to really sticks it to an officer. 15 16 I mean, that's just my personal 17 opinion, but I -- you know, that's something that 18 really been discussed. 19 Judge Redford? CHAIR HILLMAN: 20 JUDGE REDFORD: Thank you. 21 One of the authorized punishments for 22 officers in previous versions of the UCMJ was not

necessarily reduction in rank, but loss of lineal numbers, which all of us who've ever been before a promotion board, they understand the impact of that.

Any thoughts on whether that should be, you know, re-instituted as a -- in addition to or in lieu of reduction in rank?

COL MORALES: So, having just sat on a promotion board of Air Force O-4s with potential for O-5, I mean, I don't know if it happens just, you know, by nature of the system, but the way in which we select our officers for O-5 is such that, I mean, any NJP at the O-3, O-4 level court-martialed, I mean, if that is in your record, there's no way that you are promoting.

And so, I mean, talk about an easy button, when pulling someone down to find that, you know, 15 percent that is not promoting, I mean, you're ending your career if you essentially get to that level and then, you have significant NJP or a court-martial.

MS. PETERS: Any one else?

1 All right, any other questions on the 2 sentencing options? 3 All right. In light of current recruitment and retention challenges, I'd like to 4 5 get your perspectives on the potential for a court-martial to have a sentence that encompasses 6 rehabilitative tools for enlisted and officers. 7 8 Are there any ways that come to mind 9 that you think the system could be leveraged to potentially rehabilitate convicted service 10 11 members so that they could continue to serve? 12 CDR CANO: For some of these cases, 13 drugs, you know, alcohol, those are 14 rehabilitative. 15 However, you know, these sexual 16 assaults are -- that's a break of trust. 17 And by continuing a path in the service, eventually, they're going to have to 18 19 lead people. Thus, the natural progression of 20 the military. 21 So, I just find it very hard to give 22 somebody else that crossed the red lines on

sexual assault like a second chance to 1 2 rehabilitate and now be put in a position of 3 trust to lead sailors. MS. PETERS: So, it's really dependent 4 5 on the type of offense at issue? 6 CDR CANO: Absolutely. 7 MS. PETERS: Okay. 8 Does anyone have any comments on that? 9 CAPT MCDONNELL: I could. 10 I agree with my Navy colleague on, you 11 know, the type of cases. 12 I mean, from the Coast Guard 13 perspective, typically, and it's been my 14 experience that most folks that go through a 15 court-martial process are typically discharged or 16 separated. 17 And so, not seeing too many people 18 have gone and, you know, had a successful period 19 after that. 20 But from a rehabilitative perspective, 21 you know, we're also sending these folks out into

society. So, those have a rehabilitation aspect

to that.

They're going to hopefully be a functional member of society at some point.

So, they're -- I think that there's a, you know, a component of that from a rehabilitative perspective that may not necessarily be within the service itself.

MS. PETERS: Go ahead, Judge Redford.

JUDGE REDFORD: You're in command and whether it's your battalion, your brigade, or your security company and you get a sailor, airman, soldier, or Marine who did go to courtmartial, did go through some rehab program, what's his -- what's hers or his future when they get repurposed to your command?

CDR CANO: Yes, so, the closest example I've lived -- experienced is, I have a sailor at my command which was on -- he went to NJP, but he's an E-8.

And he -- there was a significant incident that happened aboard a ship. He was held accountable.

So, my -- on my check-in with him, I said, hey, you were held accountable, you were punished, and do you have a future here? You're still in the service, and can't hold -- I'm not going to hold that against you, you know, I'm going to treat you like everybody else because he's been held accountable.

So, however, with court-martial, again, that's a more serious offense. I would have to lean in the same direction of giving that person a second chance.

COL GALLO: I concur.

I think we would seek to ensure that that particular service member is in a right -- is in the right environment for a fresh start.

We do try and, you know, pride ourselves on not being a zero defect organization. So, people can make mistakes and recover from those mistakes.

Beyond things that I can control, I'm not sure what the implications would be for that service member from a promotion and potential

1 perspective within the record itself. But I would like to think that we have 2 3 a command climate established where that 4 individual would be given a fresh chance and not 5 be kind of re-adjudicated for something that he already, you know, was convicted on and, you 6 7 know, served the punishment for. 8 LTCOL DUNLAP: Just to clarify, we're 9 talking court-martial events, is that correct, 10 ma'am? That's right. 11 MS. PETERS: 12 LTCOL DUNLAP: So, yes, fresh start as 13 far as I'm concerned. 14 Here's my plug, my opportunity to, if we're talking about rehabilitative tools, I don't 15 16 know if that -- was that the next question or are 17 we going to get to that? 18 MS. PETERS: That is perfectly 19 appropriate for comment right now. I appreciate 20 it, go ahead. So, I do know there was 21 LTCOL DUNLAP: 22 a question on rehabilitative tools.

1 And you know, the Marine Corps in the 2 past have a CCU, Correctional Custody Unit. 3 Sir, I think maybe you're familiar, Okinawa reinstituted that. 4 5 (Laughter.) LTCOL DUNLAP: Okinawa reinstituted 6 7 that in 2018. I think it's still a thing. 8 And for those that are not aware, the CCU is hard labor. It's making small rocks out 9 10 of big rocks. 11 And I was -- I'm told that it was 12 incredibly effective at taking young Marines who 13 had participated in misconduct had attitude, 14 discipline issues, they went to that for 30 days 15 or so and came back a brand new Marine. 16 And so, that's my two cents on 17 rehabilitative tools. 18 Now, as to whether we come back, sir, 19 someone from court-martial, if they're rehabbed, 20 great. A process, procedure tool and they come 21 to us, absolutely fresh start and hope that they

do the right things moving forward.

1 You know, that's the ideal, any Marine 2 or sailor that comes before me at NJP or 3 captain's mast, you know, I speak with them about doing the right things going forward and be a man 4 or woman of character going forward. 5 JUDGE REDFORD: Do you think a 6 7 military judge, when imposing sentence, should 8 have the authority to suspend a BCD as a condition of continued good service? 9 10 Not just recommend it, but order it? 11 And then, that sailor, Marine, airman, Coast Guardsman, or soldier comes back. 12 13 Any thoughts on that? 14 I would just say, from COL MORALES: 15 my perspective, you know, as I alluded to in the 16 beginning, right, so, consistency. 17 I think there is a very strong message 18 to be sent that if an individual is coming -- or 19 going and facing a court-martial that, more than 20 likely, their place is not to continue service. 21 And so, I mean, I've got to put myself 22 in a position of flying aircraft, trusting the

1 individuals who, you know, are involved in flying 2 the aircraft and supporting the operations that 3 go into fixing and keeping those things flying. We expect a certain level of discipline. 4 5 And so, I would say, you know, although I hate to say every case is the same, 6 but it would be a hard sell for individuals to 7 come back and be rehabilitated if they've gone 8 9 through court-martial. 10 Thank you. 11 MS. PETERS: Go ahead, Colonel 12 Brunson. 13 COL (R) BRUNSON: So, is it the fact 14 of a court-martial that is the dividing line? 15 So, it sounds like if it was NJP, you 16 know, NJP, correctional custody, you know, all 17 fine, good, come on back, we'll give you a fresh 18 start. 19 But the simple fact that they went 20 through a court-martial, is that the line that 21 we're drawing that says, no, you're done? 22 CDR CANO: In my mind, that's not my

1 I think it's the type of offense. 2 to me, like sexual assault, like there's --3 that's mandatory processing anyway in the Navy, or probably in all the services. 4 So, that's kind of already done for 5 6 me. 7 But, to me, there's like certain 8 offenses that you can rehabilitate from, in my 9 mind and experience, and some you cannot. 10 COL (R) BRUNSON: So, that, I can 11 understand, the type of offense. 12 But -- and obviously, my information 13 is dated, it's been a while since I was trying 14 cases or hearing cases, but I can just think of several off the top of my head that work, you 15 16 know, where the service member went to a court-17 martial, was not adjudicated at discharge because 18 of what they were ultimately convicted of. 19 But then, the assumption is, well, the 20 unit's going to kick them out anyway. 21 And so, if it's the type of offense,

that's one thing. But that's what I'm getting

at.

Is it the type of offense or is the fact that it is at a court-martial?

Specific example, a soldier went to court-martial for -- basically for child abuse.

But the facts came out where it really wasn't so much abuse, it was more of a -- I was raised by a Southern grandmother and I don't understand where the line is.

And had been, essentially, rehabilitated by going through behavioral health.

So, at that point, has a conviction, is the conviction enough to say, we don't want -- I know I'm giving a very specific example.

But what I'm getting at is, is it the conviction itself that says, there's really no future for you in the service or is it the type of offense that they're convicted of?

COL MORALES: Just to clarify, I would say it would be the type of offense.

I mean, just the majority of those cases in the Air Force that do go to court-

1 martial are very egregious. 2 LTCOL DUNLAP: Agreed, ma'am. 3 But again, you know, I've got a couple cases here recently where a Marine or a sailor is 4 refusing NJP because they think they'll get a 5 better outcome at a court-martial. 6 7 So, that might be, honestly, a more minor offense that if they're convicted, okay, 8 come back and a fresh start. 9 10 MG (R) EWERS: I want to talk about 11 rehabilitation from a slightly different angle. 12 We talked earlier about junior 13 officers and how they get oriented with our legal 14 system. One of the concerns that we have as a 15 16 panel is the distrust among service members that 17 we're hearing about with respect to the UCMJ. 18 An overwhelming majority of Marines, 19 sailors, soldiers, and airmen, Coasties, who have a brush with the UCMJ have it at the company 20 21 level for a very simple NJP.

So, that's where we have an

opportunity to train our youngsters or young leaders, enlisted Marines, and the senior enlisted Marines, and officers.

So, what more can we do to mentor our young officers and our senior enlisted or staff NCOs, essentially, and NCOs to help them use the military justice system right at the point where we can make an impact and avoid that next offense that could land them at a court-martial?

COL MORALES: So, we do a newcomers orientation. We bring in all of our first term airmen. We sit them down. And one of the things that the command and leadership team like to say and divulge is the things that'll get them kicked out of the Air Force.

Because quite honestly, depending on what background they're coming from, you know, there may be differences in what they have, and ma'am, to your point, you know, what they have come to be accepted as societal norms, the groups of people that they hang out with.

I mean, all it takes is them having --

running with the bad crowd and they're bringing that individual on the base and now, they're in possession of an illegal substance.

I think education, you know, goes a very long way. And it doesn't have to be to scare them, but there are certain standards that, in the Air Force, we keep and those standards are no surprise.

But quite honestly, I don't know, you know, where they get that level of education.

And so, that's one of the things that I think our leadership team does a very good job of divulging these are the things that will get you kicked out of the Air Force. And --

MG (R) EWERS: I take your point, and I don't mean to cut you off, but I want to focus not on the educating the young enlisted Marines, soldiers, sailors, airmen, Coasties, I'm talking about educating the young officers and staff NCOs so that we can teach --

I mean, okay, so, lance corporal comes in and he's got a problem, you know, it's -- he's

1 UA for two days in a row. Right? Or just, you 2 know, he's very -- he shows up late. 3 Now, you have an opportunity to do 4 something to him in the military justice realm 5 that can either turn him around or get him 6 straight. And the danger is, that for a variety 7 of reasons, and this is one of the big complaints 8 9 that we have, for a variety of reasons, we turn 10 them the wrong way and he goes down the road. 11 So, how do we train our leaders to deal with minor NJP issues or UCMJ issues to 12 13 avoid the more serious NJP issues? 14 So, I'm sorry, I probably didn't say that correctly the first time. 15 16 COL GALLO: Yes, sir. 17 So, I think one way we look at it is, 18 it's almost like an escalation of force and it's 19 -- there's kind of some low intensity, low impact 20 things that you can do initially upon an infraction. 21

Of course, you can ramp that all the

way up, maybe court-martial being the most extreme.

So, I think what we have noticed, and I kind of hinted at it at the beginning is that some of our leaders don't understand the value of some of the tools on the lower end and they're jumping right to the middle or perhaps they're pursuing a field grade Article 15 at first infraction when the answer may be just be, let's sit down with the soldier, have a counseling session.

Let's have an engagement about what the standard is, where you fell off. And now, let's figure out together how to develop an action plan to get you right, you know, back on the right track.

You know, versus kind of escalating force to an extreme level.

We had a situation recently where exactly this happened.

Soldier showed up late to a formation. The young chain of command was trying to, you

know, set the standard right away and immediately was pursuing moving down the field grade Article 15.

And the soldier actually utilized the open door policy up the chain of command. We had a discussion with leaders about it.

Went back to that team and said, hey, let's think, what's the range of possible things we could have done here? You know, had a good conversation there.

And then, you know, hopefully, that -those young leaders walked away with a better
understanding of what they can do with that kind
of low impact.

I think some of the soldiers will potentially, you know, lose trust if you're jumping right to these extreme measures when it's something that we can handle, you know, in house with a good conversation and a counseling session.

COL (R) BRUNSON: With what you're doing -- so, that's what you're doing in your

unit?

How do we make -- how do we have those teaching sessions with the junior officers across the military writ large?

Like where do we fix that problem?

LTCOL DUNLAP: Well, I feel like the knee jerk would be to say more mandated training but we all know in this room, like there's never enough time for any of that.

So, you know, what Colonel Gallo said, I mean, you know, similar experience, just it's not necessarily the right answer, but OJT, on the job training and, you know, the professional discussions you're having with your subordinate commanders and subordinate leaders.

You know, we could say, you know, instituted professional education, but again, all the services are not consistent with that, either.

You know, it is as far as what rank and grade you're going to to PME.

So, I don't know that there's a good

1 answer, ma'am, other than, you know, it's incumbent on the commanders to have those 2 discussions with their subordinate commanders and 3 4 leaders. 5 MS. PETERS: All right. If I may jump in, I want to make sure 6 7 our members who have joined us remotely, Captain Barney and Judge Castle, do you have any 8 9 questions at this time? 10 CAPT (R) BARNEY: Hi, this is Steve 11 Barney. 12 First of all, thank you all for your 13 testimony. 14 I think one of the main takeaways I 15 have from this, more of an observation is, I 16 think about Colonel Dunlap's testimony about the 17 value of the congressional custody units, for 18 example. 19 We probably, as and a lead, to think 20 about how to address the fact that, over the last 21 30 years, our military through a national policy

level from high on has made it so extraordinarily

easy to discharge people and to quit on people who have issues that involve the military justice system.

When I think about the recruiting impacts that all of our services are facing every day and when I think about the very real threats to our nation that exist today and will be in the future, we need to develop a way to signal from the top down that there is value in rehabilitation.

There is value in providing correctional tools to help service members in whom our nation has invested so much in their training to restore them as much as possible to the extent that the nature of the offense will allow it to get them back in the force and to have them continue on in their careers, having learned from their mistakes.

Thank you.

JUDGE CASTLE: This is Judge Castle, no questions.

MS. PETERS: All right.

I want to thank you for the thoughtful discussion that we had today. And thank you for your insights.

And just to make sure we've covered all bases, I learned early as an attorney not to say one more question, but the one more question may be, is there anything you would like this panel to know that we didn't ask you about the challenges with good order and discipline or aspects of the military justice system that we haven't commented on today that you would like this panel to know about?

CDR CANO: Yes, one thing we haven't talked about is the importance of the senior enlisted leadership that we rely upon to maintain this good order and discipline.

Because usually, these NJP offenses isn't the first like run in with accountability. There's several lower levels.

And on the other side of the coin is training the junior officers, you know, how to start making these decisions and making sure that

1 we're using the right levels of accountability against those offenses. 2 3 Like we rely on the senior enlisted leadership to train them as well. 4 5 Thank you. Anything else? 6 MS. PETERS: 7 Yes? I would just like to 8 CAPT MCDONNELL: 9 say, I think what the population of offenses that we're talking about is a very small number of our 10 11 military members. And by and far, most of our military 12 13 members desire the accountability for their peers 14 when they step out of line. 15 So, I think, you know, it gives me 16 hope and faith that we're getting after the right 17 problems here and making sure that our forces is 18 ready when called upon. 19 Thanks. 20 MS. PETERS: All right, hearing 21 nothing further, again, thank you for taking the

time out of your busy days and your units to talk

1 with us today. We really appreciate it. 2 CHAIR HILLMAN: Just to close out, I 3 want you to be assured that we'll take your insight and perspectives with us as we try to 4 5 consider the issues that are before us. And we're talking to your colleagues 6 7 in different spaces and places around the country and the world to make sure that we understand 8 9 what's out there. 10 And also, you've made clear that 11 concerns about whether commanding officers are 12 still taking military justice seriously, 13 notwithstanding the seismic shifts in that part 14 of your work and your tool kit. You've made clear that each of you 15 16 are, and I appreciate that as does everybody out 17 there who is protected by the folks who are in 18 the service or who have family members who are 19 actually in the service right now, too. 20 So, thanks very much. 21 (Applause.) 22 MR. LIBRETTO: Thank you, ladies and

1 gentlemen. We'll come back on at 11:15 with the 2 senior enlisted advisor panel, another open 3 session. Thank you. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 4 5 went off the record at 11:01 a.m. and resumed at 11:14 a.m.) 6 7 Once again, this panel MR. LIBRETTO: is comprised of senior enlisted advisors from 8 each of the services. 9 10 At this point, and it is an open 11 session, a public session that is, and at this 12 point, I'll turn it over to Ms. Nalini Gupta to 13 take it from here. Thank you. 14 MS. GUPTA: Thanks, Mike. 15 Good morning, everyone. We are 16 pleased today to have five senior enlisted 17 advisors here to speak about their perspectives 18 on military justice and answer questions relating 19 to your comprehensive review. I will be moderating the panel and the 20 21 questions and I invite members, of course, to ask

questions and interrupt me at any point.

Their bios are in -- available in your folder and there are some suggested questions are available at Tab 3 of your packet.

Dr. Hillman, do you have anything you would like to say before I start?

CHAIR HILLMAN: We're grateful you're spending some of your precious time with us today. It means a tremendous amount for us to hear from folks who are actually out there doing the work and using the system that we're working to continue to perfect and advise those who have the authority to implement changes and make your life easier or more difficult as we look into the future.

And so, we're glad to be able to hear from you before we get to the point where we're making any recommendations.

And I'm grateful, too, to everybody here who has welcomed us to Joint Base Lewis McChord and our staff and Nalini and all the folks who are making it possible for us to do this work.

1	So, thank you.
2	MS. GUPTA: Thanks.
3	I will quickly introduce you and then,
4	I invite everyone to give a brief description of
5	your position and your current unit.
6	So, on the on my left, we are we
7	have Chief Master Sergeant Timothy Hodgin from
8	the Air Force.
9	We have Command Master Chief Benjamin
10	Rushing from the Navy.
11	We have Sergeant Major Kevin Fontenot
12	from the Marine Corps.
13	We have Command Master Chief Shane
14	Carroll from the Coast Guard.
15	And we have Command Sergeant Major
16	Raymond Lathan from the Army.
17	Would you please start with a brief
18	description of your position?
19	Thanks.
20	And please remember to put your mic on
21	each time you speak.
22	CMSGT HODGIN: Good morning or good

1 afternoon, everybody. 2 Chief Master Sergeant Tim Hodgin. 3 the 62nd Airlift Wing Command Chief. My boss is Colonel Anaya. He's the 4 5 Senior Air Force Service Component Commander on the base. 6 7 So, not only are we the 62nd Command 8 Team, but we also represent the Air Force for all 9 disciplinary discharge issues for airmen -- for 10 any airman that's on McChord. 11 CMC RUSHING: Good morning. My name's Benjamin Rushing, Command Master Chief of Navy 12 13 Region Northwest. I work for Admiral Mark 14 We're responsible for the 11 northwest 15 states as well as the naval installations 16 predominantly here in the Puget Sound. 17 Thank you. 18 SGTMAJ FONTENOT: I'm Command Sergeant 19 Major Kevin Fontenot over at MCSF in Bangor. 20 We quard strategic weapons. 21 And my boss was just up here, 22 Lieutenant Colonel Ian Dunlap.

1 We have about 1,200 Marines and 2 sailors, very unique to where we have a Master at 3 Arms and Marine infantrymen quarding those assets. Thank you. 4 5 CMC CARROLL: Good afternoon. T work for a two-star Admiral in Seattle, Washington and 6 7 we are in charge of the Pacific Northwest Coast 8 Guard, so Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana. 9 And we have about 3,700 people under 10 our control. 11 And I've been doing the, you know, 12 Command Senior Enlisted Leader, Chief People 13 Officer I like to call it. I've been doing that 14 for about six years. Thank you. 15 CSM LATHAN: I'm Command Sergeant 16 Major Raymond Lathan. I'm the Brigade Sergeant 17 Major for 2nd Brigade, Stryker Brigade here on 18 JBLM. 19 My boss is Colonel Andy Gallo. 20 sitting on the last panel as well. 21 And basically, what our brigade is, 22 we're a brigade combat team which consists of

1	seven battalions, three infantry, one calvary
2	squadron, an engineer battalion, and a support
3	battalion.
4	We our numbers are somewhere
5	between 4,200 and 4,500 soldiers on any given
6	day.
7	MS. GUPTA: Thank you so much.
8	We're going to start by talking about
9	the role of you in good order and discipline.
10	So, I have a two part question to
11	start.
12	The first part is, what are the main
13	types of disciplinary issues you deal with in
14	your unit?
15	And the second part is, what are the
16	biggest challenges you face when dealing with
17	good order and discipline in your unit?
18	And I invite any of you to start that.
19	CMSGT HODGIN: I guess I'll start.
20	It's funny, this question came up in
21	a senior NCO call that I had not too long ago.
22	They said, hey, Chief, what are the

things that you see?

And because all the airmen on the yard aggregate up through us, I basically told him, have you ever seen Law and Order?

Because it was everything from domestic violence, sexual assault, drug cases, you know, we're a subset of society and those crimes that society commits, we also commit inside the service.

So, there wasn't anything.

But the second part of your question what are the challenges?

And I think it's probably the biggest challenge, and like Colonel Morales mentioned in the previous panel was, the fact that, you know, what's legal outside the gate is not legal inside the gate, and that's really slippery.

I brief people all the time like, hey, if you have a boyfriend, girlfriend, significant other and you're living off base, is it okay for them to do certain things? And the answer is yes.

1 But is it okay for them to get in the 2 car and drive on base with you? And the answer 3 is no. And sometimes, that can really trip people up. And I think that's probably, in my 4 mind, the biggest challenge. 5 CMC CARROLL: I'll go ahead. 6 7 We -- I would say the biggest cases 8 I've seen in the last three years here in the 9 Seattle region, probably number is DUIs. That's 10 still just an unfortunate event we do see a lot 11 of still. 12 You know, not at the point compared to 13 your private sector, but it's a big deal, you 14 We have to recommend for discharge and 15 then, go -- that comes up to us to look at if 16 we're going to look at retaining or not. 17 I would say some of the biggest 18 challenges are speed of cases. 19 So, we, obviously, the Coast Guard's 20 very small. We don't have a contingent force of 21 people.

So, if we are going to sideline

1 somebody for an investigation, especially if it's 2 a member on member crime and you're going to 3 separate them, doing something like that, that takes a big toll on some of our smaller units. 4 And we don't have a lot of places to 5 transfer and put them. 6 7 And I would say, transparency as well. 8 The Coast Guard, a lot of our people in the Coast Guard now want transparency, want to 9 10 better understand how people can commit crimes 11 and either look like they get away with it or it 12 doesn't lead to jail time and things like that. 13 So, I would say the biggest thing I 14 talk a lot through is how the process works, how 15 sometimes transparency is, it's tough because there's still -- our people have rights to 16 17 privacy through that. 18 So, I would say those are it. 19 Okay, so, for our SGTMAJ FONTENOT: 20 battalion, we're really dealing with a lot of alcohol related issues. 21

We're dealing with drugs, sexual

assaults, hazing, you know, things of that nature.

And so, here's what I would say is that, when it comes to the challenges that we have, it's really derived around a couple of different things.

One is, and I would say this just kind of other battalions that have been at, you don't have necessarily a legal officer that has been through, you know, paralegal type of course or lawyer per se that, you know, is really on your team to be able to advise you outside the SJAs, right.

And so, we have, at our battalion, which is very unique, a legal officer who is a paralegal who actually had, you know, been educated and is able to be a part of the team and speak intelligently to and have the consistency, you know, for the commanders that come and go.

But here's what I would say about the commanders and the challenges that they face, and the challenges, to be guite frank, that we face

as well, even though I think all of us hear, you know, stay within a command billet.

And once we go that direction, there's the educational piece beyond the classroom.

Right?

And so, the educational piece beyond the classroom is, yes, we go to, you know, Long Island, you know, Rhode Island, you know, type of thing.

Hey, we're there for, you know, three to five days and we get the education.

And say, hey, here's all the things, you know, lawyerese, there's all the things military justice.

However, we leave there and, to be quite frank, forget what we, you know, what we're taught and lean upon an SJA who has an opinion and an idea but is very careful to overstep their bounds with the commander and have a discussion between the senior enlisted and the commander to really try to formulate the best, you know, ideas of what we know and what we understand and

lessons learned from beforehand.

So, I think that's a huge challenge for us as a command team is the, I don't want to say lack of knowledge, but really just not the duty experts and getting into things beyond NJP to court-martial, whatnot, and not being able to, I would say, make the most intelligent, you know, decisions that we could make if we were, you know, maybe had more education, continuing education outside of, you know, the required Commander and Sergeant Major receiving that at the beginning.

And the last thing I would just, you know, leave with is timeliness beyond what's our control. That's a challenge for us is that, you know, we'll get into this a little bit later, but I would tell you, it's, you know, maintaining good order and discipline has to do with, you know, dealing with the issues swiftly and appropriately to be able to move beyond that for not only the individual but also to, if there's victims that involved, but also, too, for the

command to be able to maintain a command climate that says, hey, not okay here, you know, this is the way forward to be a pro.

Thank you.

CAPT (R) SCHRODER: I just want to -you're in a really unique, it strikes me, unit.
You have a ton of people who work for you, but
you don't have an SJA?

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: We do, sir, that's Lieutenant General Cavanaugh's staff out there.

They have an SJA at MARFORCOM.

But what I'm saying is, that it's very effective for us to have this civilian legal officer with us who has been there for over decade who has seen and come through all of the legal stuff, talks with the ROSO, talks with the, you know, officers, special trial counsel, things of that nature and has, you know, consistent knowledge and has almost an expert at, you know, all things legal that the Commander and I can lean on to --

CAPT (R) SCHRODER: So, you do have

1	somebody?
2	SGTMAJ FONTENOT: Absolutely.
3	CAPT (R) SCHRODER: It sounded to me
4	like you didn't have somebody for such a large
5	unit.
6	Good, thank you.
7	CAPT (R) ALDANA: Can I just get a
8	clarification?
9	When you say legal officer, you're not
10	talking about your SJA, right? You're talking
11	about someone who's designated to handle the
12	legal affairs or serve as a liaison between the
13	SJA and your unit?
14	SGTMAJ FONTENOT: Yes, sir.
15	Yes, it's a civilian that we hired
16	long ago that has been very effective for us.
17	CMC RUSHING: Ma'am, if I could just
18	add
19	MS. GUPTA: Please.
20	CMC RUSHING: one more thing, just
21	a couple of minutes.
22	For the Navy, so, I have the luxury of

my current job, I only have about 50 uniformed military members on our staff. The rest are predominantly civilian.

But I have had the luxury of being a Command Master Chief on an aircraft carrier destroyer on the world's largest naval base,

Naval Station Norfolk.

And I'll tell you, the -- what I have struggled with in the ten years that I've been in the command business is sexual assault and NCIS, in those sexual assault cases.

Because, in my opinion, there's a difference between penetration and the difference between penetration and, for lack of a better term, is a slap on the buttocks.

But when each one of those cases, the NCIS has to investigate it and it takes time.

That's what I have struggled with, the minor sexual assault cases, those are my terms, I think could be adjudicated quickly and appropriately without a full blown NCIS investigation.

1 And then, separate from that, in my 30 2 years in the Navy, the minor policy changes from 3 year to year, even from administration sent to administration in regards to like uniform 4 5 changes. I pride myself being a Chief Petty 6 7 Officer in the Navy that we're teachers and enforcers of the standard. The standard bearers 8 9 of good order and discipline. 10 But when those minor policy changes 11 happen, it's a challenge to keep up with those 12 and to keep our sailors on the right track. 13 Thank you very much, appreciate it. 14 CSM LATHAN: I would agree in terms of 15 the minor policy changes. It's -- I think it's easier for us 16 17 seniors to digest them and kind of have an 18 understanding of them. 19 Where I see the challenge at is our 20 junior enlisted population. They're more, for lack of a better term, the enforcers of standards 21

in discipline, more so than I am.

1 But I see challenges with, as 2 regulations continue to get updated, as laws 3 change, we're asking, you know, that most junior leaders in our formation to have a clear 4 5 understanding of those things and enforce them at 6 the same time. 7 And it's not undoable, it's just a bit 8 of a challenge. MS. GUPTA: Thanks for those 9 10 responses. 11 Since a couple of you brought up the timeliness question, I'd like to go talk about 12 13 that a little bit more and invite responses from 14 anyone who didn't comment yet. 15 So, do you think UCMJ violations are 16 generally addressed and resolved in a timely 17 manner? 18 And what effect does a lengthy 19 resolution process have on service members 20 involved in the incident and then, the unit as a 21 whole.

So, I invite anyone who didn't speak

1 about that to please invite -- please add 2 comments. 3 CSM LATHAN: So, I guess that's me. (Laughter.) 4 5 So, in terms of CSM LATHAN: timeliness, I think it just depends on what the 6 7 infraction is. 8 And so, I could -- I'll use an example 9 of DUI. 10 And so, being stationed all over the 11 country, here in Washington, if, you know, if a 12 soldier is pulled over for a suspected DUI and 13 they refuse to do Breathalyzer and now, they have 14 to draw blood, that, in my experience since I've 15 been here, it takes a year just for us to get the 16 results back. 17 And so, that soldier is strung along 18 in the, you know, in the system for tantamount to 19 a year. 20 And so, there are certain things that 21 we can do administratively, but not from a

judicial punishment perspective because we don't

1	get the results back for close to a year.
2	And that's just in my experience, you
3	know, results may vary, but that's just one
4	example that I have.
5	CMSGT HODGIN: I'll add from the Air
6	Force perspective.
7	You ask about UCMJ, I would say that
8	if you're going through the non-judicial
9	punishment route, it can, just generally
LO	speaking, it's much quicker.
1	I think the timeliness is much more
L2	fair.
L3	Once it escalates to the court-
L4	martial, that's where I've seen it drag on for
L5	years, to be honest.
L6	CMC CARROLL: Yes, I concur.
L7	If I want to find someone working at
L8	1800 on a Friday, I know I can go down to legal
L9	and they're there.
20	So, it is not a will of our legal
21	staff. They're really good at what they do and
22	they spend a lot of time at it.

But when we need to engage our CGIS and go from a UCMJ violation to a court-martial, that's when it really takes a long time and we just don't have, again, the garrison force or the areas to keep these people while they're waiting.

And we also need to care for them as if they were still a member of our society, still in our service, and that we -- I think we do an okay job, but we could do a better job of making sure they're okay.

They're waiting on the fate of their life and we -- and that year to two years is a long time for someone to sit and wait for those things.

So, absolutely, you know, wave the magic wand if we could get through cases a lot faster would be better.

And then, a lot of times, people have transferred by the time that we do finally get to their court-martial or we're going to adjudicate from that case and/or it leads commanders to have to make alternative choices.

1 Do they want to wait two years to do 2 a court-martial or do they want to process this 3 person for separation and move on from it? CMC RUSHING: I would argue as well 4 5 that a sailor waiting for a courts martial or waiting through an admin type process, other 6 7 sailors see that and they often think nothing is 8 happening to this person. Right? 9 Guilty or innocent, you know, public 10 opinion, you know, the unit opinion is like 11 there's nothing happening. What's going on? 12 So, we're fighting through that as 13 well. 14 Thank you. 15 MS. GUPTA: All right, I'd like to 16 move on to discuss perspectives on the military 17 justice system. 18 Do you believe that the military 19 justice system is fair for both the victim and 20 the accused and why or why not? CMC CARROLL: I do. 21 22 And again, six years, again, to look at it from both sides.

I think we have, you know, I look at a lot of things in the service, would I want my children to be in the service. And if something happened to my children, either way, I think our military justice system would be the best place for them to be during one of those cases besides out in public.

I will say, our afloat tours, I wish that they were afforded the same ability to get a -- to elect court-martial. I think that would help adjudicate -- I think that would help evenly to a more fair system.

Because as we all know, if you're attached to a float unit in the Coast Guard, you can't elect to go to UCMJ. And I think that does just disadvantage as we are looking for people that need to go afloat.

It's just another disadvantage that they don't get the same judicial processes as their peers.

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: Yes, I just, if I

can, ma'am, just jump back to -- because I didn't want to oversee this, the policy changes.

Can I address that real quick?

One of the things that I had a recommendation on, and I don't know if this panel is the correct avenue for this, but here's what I would say.

Is that the pre-trial confinement, and taking a look at pre-trial confinement in the RCM and what exactly it states in there to hold someone in pre-trial confinement in the brig until, you know, their hearing at least and for the foreseeable future.

We just got done with an IRO hearing last week where the argument was made that, you know, the individual doesn't show, you know, that they wouldn't, you know, continue to be at work or they would continue to do undisciplinary things.

And I would just say that, well, you didn't share that until you did.

And the loss of confidence that we

have in this individual to be able to influence those that are around them, but also to be able to walk amongst the believed accused, you know, victims and those individuals walking around, the commanders hands seem to be tied to, you know, with the interpretation of the RCM.

And arguments that could be made on either side that are either stronger or not.

And then, we have IROs who are out there that aren't as educated and/or have not done but one or two IRO hearings.

Different services maintain different,

I don't want to say command cultures, but, you

know, we pride ourselves in the Marine Corps on,

you know, very much good order and discipline and
that being a focus of the character of who we are

as Marines.

And so, it's hard for us to swallow those when, specifically, we're not on a Marine Corps base to have those IRO hearings and be, you know, going through this system, I guess you would say, with respect to our own service.

1 So, that's just a challenge for us. 2 To address what you said, the fair 3 system, yes, I mean I think it's pretty fair and impartial. 4 5 I think at the end of the day, we've had quite a few folks go in, whether they're 6 7 Marine Corps or not courts-martial have done some 8 up here as well. And it's pretty fair and 9 impartial. 10 I've got other comments to that later, 11 but yes, thanks. CSM LATHAN: I think it's pretty fair 12 13 and impartial. 14 I think one of the things that we kind 15 of struggle with is the transparency piece of it. 16 And more importantly, the -- you can't 17 really have real transparency without the 18 education piece as well. And the education piece 19 is so hard to do a lot of times. 20 If somebody is or is not adjudicated, 21 I think that a lot of times leaders, myself 22 included, don't take the time to educate the

formation on what exactly happened.

And so, I think that, you know, in trying to make sure that reserving people's privacy and all that.

But I think that there needs to be a concerted effort by leaders to make sure that we tell what happened as much as we possibly can so that we promote good order and discipline and so that we promote the actual system and show how fair it is, whether the -- no matter how the process ended.

CMSGT HODGIN: I was just going to add that I do believe it's fair as well.

But the one thing I would say as far as the victim and the accused, when things drag on so long, the accused still has to exist within the unit.

And while the letter of the law may say one thing, the court of public opinion around the unit might say another thing, which I think, you know, especially if the person ends up being found, you know, not guilty of whatever the

1 accusation is, but those reputation damaging 2 thoughts that are already infected in the unit. 3 MS. GUPTA: I'd like to follow up on 4 the transparency piece. 5 Would anyone else like to comment on whether more transparency and information about 6 7 ongoing courts martial would increase awareness 8 and trust of the system? 9 SGTMAJ FONTENOT: I'll just say that 10 I'm a big fan of transparency. 11 But I think you also have to be 12 probably keep that filtered to a certain degree. 13 What I mean by that is, in full 14 transparency, you know, I've had some offices 15 that we have dealt with that, not the office itself, but the system there allowed for cocaine 16 17 use to, you know, for a lesser, you know, 18 sentence, say, hey, you know, it's not needed 19 here and, you know, and off we go. Right? 20 And what do I mean by that is that, you know, they've gone to their special or 21 22 summary, you know, court-martial and have been

found not guilty because they came up with the excuse of how, and the story of, you know, when things happened, which was believable to a certain degree.

And then, they believed them enough they went and now they're back in our ranks.

Where if we deal with those things, you know, at NJP, the stuff, you know, it's done, it's dealt with because the rules of evidence don't apply and it's different, you know, adjudication process.

But when it comes to the transparency piece of saying, hey, here's all the things that have happened over the last year, and this is the outcome of it, I think it could be very positive.

It was positive when I was a junior

Marine and I saw the list hanging up, you know,

down by the company First Sergeant's office that

said here's all the NJPs and courts martial we've

done over the last year and, you know, don't be

the one.

And you'd read some of that stuff

standing outside of his office just waiting to, you know, see him for something that was going on and going, man, I certainly don't want to go, you know, go down that road and that avenue.

So, I think full transparency can be more positive than it is not.

CMC RUSHING: I mean, in regards to non-judicial punishment at Navy unit level, those results are pretty much advertised either in the plan of the week, plan of the day, or things of that nature.

In regards to courts-martial, it's easy for me to go on the internet and do a search for Navy courts martials and I go the Navy legal site where it has a number of years worth of courts martials results, whether, you know, the guilty or not guilty, which is great.

What I struggle with with that is, if I want a little more detail on the case, even my JAG Corps officers struggle a little bit trying to get those details.

That would be beneficial, an easy way

1 to obtain a free or fore set and summary of the 2 case so we can -- the leaders can use that to 3 educate or whatever. Thank you. 4 CMC CARROLL: I would add with 5 transparency, it takes education for people to 6 understand what it looks like. 7 8 So, for example, you could see, and I 9 go to our good order and discipline message that a member was found not guilty of sexual assault. 10 11 That doesn't mean that the victim made 12 it up, that means they were not found guilty. 13 And those things, we have to be able 14 to tell the rank and file of our organization what that means. 15 16 Because we don't want a narrative 17 where people are making up cases like that. 18 And those are things I've had to talk 19 through at all hands and I'm glad to do that. 20 But we need to make sure that, with transparency 21 comes education as well so people understand what

it's supposed to look like and what the outcome

of a case truly means.

MS. GUPTA: Unless there's any other comments on that, I'm going to have a follow-up question to the fairness piece.

Do you believe there's a difference between how enlisted and officers are treated in the military justice system?

CMC CARROLL: I do, and I think we saw that with COVID. There's a legal-first policy standard of how you process people through and discharge them.

So, I do think that there is a difference. I sort of understand it, but I also think as a service we need to move past the difference.

I think our enlisted workforce is very capable, smart, educated, come through the system. Even if you look at the good-conduct medal that we get every four years, there's just no place for it anymore, because we expect all of our people to have good conduct, not just our officer corps.

1 So, I do feel like there's a 2 difference that we could work on. 3 SGTMAJ FONTENOT: All right, I'll jump in. There is a difference, but there's a reason 4 5 for that difference that I don't necessarily 6 fully understand but I accept. 7 A lot of sergeants major, first 8 sergeants, master gunnery sergeants, master 9 sergeants, senior enlisted, get in trouble, 10 they'll go away. They'll go away and they 11 retire. That has a second-door effect to their 12 13 lives and to whatever it is that's going on. 14 Specifically, like, I'll just talk about, like, 15 let's just talk about a Gunny. 16 Gunny has been in the Marine Corps for 17 sixteen years. He's told that, hey, you're going 18 to go away. You haven't reach eighteen-year 19 mark, you're not going to be around for 20 retirement, off you go. 21 But they weren't court-martialed, they 22 weren't NJP'd, but they were forced to move on.

That has a significant effect on all the things that were lost, not only that individual not get promoted, that individual did not reach retirement eligibility, and is no longer reaping all the benefits that come with that.

But going back to your educational piece, that's huge, which is a part of this whole thing. Right?

Is that if our young people don't understand that, hey, there is a difference, but here's the second-door effect of that difference, is that it'll continue to be a lack of acceptance, if you will, of what those differences are, because they don't understand that they all have an impact, it's just a different impact.

And I think it was brought up earlier that a lance corporal can get NJP'd, and/or court-martialed if they are retained, and still bounce back from that first enlistment -- right? -- get promoted to corporal, be able to

reenlist maybe as we do using a tier system -maybe not a top ten percent guy, but maybe a top
50, or a bottom third type of an individual, but
still the Marine Corps will say, hey, we need
retention, we need folks around, let's keep him
around.

Not a bad thing, but they have that ability. Officer? Not happening. You're going on the bad list, the commandant knows about you, and you're not sticking around anymore -- right? -- type of thing.

So, there's differences, but there's reasons for that.

MS. GUPTA: Any other comments on that?

CMSGT HODGIN: Just fairly similar to what Sergeant Major was just saying. So, on the Air Force side, the main differences I see is on the progressive discipline side. An LOC, an LOR for an airman is meant to nudge them back within standards, and they can recover from that, even all the way up to non-judicial punishment.

For officers, an LOR can be pretty much the end of their career. And so, the differences are there and they're visible. But the punitive nature of each is much less for the enlisted side, so, I understand the reason for it. And I've seen junior-enlisted, and even senior-enlisted, recover from not only LOCs, LORs, but also non-judicial punishment. And I think that uses a rehabilitative tool for the enlisted force.

CSM LATHAN: I agree. I think that it's not necessarily the same, but I believe that from an enlisted perspective, younger enlisted personnel do have the opportunity to bounce back, where there's a lot of times our officer counterparts may not necessarily have the same opportunity.

And so, giving an example, young officer does one thing, junior-enlisted does this over here. We visibly see the junior-enlisted be stripped of their rank and this, that, and the other. We don't necessarily see that for our

officer counterpart.

However, now that officer has bad paperwork. In a lot of ways, his or her career is over with. And not a lot of people see that.

And so, just educating our soldiers on what is going to happen to that person. You know, again, protecting their privacy. But just that education piece I believe goes a long way.

MS. GUPTA: All right, I'd like to shift gears and talk about the Office of Special Trial Counsel.

Do you believe that having an independent prosecutor rather than the commander make a decision about whether to prosecute certain cases, will improve the perception of fairness in the prosecutorial decision? And why, or why not?

CMC CARROLL: I do think it will. I'm in favor of it. I have not worked for a principal that didn't want to hold perpetrators accountable to the fullest extent for that.

I also understand that it is hard

sometimes when you can't share details to make it look like it's a fair assessment and everything, and a lot of times you'll see on some of these 120 cases, it'll be the perpetrator will have been a high performer.

And then it won't lead to the end result that the service wanted, based on all the facts we've already talked about.

So, I'm really a big fan of us shifting to an OCT and having that be a process that looks as impartial and as fair as it can be, and truly it's going to be.

And I also think, talking with my operational commander, I don't see where he -- he doesn't fill in a loss there, because again he wants the same thing, to be as transparent as we can, and have as much trust in the system with our people as possible. And we think that's a step in the right direction.

CMC RUSHING: I fully support it as well. Our unit commanders are more than capable to handle this stuff, but I tell you, they are

busy dealing with daily ops.

So, it was fair before, I thought, and I know it'll continue to be fair through the Office of Special Trial Counsel. But it does alleviate some of the work burden on those unit commanders. Thank you.

CMSGT HODGIN: Yes, I believe it was fair before and I think it'll still be fair.

I've had the pleasure, and over the course of my almost 26 years in the Air Force, like every commander that I've ever worked for had the best intentions.

And I didn't see anyone where I would raise my eyebrow and think that they were being unfair based on the circumstances that were presented to them.

And I still think it'll be fair under OSTC, where I'm hoping -- and I haven't seen it yet because it's such a new process -- that if the OSTC defers to take on a case, that that is sending an inadvertent signal to the commander that the case isn't worth pursuing.

I hope that that doesn't happen, which is why we're looking for feedback. Why are you not taking this case up? Is the evidence not going to reach a certain threshold, and possibly we could go after something else?

I'm concerned that when they say, no, we're not going to do it, that it's going to be a signal that, hey, we're going to drop this too.

MS. GUPTA: We already began speaking about this, but can you comment some more on effective disciplinary tools you've seen used outside of courts martial, to hold an accused accountable?

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: My boss jumped on it earlier, and I'll jump on it again. I didn't see making big rocks into little rocks. And I will tell you this. I was in the Marine Corps and I came in 1997, and we had CCU and it was very, very effective.

There was not one Marine that we didn't send out of First Marine Division to that correction facility, that didn't come back more

respectful, more disciplined, and really rehabilitated of all things that we expect out of these Devil Dogs.

And it wasn't just big rocks and little rocks. It was a rehabilitation that was there to really pour into these folks in a focused, in a short period of time of three weeks, to say, hey, what we're doing here and what you're doing is not okay. Let's hit the reset button here, get you going back in the right direction, and off we go.

And I will tell you it was a huge deterrent of saying, no, I'm good, I don't want to go in that direction, for our folks to take into consideration when thinking about misconduct.

CMC RUSHING: I fully concur with Sergeant Major's comments. Thank you.

CSM LATHAN: In the Army -- I've been in the Army almost 32 years. But we used to have something like that called School & Soldier. And so, it's made a comeback every now and then, but

it was highly effective. And it was for sure a deterrent.

We didn't make big rocks into little rocks, but again, the deterrent was there and everybody that went to school, the soldier did come back a more disciplined and a more respectful human being.

CMSGT HODGIN: So, for the Air Force, yes, almost everything we do doesn't rise to the level of a court-martial. So, the progressive discipline that we employ handles almost all of our discipline issues.

I think there's only been ten courtmartials at McChord over the last three years.

So, LOCs, LORs, non-judicial punishments, these
are the things that we use every single day in
order to nudge people back within standards.

CMC CARROLL: When I look at disciplinary cases, I look at it from, first of all, is it a one-time offense? I think all of us are talking about repeated performance of bad behavior.

1 I typically ask my senior leaders to 2 look at why. As to why are they in this 3 situation, or some sort of barrier that's relieving them from being as good as they can be. 4 5 And then we got to make a decision. Do we keep them and try to rehabilitate them, or 6 7 do we send them on their way? 8 Again, we don't have that process. 9 The Coast Guard used to be able to send them. 10 This was back when I first came into the Coast 11 Guard and I'm still around. But I don't have a 12 lot of experience to talk on that. 13 But I will say that I ask people to 14 lead in on the people we have, and truly try to 15 find out what is going on. 16 And we get very robotic in the Coast 17 Guard into rolling down a process of performance 18 probation, they fail performance probation, then 19 we discharge them. 20 Well, we need people in the Coast 21 So, I'm asking them, are you sure? You'd 22 rather sail short than sail with this person?

And that's led to, I would say, a lot of positive returns. But again, typically, people don't show up to work wanting to do bad.

So, I kind of ask us to lean in on that.

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: I would like to say too that -- and this directly correlates to it -- there was a commandant in 1999 who wrote a publication, and that publication was called Sustaining the Transformation.

General Krulak, to his credit, did a great job with writing this publication. And that publication gets after -- we take this civilian that's been a civilian typically, at least for 60-plus percent of the force in the Marine Corps, we take this individual, after eighteen years, put them in the Marine Corps, thirteen weeks of boot camp, transform into what we believe to be the best product that one can produce in the thirteen weeks.

That individual then has a litany of things that they go through before they get to the fleet.

They get to the fleet and it is really up to them, their leadership, to pour into them to continue to sustain the transformation of what we call Marine.

And General Neller reinvigorated that a few years ago as a commandant. And I will tell you that that is a focus that we have at our battalion, is getting after things like that.

Changing the character of an individual invites just an outcome and a behavior change. It's much like a parent does, as General Lejeune ended up alluding to, the parent -- dad relationship to a son, and to a daughter.

I'm not just after your behavior change, son. What I'm after is changing the character of who you are, because that's long-lasting.

And so, that directly correlates to good order and discipline and getting after these things, because when you're changing the character and desire of somebody's heart, which takes a very long time, those of us that are

parents, and a lot of patience and a lot of just pouring in. It's very exhausting.

You then start to change the climate and the culture of your unit, and that has an effect on shenanigans down the road.

MS. GUPTA: Turn it over to Dr. -- (Simultaneous speaking.)

MS. GUPTA: Oh, I apologize.

CSM LATHAN: The last thing I'll add to that is, one thing that we do take into consideration is bandwidth. And so, if you have an individual that is taking up a lot of bandwidth, down at the company's root battery level, sometimes we just have to do addition by subtraction.

And so, the Army is not necessarily growing. We're struggling with the sessions, and we're struggling with retention as well. But a lot of times this person has demonstrated, at chance after chance, that they clearly don't want to be all they can be. And sometimes, it's just we need to do a little bit of addition by

subtraction.

CHAIR HILLMAN: I think Captain

Schroder had a question too. I just wanted to

follow up. You see the consequences of a lot of

youthful behavior and misbehavior, mostly on the

good side, honestly, with the missions that

you're pursuing, and people supporting that, but

some on the other side.

And to be honest, as a parent, I think kids are a little different today than they were in the past. And so, I think the service members who you're leading are different than in the past.

Can you characterize that for me? I mean, what do you see now that's different?

CSM LATHAN: Nothing. They do the same stuff that I did when I was nineteen. The only difference is that everybody knows about it because of how they communicate.

There's nothing that these soldiers are doing differently than my kids do and my kids' friends do. It's just that we just know

about it a lot more.

And the regulations, and things of that nature, continue to change. And so, what was allowed when I came in the Army in the '90s, and what's allowed now, are two different planets.

And so, again, society really hasn't changed that much, kind of. We're still kind of doing the same stuff. I just think that -- and this is something I talk to my junior leaders about. Like, hey, these are the same humans that they've always been.

And it's not like these people are from Mars. They're just doing the same stuff.

We just have to use the tools at our disposal, to make sure that good order and discipline is adhered to in the organization.

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: Dr. Hillman, just for clarification, just societal folks coming in, and kind of what's the norm or the differences for them, I would say that for our level of nexus, the leather's not as thick.

They truly have grown up in a society that is different. And that's not wrong. It's just different.

And so, that's made them, I guess for a lesser of words, soft. And trying to build some of that resiliency, and that resiliency underneath the umbrella of what does it mean to get after the challenges in life that you face -- because everybody has anxiety, by the way, and how do you get after that? An acceptance of, it's okay not to be okay, it's not okay to live in that, and moving forward.

And so, from an educational standpoint, whether it be operational stress control, an assessment of risk, which is our OSCAR team that comes together in really trying to get after these issues, we find ourselves as leaders, as pouring a lot more into them, with respect to their resiliency or the lack thereof that they don't have, whether it was just from society, whether it was from their parents.

We know that the divorce rate has gone

up, right? And mom and dad's both not around.

And so, that has its own challenges that they bring.

I know this not because of politics or anything of that nature, but sitting kneecap to kneecap with young devil dogs that share these issues, and say, Sergeant Major, this is the problem that I'm having. This is what's going on.

And it is a problem from their home life and what they brought the baggage into the Marine Corps. And now, it's up to us to parent them, quite frankly, and to raise them up in the way that they should go.

And we're up for the challenge to do that. But that's a lot more of a challenge today than it was when I came in, when it was just, hey, suck it up, man. Like, this is just the way that it is. Keep it moving. Right?

Okay, Roger that. Why? Because the society said that that was okay. Society said that that approach was just how we did business.

The society today, which bleeds over into the services, does not say that that approach is okay. This is, no, no, no, no, no, we've got to sit down with these folks and do all the things, in order to get to this.

I don't disagree with you. But that takes a lot of time and a lot of effort and a lot of exhaustion on leadership's part to get after that. To do what I talked about in a previous question.

And so, that's viable. I think that's a big deal. I just don't know that we've really dove deep on, at what level is it -- okay, now we're going to be addressing these things almost from a rehab standpoint, and not from just leadership getting after it -- okay, hey, let's walk alongside you, just get this thing done in a timely manner.

But now, we're taking months, and if not sometimes years, to work with this individual through all the things that is offered to them, in order to, quote unquote, rehab them to be a

1 better Marine. 2 CAPT (R) SCHRODER: My question's not 3 as insightful as Dr. Hillman's? And I don't want to go too much into a rabbit hole. But I, like 4 Master Chief Carroll, seem to remember this idea 5 of a disciplinary platoon, or whatever you call 6 it. 7 But my memory was that the selection 8 9 process was, hey, people we think can be 10 rehabilitated. 11 It's not really as much disciplinary. 12 We're sending somebody for punishment, as to 13 rehabilitate them. And just I'm curious about 14 those of you who've talked about that. 15 Was that the process in the Marines, 16 or the Navy, or the Army? The selection process? 17 What were you looking for? 18 CMC CARROLL: When I look at 19 rehabilitation versus someone removed from the 20 Coast Guard, I look at are they doing harm to 21 somebody else with an intent?

So, if they're an actual want-to-do-

harm-to-somebody-else, they have no place in the Coast Guard.

If they need help getting back on track, that's where we need to lean in and do those things.

And the same with -- I'm not sure this is exactly on topic -- but say you see a mast.

So, if we hold a Captain's Mast, my typical recommendation for that is if we have a systemic issue within that area -- if it's a one-time person offending it, I don't even normally like going there, because there's other ways to get after it without doing that; without taking that time.

But if it's a systemic issue within a unit, a station, a sub-culture, then those are when I recommend those.

But for me, it's very simple. I look at a case as, is this person actively wanting to do harm to the service, or is it someone that made a one-time mistake, or someone that wants to do well and they're just struggling.

1 CMC RUSHING: For me, it's pretty 2 black and white. Whether a sailor -- let's say 3 they've executed misconduct. Was it an honest 4 mistake or a dishonest mistake. 5 And I think our commanders and our senior enlisted leaders in the Navy were pretty 6 7 savvy in regards to figuring that out. 8 So, that would be my litmus test. Was 9 it a dishonest mistake or an honest mistake? they be rehabilitated at a correctional unit or 10 If not, let's addition through subtraction. 11 12 SGTMAJ FONTENOT: Okay, I don't know 13 that we have enough time for me to unpack this. 14 But here's what I would say in short. I was a first sergeant in the same 15 16 unit that I'm at currently right now, 2014 17 through 2017. Again, chose to go back there 18 because that's where I started as a young Marine, 19 and the issues there are generational. 20 Here's what I would tell you, and what 21 I learned as a young leader as a first sergeant. 22 It's not if, it's not when.

It's not

a drawing a line in the sand that says, well, if you do this, if you do that right, then you deserve, or you will get, or my best, or rehabilitation, you've drawn a blank.

I'm going to pour into your leadership when you don't want to be poured into. I'm going to challenge you when you don't want to be challenged.

And the challenge for our leaders is to understand that your leadership and the pouring into people, regardless of whether they're pending sexual assault, or they're just being a knucklehead in the barracks, they don't get to choose, and neither do you.

You pour into all of them. Regardless of what they do. Not if and when they choose to be different, but because that's what you owe them to do as their senior enlisted leader.

And so, it's tiresome. It's exhausting. I would say that's not been the stance of leaders that I've been around, for various reasons. Right?

Usually, it's just their personalities and kind of where they're at. Pour into people who deserve to be poured into, who want to change.

There's a lot of times that young

Corporal Fontenot didn't want to change. And

there was a gunnery sergeant who sat me down

kneecap to kneecap and spent that time and poured

into me -- that I didn't deserve him doing

that -- that I changed.

And so, I believe that those things have a direct impact on the good order and discipline of a unit, and also the outcome of less shenanigans happening. So, it's less NJPs, less court-martials.

But everybody's got to be onboard with it. They can't be onesies and twosies who are doing things in that manner, if that makes sense.

JUDGE SOMERS: Hi. I don't know if this is on track with what we're talking about or not. I'm just going to frame it the way I was thinking of it.

So, when I was in the Air Force doing court-martials, you'd always try to get a panel member of either senior officers, or senior enlisted. We didn't want to have the young captain on there because they didn't have the perspective that we thought would be helpful for deciding a case.

And I'm wondering, you have such great experience in a lot of the young officers who are coming in, are coming from the same society that we were just talking about with the enlisted.

Are you able to guide those young officers to have more perspective? Do they listen to you? What kind of things can we take away from that?

Because I know that my senior enlisted advisors were my guideposts. And so, I just wonder if you're experiencing the same thing now.

CSM LATHAN: I think it's just being a good example, being the best you can possibly be in living the same values that you're saying, or you're enforcing to other people, to the best

1 of your ability. Nobody's perfect, but I think 2 there's a difference between you being perfect 3 and you being undisciplined. And so, not trying to be a robot or 4 5 anything like that, but just trying to be the best person that you can be at the individual 6 7 level, and being a good example. 8 CMC CARROLL: Back to both the 9 generational topics here. I call it the new 10 generation. 11 I don't say time, I would just say 12 younger than myself, is the why generation. They 13 want to know why. 14 So, and that includes officers and 15 enlisted. Why are we doing this? Why are we 16 doing that? And I like that. I tell my leaders, 17 18 if you're going to get on stage in front of a 19 crew, it's long gone are the days we're talking 20 about the next uniform change and some easy 21 button topic to talk to. 22 You've got to know. Because they

research. They've got it at the tips of their fingers. And they're going to come at you with some real deep stuff and know.

But that does lead to, and I've seen a junior officer's sailing our smaller ships thousands of miles off to Indo-Pacific to Nation Build. Twenty-six-year-old young leaders doing those kind of things.

I can't imagine that some of the things you war fighters are getting to do. But it comes with a cost. And I'm the safe place. They can shadow my door and say, I don't understand what the admiral's thinking. I don't understand what the 06 is thinking. And, okay, let's talk about it.

So, I actually see more junior officers than I do enlisted. Maybe that's just the proximity where I'm at this stage of my career. But I have a really good relationship with them, I think. So, thank you.

CMSGT HODGIN: Speaking as the father of a first lieutenant who's working down at

Cannon Air Force Base on the same mission that I was doing back in 2007, this definitely hits home.

One of the things we teach our lieutenants is to find a master sergeant. Right? Right when you get in, find that master sergeant, because that master sergeant's probably been in close to 20 years, you've been in for very little time, you're 22, 23 years old.

But one of the things that I ended up teaching -- we have what we call flight leadership course -- that's kind of the first time that they're in that command relationship.

And it can be easy to defer to the enlisted member because of their experience. But then teaching them, like, no, we appreciate that you take our advice, especially on enlisted matters. But you've got to be the decision-maker in this situation.

And if there's ever a part where they disagree, the officer should be winning in those situations. And then if the chief master

sergeant needs to get involved, then the chief master sergeant gets involves and explains to the officer the nuances of the enlisted path.

So, yeah, that education piece for the young officer to respect us enough to listen to what we say, but also know that they're the decision-maker in the relationship.

CMC RUSHING: Good morning, ma'am.

Yes, I agree with everything that's been said.

In my experience, our junior officers are pretty

darn sharp already. And they do tend to latch on

to the chief petty officer, especially at sea.

The few JO's that I've seen that have screwed up, they only screw up once, and then they go and talk to their senior enlisted leader.

But to couple with that, our ship captains are pretty darn good at telling those junior officers, hey, what did the chief say?

So, we get that good forceful watch team back up at the commanding officer level.

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: They don't come see me, I go see them. I think it's a culture of

what we breed.

But here's what I would say, is that empowerment. The commanding officer either empowers the sergeant major or he doesn't. And you get marginalized to the officers.

Specifically, the junior officers.

And so, to CMC's point, when you say, hey, what did the chief say, that's empowerment, right?

To say, oh, the gentleman or the lady who I look up to the most and who is the senior officer of this boat, or of this unit, I need to listen to them, and they're telling me to go talk to the chief, they're telling me to go talk to the staff sergeant, to the gunnery, to the sergeant major, whoever, that's empowerment.

And I think it starts there. Because if you don't have that -- and I experienced this when I was at VMGR-352 as a sergeant major there. Pilots didn't want to listen to the sergeant major.

And that's because I'm an outsider.

I'm the only 89-9 that's there, everybody else is an air wing. Never been an air wing in my life. Infantry guy growing up, type of thing.

And I soon came to realize this. Wait a minute. I'm not being empowered to do the things, and for them to listen to what I have to say.

Because I'm not jacked up. And I know what I'm talking about. I didn't get selected to sergeant major because I'm just all dorked up.

It's because at the end of the day, like, I've got something to say that you may want to take into consideration.

And so, I went to my boss and I said, hey, sir, this is what I believe what's going on. He's like, huh. That's very interesting. I hadn't thought about that.

I said, look, two things. When you get into the -- I forgot what they called it, but -- the ready room, right? And you get in the ready room and you talk to all your officers.

Empower me. And talk about, like, the sergeant

major, and this and that and so on and so forth.

And it was a different way of him getting after and saying, hey, listen, these are the things that I need you to do, and one of those things is listening to what he has to day. Because if he's saying it, I'm saying it. And if he's saying it, it's important.

And so, that opened the door to things like what we're talking about today. For them to go, oh, okay, you mean legally, fill in the blank, right? You mean, this is how this works, or this is how that works.

And the second part of that was, where he's at, I'm at. Right? And that means officer-only meetings. Know the sergeant major was there too, to pour into them, and again, to allow me to have an audience with them, to grow them up in the ways that they should go and what's expected of them by the institution.

And that has second-tier effects, whether it's in a court-martial room, whether it's on a panel, whether it's them sitting on,

oh, by the way -- and I had an opportunity to talk to them about sitting on AdSep boards.

Like, hey, gentlemen, ladies, this is what you need to know and understand about AdSep boards, and how and why, and things to take into consideration.

So, I wasn't doing it whenever they were on an AdSep board and I have a command under the influence, but it was just the educational piece, right? And then bringing the SJA and having those discussions about things that you take into consideration when they're on AdSep boards.

CSM LATHAN: I think for the Marine

Corps and the Army, we kind of share a little bit

of the same culture. So, just like sergeant

major, they don't come talk to me.

It's just kind of like Army culture. Like, their initial instinct, especially junior officers, is to avoid the sergeant major. It's just it is what it is.

But we seek out those opportunities to

talk with them, especially company-true battery commanders, and even platoon leaders. Just pulling them off to the side, talking to them, talking to them about whatever they're doing. Like, me and my commander always take opportunities to pull those junior officers off to the side and talk to them.

And he always defers to me. He will literally in public go, I don't know, I'll defer to the sergeant major.

And so, just like he's saying,
empowering your senior enlisted advisor or your
senior enlisted leader to help out with that kind
of stuff shows our officer counterparts, like,
hey, one, we are to be trusted.

And again, something I say all the time. I will tell you, if I don't know, I don't know. And I will say, sir, I don't know.

But the long and the short of it is, it's just making sure you have those open lines of communication, and then the relationship that you have with your commander bleeds down

1 throughout the entirety of the brigade. 2 COL (R) BRUNSON: Sergeant Major, we 3 are taught as lieutenants to fear sergeants So, that's why we're not talking to you. 4 So, I want to change 5 MG (R) EWERS: the trajectory just a little bit. 6 7 So, I mean, the great thing about the 8 military justice system that I grew up in, was 9 that the commander was the lord of all that they 10 surveyed. That's it. 11 So, as a senior enlisted advisor, CO 12 makes a decision people ask, geez, how can they 13 possibly make that decision. You say, that's 14 what the CO decided. And now, we've flipped the script. 15 16 Now, the OSTC makes the decision, and the 17 commander might not agree with it. 18 So, now, you're holding onto that 19 decision; that you've just been advised by the commander that the OSTC has made a decision on a 20 21 case and you need to explain it to your Marines,

soldiers, sailors, airmen, Coasties. What do you

say?

CSM LATHAN: So, by the time you make E9, there are three things that you are: a private investigator, a counselor, and an actor.

And so, a lot of times, what you have to do is, you own it. You sit in a room with your commander, you guys go back and forth, you may even argue about it, just you and him.

You walk outside that room, you are a united front. Period. And so, people will kind of understand that maybe that's not the most popular decision that's ever made, but as long as you and your commander are a united front and you and him or her have a clear understanding of where you are at personally with it, but you are still soldiers, and more importantly, professionals, you walk out of that room as a united front.

I think that it keeps good order and discipline intact, and again, we just go back to the transparency piece and education.

Because, again, I don't think that the

transparency can work without the proper education and working with the right people to make sure that that message gets down to the individual level. But the proper education, I believe, is the way to go, sir.

CMC CARROLL: I agree. The office is an admiral for us in the Coast Guard. And I think we're going to stand by their decision. We know they are a trained expert in that.

I have a lot of faith in ours that we're going to get it right as much as we can, and I just don't see where we're going to have -- I'm certainly not going to shed light between my admiral and that admiral, as far as it comes to decision-making. And I'm pretty confident mine will do the same, and it'll be the decision we'll roll out and carry the message.

CMC RUSHING: I think the Judge

Advocate General Corps does a really good job, or

will do and continue to do a good job of

outlining the legal portions of the OSTC

decisions.

I mean, not to relitigate the case or whatever, but I've seen my Jag Corps officer do a good job of explaining what happened. Thank you, sir.

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: General, I would just say that it would be like undermining if we did undermine the system, right? It'd be just like undermining Lieutenant General Cavanaugh. It's no different, right?

I mean, this is the system which we have. We trust in the system. We believe it to be fair and impartial. And what comes out of that office, we got their back on it.

Between me and the commander and/or a few of the command team members, I'm sure we can disagree and have that closed-door session. But coming out of there, I believe, just like these gentlemen, being very professional and, hey, this is the outcome, this is what it is.

I'm a big proponent that the command, and specifically senior enlisted, for me, will take the attitude of myself.

And if I have a positive attitude towards the judicial system and towards the outcome of it to be fair and impartial, they will reciprocate that as well.

CAPT (R) ALDANA: I have a question regarding the intersection of mental health issues and discipline or military justice. Have you seen a rise, or has that been an issue in your cases that you advise or review with your commanders?

CMC CARROLL: Yeah, I think we can maybe talk generations again. But the first time somebody fails can be a big failure. And they have a very hard time with that as they're waiting to find out their fate, so to speak, and we do -- so we lean into the accused very hard to make sure that they're being looked after.

The first thing I would tell somebody that maybe that got a DUI, is like, today's the worst day and it's going to get better from here.

And we're going to stand with you through this. You made a mistake but you have

your whole life ahead of you.

You know, we have to have those positive conversations with them, because I do agree, I think mental health is on the rise.

But I also think it's more and more people willing to talk about it. So, if they're willing to talk about it, I'm willing to have that conversation.

But that's why we need to speed through things, as the wait is what is so hard, I see on our people.

CMC RUSHING: Sir, if I could add onto that, when it comes to the UCMJ, in my experience, if they meet the elements of the article, then easy day in regards to military justice.

But when it comes to mental health, I see an issue in the administrative portion of that. I've seen a rise, in my experience over the past couple of years, of administratively possibly separating sailors, the Navy for adjustment disorders, and things of that nature,

1 when there has been, in my opinion, no evidence 2 of that up to a certain point. 3 So, that's where I see it. necessarily in the military justice system, but 4 5 in the admin portion. Thank you, sir. 6 SGTMAJ FONTENOT: I got plenty to say, 7 but I'm saving it. 8 MS. GUPTA: Since it was just brought 9 up, maybe we can have some follow-up questions on 10 administrative separations. 11 Can you share your thoughts on whether 12 they are appropriately retaining, verus 13 separating, service members accused of misconduct 14 similar to what was just brought up? 15 SGTMAJ FONTENOT: I'm glad that you 16 brought that up, because I made a lot of notes 17 about AdSep. 18 First and foremost, I'd like to just 19 address that the board members themselves that's 20 being chosen for this, they're us, I mean, 21 they're anybody, they're whoever. 22 And I'm sure they get briefed up and

there's a few things that happen there. But at the end of the day, it's just like our board members that are on the promotion panels for the Marine Corps.

It's folks coming together, read some precepts, and off you go, given it's kind of left and right latter limits, and then they operate within that.

But what we call that comes out of there is the flavor of the board. And that is very fluent. You can't really define it and you don't really understand it. But it's a real thing.

And that is the same thing that I see out of these AdSep boards. That these board members, which isn't necessarily fair, will say, hey, retain this individual, for whatever the reason. When they've done drugs, for instance.

And we have said, and you sign at MEPS saying that the Marine Corps has a zero-tolerance and zero-policy on drugs. On the use of illegal drugs.

Well, we either do or we don't. when you go to the justice system -- now, I get it, it's a justice system, it's what's written, and I support that -- but you put people that are in there who have various of opinions of whether or not somebody should or should not be in continuing service, that's hard to swallow when we're retaining some folks that you had an opportunity to make the decision, you're part of a professional organization that prides themselves on doing the right thing regardless of what the cost is -- because in combat, what I don't need is, I don't need to second-guess whether or not you have good judgment and whether or not you are going to be relied upon to do the right things.

And so, that's a tough pill to swallow. Specifically, I guess just for us in the Marine Corps, whether or not someone continues service.

One of the things that I would just say to you is that I just think that there just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

needs to be a little bit more education when it comes to the process.

We try to do the best that we can. get after that with the SJA coming down. had that individual once already in our command -- that's officers and staff NCOs and the two go together -- has talked to the justice system, we talk through AdSep, we talk through NJPs and court-martials and investigations, and things of that nature, but a continuation of that, maybe through the ROSOs, is what our next move is, is to bring them out, get a different perspective on some things -- case law and stuff that's been happening locally -- and maybe just giving a better perspective, so when they go into those cases, they have a better filter in which they see everything through, and it's not just one-sided or uneducated, that they're making some pretty serious decisions on.

CSM LATHAN: I think administrative separation can be a very effective tool. I think anything that gives commanders more options than

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

not is always good, in my book.

A lot of -- I wouldn't say a lot of times. There have been times where somebody does not necessarily meet criteria for court-martial, for different reasons.

The administrative separation is a tool that the commander can use in order to show the formation that at minimum, this type of behavior will not be tolerated in this organization.

Even though we couldn't court-martial, or even in some situations use UCMJ, but we can use the administrative separation process as another tool for the commander.

COL (R) BRUNSON: As a follow-up to that, what is your opinion on those instances in which a mandatory initiation of an Adsep board is required, so it kind of really takes any decision-making out of your hands? What are your thoughts?

CSM LATHAN: So, I don't mind those, ma'am. Because there's still a little bit of

discretion that the commander can use at Echelon. So, there's the mandatory separations that occur where my boss is the final approving authority on it.

And so, he allows for commanders at Echelon, company-true battery, and even battalion commanders, to get input on whether we should retain, or continue to go ahead and separate the soldier.

And even for those situations where it's the commanding general that makes that final decision, she allows us the same ability to give our comments on whether we want to retain, or just move forward with the separation.

And I think it's case-by-case. And it's based off of what the soldier's performance, and more importantly, what their potential is to continue to serve in the military.

So, I think that it's okay to have things that are mandatory, as long as we still have the avenue of, we don't have to totally ring the bell in terms of the administrative

separation. We still have a little bit of wiggle room in terms of our input.

CMC CARROLL: I think we could look at time and service for the Coast Guard, that generates either a, you get to an Adsep board, or you don't get an Adsep board. And I would ask and the why those timelines, and is that old data? Should we maybe reexamine giving everyone the opportunity for an Adsep board?

CMSGT HODGIN: I concur with Command Sergeant Major with regards to the waivers. We see this a lot with DUIs off-base and it automatically triggers a discharge.

The squadron commander can then submit to a waiver, which is approved or denied by my boss. And any time a squadron commander has put themselves, their name, on the line and said, this airman we need to try to give one more shot, it's been approved. So, I like the ability for us to do that.

CSM LATHAN: Yeah, just to chime in on that. There was a time period we got too many.

But myself and my commander, those commanders and leaders that wanted to retain the soldier, you had to come to the boss's office in person with the soldier, and stand on the carpet and say why you wanted to keep this soldier.

And what that did is it put the onus on that soldier to say, hey listen, everybody from your battalion command team, all the way down to your team leaders, coming in here vouching for you, saying that you should stay in the Army and be a soldier.

And so, we've had a pretty good track record in doing so. So, something that we want to keep doing.

MS. GUPTA: I want to pick up on the theme of rehabilitation that we were talking about earlier, but specifically in the context of service members who are convicted at courts martial.

Can you offer any suggestions on ways that the military justice system can, or even should, be changed to place more consideration on

rehabilitation -- for example, substance abuse programs, or things of that sort -- after a conviction?

CMSGT HODGIN: So, I don't know about my counterparts, but from my experience in the Air Force, if a situation -- and ma'am, you mentioned it earlier in the day, case-specific -- but if it rises to the level of a court-martial, it's typically a situation that is a significant core-values issue.

And if it is, that would be my red line. Anything that's a red line with a core value like -- I think of it as a super-structure with a foundation. And any beam or wall that's in part of that super-structure that could have the ability to affect that foundation, which is our core values, have caused the whole building to come down.

And so, from my experience in 26 years in the Air Force, I wouldn't think that there would be a situation where an airman is going to court-martial and gets convicted, and then we

would want that person in the formation.

CMC CARROLL: My only thought would be that what is the point of going to the brig, let's say. What is the point? Are we trying to put them away forever? Are we going to try to rehabilitate them and put them back into society? Maybe not back into the service, but into the society.

I would ask that we examine a person we release back into the society, and try to do our best to be proud of the resources we gave that person, as a transition from the military back to the society. Maybe not for themselves, but for the better good of our country.

CSM LATHAN: I think it matters the level of court-martial. And so, with the three different levels of court-martial, the two lower levels, I think that there's an opportunity for rehabilitation.

And to be quite honest, I've actually seen it in one or two occasions, where the lower-level court-martial soldier was quilty, did their

punishment time -- one of them actually had to do hard labor and came back to the formation -- but some of those were able to be rehabilitated. So, I believe it in terms of the lower-level courtmartials.

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: I would just ask ourselves are we a rehabilitation institution. I don't know, I haven't really dove deep into that, but it's a consideration.

We're a warfighting organization. And at the end of the day we're building war fighters. Again, going back to Sustaining the Transformation, General Krulak made it very clear, and we've certainly adhered to that, that we owe society a better civilian Marine whenever they go back to society, defending society better than what they left it.

But to what end? And where's that line at? I don't know. But there's got to be something. You have to have the parameters. You have to say something that says, if this, then that.

Because if you don't, you've opened it to interpretation of -- it takes a lot of time to rehab somebody. It takes a lot of resources -- money, and people, and doctors, and folks to get them beyond where they were at. Or we can just bring back CCU and be okay. So -- I'm just kidding.

CHAIR HILLMAN: I'm going to muddy the waters a little. We're building war fighters and breaking war fighters sometimes too. And you all know that, the stresses and the situations into which we put folks, sometimes they come back harmed in ways that causes them maybe to violate core values.

So, it's not a different calculus then at that point. I guess you'd work to keep that out of a court-martial, and to manage it in a different way. So, maybe that's the distinction here.

But sometimes the behavior that results from that PTS could be something that would rise to the level of a court-martial

1 offense. And I think, as you know, it's a difficult calculus. 2 3 MG (R) EWERS: And we actually require our commanders to make those considerations for a 4 5 lot of discharge decisions at this point. So, I mean, the number of cases where 6 7 somebody goes to a court-martial and might get 8 rehabilitated, meaning stay in the service, is 9 vanishingly small. There's so few of them. 10 And because they're on such a small 11 case-by-case basis, there's usually a readily 12 apparent reason for doing it, despite the fact 13 that the crime wouldn't suggest that 14 rehabilitation's a possibility. That's just for 15 what it's worth. 16 CMSGT HODGIN: Ma'am, I would agree 17 with you about the breaking war fighters piece. 18 And as far as if the core values are broken, 19 maybe continued service isn't an option. But we 20 don't forget about people. 21 Every chance I get -- I've had a 22 chance to talk to senators and Congress people

and civilians, like such as yourselves -- when you make decisions to send us war fighters to places where we have to do things, and we do come back, you can't forget about us on the back end.

And so, every chance I get to preach that message, that's what I say. Because maybe I come back and I can't continue to serve, but I'm still a person and I still carry those scars, whether physical or mental, for the rest of my days.

CMC RUSHING: Ma'am, if I may add, I think there's a difference between the lower-level misconducts and the correction custody unit-type rehabilitation, if there's drug abuse or alcohol abuse.

A lot of rehabilitation options are available to the service member. I think we fully inculcate that into the sailor's life.

But when a sailor is convicted at a courts martial, and then the idea, in my opinion, of rehabilitation to maintain that service member in our Navy, I don't want any part of it. I want

them gone.

Because here's the way I look at it.

The service member takes that oath of enlistment,

or the officer takes that oath of office, and

it's different than the general public.

Held to a higher standard, whether we like it or not, I don't want them in the Navy if they're found guilty at a courts martial. Thank you.

COL (R) BRUNSON: Does that change at all, given from what I understand now, that with the creation of the Office of Special Trial

Counsel handling all the big serious cases, now the regular SJA office is freed up to handle some of the low-lying fruit?

Where before it may have just gone to NJP, or administrative separation, well now they have the time.

So, the idea is, well, maybe there will be more courts martial of things that three years ago would have never seen the inside of a courtroom. Does that change your opinion at all?

CMC CARROLL: Ma'am, I would just -I have not seen where our poor legal staff is
freed up at all. I think they continually get
more and more and more. And I think we see
operational commanders, rightfully so, lean on
them for big decisions.

So, while I would like to think they could have time for that, it's immediately -- at least in the Coast Guard -- has been redirected to areas where they need to focus in.

So, I just want to be careful as we talk about removing some of their duties.

They're also preparing to send the information that way. They're working through the cases, they're working with our investigators, and there's more need than there is lawyers. So, I find that they don't have time to lean into other things besides what they're going. Thank you.

SGTMAJ FONTENOT: Colonel, I would just say in short, ma'am, I haven't really felt anything different, as far as putting this into play.

And I know that there's more that off 1 2 their plate, which is great. But from a commands 3 perspective, there was consideration, either you belong commercial or you don't. 4 We either believe it needs to be at 5 that level, because what we're going to do is not 6 7 going to help maintain good order and discipline, 8 and/or send the right message, or hold you 9 accountable to the egregiousness of your offense. 10 So, it's neither here nor there. Ι 11 didn't care whether or not they were, like, heavy 12 burdened on caseloads, and things of that nature. 13 Like, this is where the commander believe it 14 needs to be at, that's where it's going to be at. 15 And if it's going to take a year, 16 year-and-a-half, so be it. That's the cost of 17 what came with it. 18 So, to answer your question, ma'am, 19 it's neither here nor there for me, and what I'd 20 advise the commander on. 21 Dr. Hillman, if I could, ma'am, just 22 address what you said, which is what you're

talking about, I'd love to have a sidebar conversation on.

But in short, ma'am. Be careful with that we break war fighters. I believe that the ugliness of combat in a deployment, the byproduct is a morally wounded -- specifically, morally injured -- Marine, or service member.

We don't do a great job at the transition back to society. And I'll just talk 04, 06, 09, and the combat tours I've had.

Ninety-six hours later, I'm at home and I'm asleep in my bed. I won't get into the details, but we didn't do that well.

And yes, can it have second-door effects? Absolutely. Why didn't it with me? Right? And why with other people that are going to court-martials, egregious offenses, things of that nature? Watching my own brothers out there doing things and going, what in the world is going on?

Some of that may be a change in the actual brain tissues, and firing off of protons

and neutrons, and things I don't understand.

But I get back to resiliency. And I look back and said, what allowed me to go through that not once but multiple times, to come back and be a resilient Marine?

And it was the four pillars. And I won't get into all that, but it was being well-balanced in those things. And people who I allowed to pour into my life to make a difference. To keep me out of trouble.

But it was also to my moral convictions, and also the path in which I chose to take when times of distress.

Unfortunately, my family was a part of that transition. But we're better because of it today.

But those are all choices. And again, if you set aside the issue of the brain not working the way it's supposed to and firing off, and the actual doctor stuff that I don't understand, and you just get back to the moral scars and the things that we carry with

ourselves, it's how you choose to deal with it every day that you wake up, and what you choose to focus on.

And so, that's a challenge. Because a young person specifically -- and we see; and I'd love to know what the stats are -- that go to court-martial, that go to NJP, that go to things for egregious offenses, and you go, what is going on in this individual's life?

Well, what were you thinking when you were young? And if we're being quite honest, some of you didn't get caught. Right?

So, just to be fair, that's a huge thing to unpack with respect to these folks coming back and how we're dealing with that.

But I think there's a lot more that could be done to get after it on purpose, so that we're transitioning this individual the best that we can. Because everything that we have in place, for the most part, outside of, like I said, obviously for us, is reactive. Right? It's reactive.

1 If you're having a problem, go see 2 this person. You don't know what to do with 3 this, go see that. Right? But it's not on the front end proactive. 4 5 MS. GUPTA: I'd like to check in with our Zoom members. Judge Kasold, or Captain 6 7 Barney, do you have anything to add to the 8 conversation? Any comments? 9 Does not sound like that. Open up to 10 the members as well, if anyone has anything else 11 they would like to get in before we have to conclude. 12 13 MR. AGARWAL: I'm sorry, I couldn't 14 press the button fast enough. Judge Kasold, I 15 don't have any questions. Thank you. 16 MS. GUPTA: Okay, so if there's 17 anything else that we haven't covered that you would like to share, we have a couple of minutes 18 19 and we invite you to do that right now. 20 CMC CARROLL: I want to thank you for 21 having us here today. I want to remember that 22 again the work you do is hard and gritty. Right?

2 service and what we braq about. But it's so important as part of 3 taking care of our people. And again, I made a 4 5 few comments about the legal staff working late. And you all really do that because you care about 6 7 people and you care about getting it right. 8 So, I want to appreciate that you're 9 doing this and that you're looking into this and 10 trying to get this right for our workforce. 11 CHAIR HILLMAN: Feel free to be more 12 critical. I appreciate that. And we have a role 13 to play where we can, as I said at the top, 14 either move towards progress or fall back. 15 we like to keep making it possible for you all to 16 move ahead. 17 So, if there's anything else you're seeing that you think we should make sure not to 18 19 touch, or maybe we should tinker with, we'd be 20 happy to hear it. But thank you for that. 21 SGTMAJ FONTENOT: Yep, thanks for

And it's sometimes not at the forefront of the

I would say just in that vein,

that, ma'am.

22

don't tinker with what the commanders' abilities are to be able to hold people accountable.

There was a comment earlier of, like, whether or not the Special Trial Counsel should have taken away these things from the commander and whatnot. And somebody made a decision at some point and we carried on, said, Roger that, and off we went.

But if we could, as much as

possible -- we put so much into these commanders

to say, hey, you are trusted enough to take a

boat out across the whole entire world and do all

the things -- you're entrusted to lead an

infantry battalion into the face of adversity and

take the fight to the enemy, I think that we can

trust them to continue to maintain order and

discipline with being fair and impartial.

That does not mean that we can't pour in more education and more knowledge, and advisors, and things of that nature, at the battalion brigade level. But I would like to not see in the future, their arms be tied with

1	maintaining order and discipline within their
2	unit.
3	MS. GUPTA: Thank you so much for your
4	time today. We appreciate the insightful
5	comments and your thoughtful responses, and
6	taking the time to come today. Thank you.
7	MR. LIBRETTO: Ladies and gentlemen,
8	just a reminder, we'll be back on the record at
9	1:45. And the remainder of the two-day public
10	meeting will be closed to the public. So, all
11	those in attendance and virtually, please
12	recognize that the remainder of the meeting will
13	be closed. Thank you.
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
15	went off the record at 12:40 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A
<b>a.m</b> 1:11 4:2 98:5,6
abilities 191:1
<b>ability</b> 119:10 131:8
154:1 174:12 175:19
177:16
able 11:20 18:11 51:3
66:3 69:2 99:15
107:12,17 109:6,20 110:1 121:1,2 127:13
130:22 139:9 153:12
179:3 191:2
aboard 78:21
above-entitled 98:4
192:14
absolutely 24:19 28:2
42:14 69:7 77:6 81:21
111:2 117:15 186:15
<b>abuse</b> 85:5,7 177:1
182:14,15
accept 129:6
acceptance 130:14
145:10
accepted 87:20
accompany 55:13
accountability 7:8
41:10 72:14 95:18
96:1,13
accountable 69:16,17 70:1,1 78:22 79:2,7
133:21 136:13 185:9
191:2
accusation 124:1
accused 70:22 118:20
121:3 123:15,16
136:12 167:16 169:13
acquittals 36:22
act 49:10
Acting 28:4
action 31:3,10 38:8
90:15
actions 4:19 17:11
41:10
active 28:6 32:14
actively 149:19
actor 164:4
actual 72:6 123:9
148:22 186:22 187:20 add 5:18 10:4 36:14
45:7 49:13 54:18
61:17 63:22 65:9
69:11 111:18 115:1
116:5 123:12 127:5
142:9 168:12 182:11
189:7
added 17:14
addition 75:6 142:14,22
150:11
i

additional 13:15 address 13:17 19:7 27:20 93:20 120:3 122:2 169:19 185:22 addressed 114:16 addressing 147:14 adhered 144:17 179:14 adjudge 69:4 adjudicate 117:20 119:12 adjudicated 32:18 34:4 84:17 112:20 122:20 adjudication 125:11 adjustment 168:22 admin 27:2 37:14 57:14 57:16 118:6 169:5 administration 113:3,4 administrative 4:18 26:7 35:14,16 36:6,14 38:4,5,8 64:11 73:5 168:18 169:10 172:20 173:6,13 174:22 183:17 administratively 115:21 168:20 admiral 101:13 102:6 165:7,14,14 admiral's 155:13 **AdSep** 161:2,4,8,12 169:17 170:15 172:8 173:17 175:5.6.9 adverse 4:18 adversity 191:14 advertised 126:9 advice 156:17 advise 6:21 99:11 107:12 167:9 185:20 advised 163:19 advising 66:21 advisor 98:2 162:12 163:11 advisors 3:3 98:8,17 153:17 191:20 advocate 23:9 46:18 62:3 165:19 **affairs** 111:12 **affect** 177:16 afforded 36:9 119:10 afloat 119:9.18 afternoon 101:1 102:5 **AGARWAL** 189:13 age 65:21 **aggregate** 54:5 64:6 104:3 **ago** 25:9 103:21 111:16 141:6 183:21 agree 49:22 54:11,19

157:9 163:17 165:6 168:4 181:16 Agreed 86:2 agreement 53:9 59:7,10 59:14 60:20 61:4,10 agreements 53:3,5 60:11 61:17 ahead 6:2,19 7:1 22:5 80:20 83:11 105:6 168:1 174:8 190:16 air 2:16,19 5:12 10:7 14:20 15:1 28:9,13,14 29:12,20 36:20 37:11 48:13,17 52:20 58:17 64:21 65:10 67:19 69:19 75:9 85:22 87:15 88:7,14 100:8 101:5,8 116:5 131:18 135:10 138:8 153:1 156:1 159:2,2 177:6 177:20 aircraft 82:22 83:2 112:5 Airlift 28:4 101:3 airman 30:19 78:12 82:11 101:10 131:20 175:18 177:21 airmen 28:6 86:19 87:12 88:18 101:9 104:2 163:22 alcohol 19:20 25:7 76:13 106:21 182:15 alcohol-related 19:16 **Aldana** 1:15 111:7 167:5 Alice 2:3 allegations 25:14,19 **Allen** 2:13 alleviate 135:5 allow 94:16 160:16 allowed 72:1 124:16 144:4,5 187:3,9 **allows** 174:5,12 alluded 82:15 alluding 141:12 alongside 147:17 altering 6:12 alternative 117:22 Amanda 2:6 America 24:10 amount 11:22 12:1 20:5 52:12,19 99:8 amplify 11:11 analogy 16:2 **Anaya** 101:4 and/or 117:21 121:10 130:19 166:14 185:8 **Andrew** 2:16,21 5:9

**Andy** 102:19 anecdotal 72:12 angle 86:11 annual 29:5 answer 5:17 7:6,18,21 25:4,4 26:4 90:9 92:12 93:1 98:18 104:21 105:2 185:18 anti-64:12 anticipate 42:3,9 anxiety 52:6 145:9 anybody 22:7 169:21 anymore 16:5 73:7 128:20 131:10 anyway 84:3,20 apologize 142:8 apparent 181:12 appear 42:12 appears 69:21 Applause 97:21 applied 70:21 applies 9:11 apply 125:10 **appreciate** 6:1 16:12 24:10 55:8 80:19 97:1 97:16 113:13 156:16 190:8.12 192:4 approach 29:9 37:20 146:22 147:3 **appropriate** 8:12 35:17 59:12 61:9 80:19 appropriately 109:20 112:21 169:12 approved 175:15,19 approving 174:3 approximately 28:20 APRIL 1:7 **AR** 17:22 area 36:10 66:12 149:10 areas 117:5 184:10 Arenda 2:13 argue 118:4 164:8 argument 120:15 arguments 121:7 arm 29:21 33:11 **arms** 102:3 191:22 **Army** 2:16,19 5:10 14:10 16:15 27:16 29:11,16,22 69:19 73:22 100:16 137:19 137:20 142:16 144:4 148:16 161:15,18 176:11 **Army's** 9:15 array 8:5,10 17:3 article 39:18,20 40:9

77:10 113:14 132:11

41:18 43:2 90:8 91:2

II			
168:15	124:7	<b>battalions</b> 103:1 107:8	134:9 136:16 137:4
articles 69:19		battery 142:13 162:1	138:3 147:12 166:20
articulate 57:2	В	174:6	167:13 183:13 184:6
articulated 58:11	back 11:1 18:20 26:20	<b>Bay</b> 23:2	biggest 7:10,15 12:11
articulates 40:16	30:15 34:21 35:7	BCD 82:8	13:22 60:12 103:16
articulation 56:14	36:11 47:7 48:15 49:4	beam 177:14	104:13 105:5,7,17
<b>aside</b> 187:18	49:8 52:20 53:12	bearers 113:8	106:13
asked 41:16 57:14	56:20 68:21 81:15,18	bed 186:12	billet 108:2
asking 114:3 139:21	82:12 83:8,17 86:9	<b>began</b> 136:9	billets 5:4
asleep 186:12	90:15 91:7 94:16 98:1	beginning 37:7 82:16	<b>binds</b> 53:4
aspect 77:22	115:16 116:1 120:1	90:4 109:12	bios 99:1
aspects 66:3 95:10	125:6 130:7,21	begun 45:15	bit 13:3 27:19 33:3
assault 4:22 20:1 23:15	131:20 132:14 136:22	behalf 37:3	39:17 40:21 73:15
29:4 33:8 34:14 45:21	137:10 138:6,17	behavior 138:22 141:10	109:16 114:7,13
60:15,15 77:1 84:2	139:10 149:3 150:17	141:14 143:5 173:9	126:20 142:22 161:15
104:6 112:10,11,19	154:8 156:2 157:19	180:20	163:6 172:1 173:22
127:10 151:12	164:7,20 166:13	behavioral 85:11	175:1
assaults 29:6 76:16	178:6,7,10,13 179:2	belief 68:6	black 150:2
107:1	179:12,16 180:6,12	believable 125:3	Blake 2:8
assessment 51:18 54:4	182:4,4,7 186:9 187:2	believe 36:5 40:12	blank 36:12 151:4
57:3 134:2 145:15	187:3,4,21 188:15	41:13 55:3 56:18	160:11
assets 22:21 102:4	190:14 192:8	59:18 62:13 69:3	bleeds 147:1 162:22
assist 30:6	background 87:17	118:18 123:13 128:5	<b>blood</b> 26:19,19 27:1
Associate 2:10	<b>bad</b> 60:13 73:9 88:1	132:12 133:8,12	34:8 115:14
associated 28:16	131:7,9 133:2 138:21	135:7 140:18 152:11	blown 112:21
<b>assume</b> 47:16	140:3	159:15 165:5 166:11	<b>board</b> 38:17 75:3,9
assumption 84:19	baggage 146:11	166:17 179:4 185:5	161:8 169:19 170:2
assured 97:3	balanced 187:8	185:13 186:4	170:10,15 173:17
attached 119:15	bandwidth 30:3 142:11	believed 121:3 125:5	175:5,6,9
attempting 8:13	142:13	believes 68:8	boards 38:6,12 161:2,5
attend 9:14,16,17	Bangor 22:15,22 23:1	bell 174:22	161:13 170:15
attendance 192:11 attended 2:22	101:19	belong 185:4 beneficial 37:21 69:10	boat 158:12 191:12
attention 31:9	bar 38:17 bare 60:20	126:22	Boggess 2:2 book 173:1
attitude 81:13 166:22	Barnes 1:11	benefit 37:17	books 26:22 31:16
167:1	<b>Barney</b> 1:15 93:8,10,11	benefits 130:5	boot 140:17
attorney 2:6,7,9,11 95:5	189:7	Benes 1:15	boss 101:4,21 102:19
audience 20:14 50:6	barracks 151:13	Benjamin 2:20 100:9	136:14 159:14 174:3
160:17	barrier 139:3	101:12	175:16
authorities 10:15 32:19	base 1:11 5:4 14:20	best 20:10 62:7 108:21	<b>boss's</b> 176:3
66:17,18	28:14 29:10,16 88:2	119:6 135:11 140:18	bottom 131:3
authority 28:10 32:20	99:19 101:6 104:20	151:3 153:20,22	Boulevard 1:11
35:4 53:5 67:5 82:8	105:2 112:6 121:20	154:6 172:3 178:11	bounce 130:21 132:14
99:12 174:3	156:1	188:18	bound 53:8
authorized 69:4 74:21	based 27:14 52:15 54:2	better 6:4,19 8:22,22	<b>bounds</b> 108:19
automated 47:18,21	60:21 65:19 134:7	20:20 40:21 62:9	boyfriend 104:19
48:8	135:15 174:16	73:11 86:6 91:12	<b>brag</b> 190:2
automatically 175:13	<b>bases</b> 95:5	106:10 112:14 113:21	brain 186:22 187:18
available 5:1 9:11,20	<b>basic</b> 16:20	117:9,17 148:1	branches 16:19
29:8 57:7 99:1,3	basically 14:18 47:18	167:20 172:15,16	brand 81:15
182:17	56:21 85:5 102:21	178:14 179:15,16	break 76:16 186:4
avenue 120:6 126:4	104:3	187:15	breaking 180:10 181:17
174:21	basis 69:22 181:11	beyond 38:14 42:8	Breathalyzer 26:18
avoid 87:8 89:13	<b>battalion</b> 9:17 20:6	79:20 108:4,6 109:5	30:11 34:7 115:13
161:20	22:15 45:2 48:4 57:11	109:14,20 180:5	breed 158:1
awaiting 44:9 aware 81:8	78:10 103:2,3 106:20 107:14 141:8 174:6	<b>big</b> 12:21 30:13 46:11 63:17 81:10 89:8	Breyana 2:4 brief 100:4,17 104:18
aware 61.6 awareness 48:10 62:1	176:8 191:14,21	105:13 106:4 124:10	briefed 169:22
######################################	170.0 101.17,21	100.10 100.7 124.10	WILLIAM 100.22
II	•	•	•

35:2.9 36:7 37:14 41:20 77:1 79:11 80:4 brig 120:11 178:3 capable 128:17 134:21 **brigade** 9:18 24:2,21,22 **Capt** 1:15,15,19 11:9 39:9 45:4,20 47:9,13 142:20 181:21,22 24:22 25:1 46:18 22:3,6 32:2 43:12 48:16 49:7 50:7 52:15 182:5 53:2,12 54:15 57:10 56:17 78:10 102:16 45:9 54:10 64:2 68:14 change 27:4 35:1 42:3 102:17,17,21,22 77:9 93:10 96:8 110:5 57:12 59:19 61:2 63:8 42:15 114:3 141:11 163:1 191:21 110:22 111:3,7 148:2 64:16,22 68:12 71:19 141:15 142:3,20 bring 42:17 87:11 146:3 167:5 76:12 77:11 84:14,14 144:3 152:4,6 154:20 172:12 180:6 captain 2:18 5:13 11:8 85:22 86:4 104:6 163:5 183:10,22 105:7,18 112:11,16 **bringing** 25:21 88:1 16:18 18:6,15 22:5 186:21 23:11 27:19 32:1,3 112:19 117:16 119:7 changed 42:1 46:9 161:10 64:1 93:7 143:2 153:5 127:17 133:15 134:4 broadly 45:17 144:8 152:10 176:22 **broken** 181:18 189:6 138:19 167:9 172:16 **changes** 6:7,8,12 19:8 brothers 29:22 186:18 captain's 41:6 43:21 181:6 183:13 184:14 20:9,18 21:20 42:1,2 **brought** 114:11 130:18 63:2 82:3 149:8 **Castle** 93:8 94:20,20 50:15 54:14 99:12 146:11 169:8,14,16 captains 15:20 16:18 113:2,5,10,15 120:2 caught 188:12 **Bruce** 1:17 16:19 18:18 157:17 caused 177:17 **changing** 141:9,15,20 **Brunson** 1:16 14:4,6,7 car 105:2 causes 180:13 channel 67:13 39:15,16 40:2 41:15 care 22:1 117:6 185:11 Cavanaugh 166:8 character 82:5 121:16 141:9,16,21 83:12,13 84:10 91:21 190:4,6,7 Cavanaugh's 110:10 163:2 173:15 183:10 **career** 16:18 71:18 **CCU** 81:2,9 136:18 characterize 143:14 **brush** 86:20 75:19 132:2 133:3 180:6 **charge** 33:1 102:7 155:19 **CDR** 13:7 15:3 23:3 **charges** 55:6 56:16,22 **Bryan** 1:19 **build** 145:5 155:7 careers 94:17 34:13 36:5 38:12 40:4 59:5 63:8 **building** 53:20 177:17 careful 108:18 184:11 44:5 51:5 52:2 60:12 charging 46:12 179:11 180:9 61:13 67:21 74:4 **check** 189:5 186:3 bunch 28:13 56:5 cares 73:6 76:12 77:6 78:16 check-in 79:1 burden 135:5 carpet 176:4 83:22 95:13 **chief** 2:3,14,16,20 35:5 **burdened** 185:12 carried 191:7 **cents** 81:16 54:11 100:7,9,13 **business** 112:10 certain 52:11,15,16 carrier 112:5 101:2,3,12 102:12 146:22 Carroll 2:14 100:14 53:2,5 83:4 84:7 88:6 103:22 112:5 113:6 **busy** 23:22 66:14 96:22 102:5 105:6 116:16 104:21 115:20 124:12 148:5 156:22 157:1 135:1 118:21 127:5 128:8 125:4 133:15 136:4 157:12,18 158:8,14 **buttocks** 112:15 133:18 138:18 148:5 169:2 child 60:15 85:5 **button** 75:17 137:10 148:18 154:8 165:6 certainly 4:16 126:3 **children** 119:4.5 154:21 189:14 167:11 175:3 178:2 165:13 179:14 chime 175:21 **buy** 19:18 184:1 189:20 cetera 57:4,4,5 **choices** 117:22 187:17 byproduct 186:5 carry 165:17 182:8 **CGIS** 33:12 117:1 **choose** 151:14,16 187:22 **chain** 46:13,17 48:6 188:1,2 C case 12:4 29:3 35:20 56:19 57:14 60:2 **chose** 150:17 187:12 C-17s 28:5 36:8 37:8 42:18 43:3 90:22 91:5 **chosen** 169:20 calculation 38:15 45:3,11 47:19,22 48:1 **Chair** 1:12,14 5:19 14:6 Chuck 2:7 50:12 51:12 70:18 48:21 49:10 53:12 circle 18:14,20 **calculus** 180:15 181:2 72:10 74:19 97:2 99:6 call 32:22 102:13 55:5,6,9,20 57:20 circumstance 67:10 103:21 141:4 148:6 143:2 180:8 190:11 circumstances 135:15 59:12,22 60:6,14,15 154:9 156:11 170:9 67:3,12 68:2,15 83:6 **chairs** 50:21 city 25:3 117:21 126:19 127:2 **civil** 33:9 called 96:18 137:21 **challenge** 11:18 13:3 140:8 159:19 128:1 135:20,22 27:13 104:14 105:5 civilian 3:5 110:13 136:3 149:19 153:7 109:2,15 113:11,19 111:15 112:3 140:13 calvary 103:1 camp 140:17 163:21 166:1 172:13 114:8 122:1 146:15 140:13 179:15 146:16 151:7,9 188:4 civilians 32:13 182:1 Campbell 2:3 case-by-case 174:15 181:11 challenged 151:8 clarification 111:8 campus 20:3 **Cannon** 156:1 case-specific 177:7 **challenges** 6:13 7:10 144:19 caseload 46:21 47:4 7:16 8:3 51:13 76:4 clarify 80:8 85:19 Cano 2:13 5:7 13:7,8 caseloads 185:12 95:9 103:16 104:12 15:3 23:3,3 34:13 classic 28:15 cases 11:22 17:3 20:15 105:18 107:4,21,22 36:5 38:12 40:4 44:5 classroom 108:4,7 51:5 52:2 60:12 61:13 23:13,14 27:14,15 114:1 145:8 146:2 clear 61:8 97:10,15 67:21 74:4 76:12 77:6 28:18 29:18 30:5,14 challenging 26:11 114:4 164:14 179:14 78:16 83:22 95:13 33:7 34:11,16,17,20 **chance** 5:22 7:17,22 **clearly** 142:20

	1	1	1
climate 6:22 80:3 110:1	collection 53:15	commandant 131:9	company 17:6 18:16
142:3	college 20:3	140:7 141:6	20:7 78:11 86:20
close 39:12 73:15 97:2	<b>Colon</b> 71:10	commander 2:13 5:7	125:18
116:1 156:8	colonel 2:16,18,19 5:5	17:6 26:10 28:4,9	company's 142:13
closed 65:1 192:10,13	5:9,11 7:19 10:6	32:4 36:18 38:21 39:2	company-true 162:1
closed-door 166:16	11:11,21 12:8 14:6	40:7 41:19 44:19 45:2	174:6
closest 78:16	15:14 24:3,20 27:18	49:8 53:7 57:7,11	compared 105:12
closing 37:8	28:3 30:8 36:2 39:15	60:3 101:5 108:19,20	complaints 89:8
Club 1:11	48:18 49:14,22 50:13	109:11 110:20 133:13	complete 67:21
CMC 101:11 102:5	51:21 64:19 70:19	134:14 135:11,21	completion 24:14
105:6 111:17,20	83:11 92:10 93:16	162:5,22 163:9,17,20	<b>component</b> 28:9 78:5
116:16 118:4,21	101:4,22 102:19	164:7,13 166:14	101:5
126:7 127:5 128:8	104:14 184:19	173:7,14 174:1	components 18:12
133:18 134:20 137:17	colonels 9:14	175:14,16 176:1	composition 66:8
138:18 148:18 150:1	combat 102:22 171:12	185:13,20 191:5	comprehensive 4:14
154:8 157:8 165:6,18	186:5	commander's 18:4	98:19
167:11 168:12 175:3	come 14:11 23:18	commanders 3:2 4:11	comprised 98:8 concern 43:18 50:9
178:2 182:11 184:1 189:20	26:20 49:4 53:12 56:20 59:17 67:10	10:11,16 14:13 15:5,6 15:19 16:8 17:11,22	62:19 63:4 70:16
CMC's 158:7	76:8 81:18,20 83:8,17	18:5,17 23:9 42:17	concerned 6:9 80:13
CMSGT 100:22 103:19	86:9 87:20 98:1	57:8 65:4,17 66:21	136:6
116:5 123:12 131:16	107:19 110:15 128:17	92:15 93:2,3 107:19	concerns 10:9 62:10
135:7 138:8 155:21	130:5 136:22 138:6	107:21 117:21 121:5	68:10 86:15 97:11
175:10 177:4 181:16	155:2 157:21 161:17	134:21 135:6 150:5	concerted 123:6
<b>COA</b> 67:15	176:3 177:18 180:12	162:2 167:10 172:22	conclude 189:12
coast 2:14,18 5:14	182:3,7 187:4 192:6	174:5,7 176:1 181:4	concur 54:6 79:12
11:12,13 32:5,9,11	comeback 137:22	184:5 191:10	116:16 137:17 175:10
33:3,10,12,14 43:14	comes 14:1 28:22 39:1	commanders' 191:1	condition 82:9
54:10 64:8,14,17	65:10 82:2,12 88:21	commanding 60:8	conduct 4:14 60:13
77:12 82:12 100:14	105:15 107:4 125:12	97:11 157:20 158:3	128:21
102:7 105:19 106:8,9	127:21 145:16 155:11	174:11	conducted 17:17
119:15 139:9,10,16	165:14 166:12 168:13	<b>commands</b> 32:21 185:2	confidence 120:22
139:20 148:20 149:2	168:17 170:9 172:2	comment 29:7 49:13	confident 165:15
165:7 175:4 184:9	coming 12:13 22:10,14	66:8 70:4 80:19	confinement 59:20,20
<b>Coasties</b> 86:19 88:18	48:15 52:20 53:5	114:14 124:5 136:10	60:21 61:2,9 120:8,9
163:22	57:18 66:13,20 82:18	186:10 191:3	120:11
<b>cocaine</b> 124:16	87:17 144:19 153:10	commented 95:11	<b>confirm</b> 30:18 66:15
codify 58:6	153:10 166:17 170:5	comments 12:9 13:5	Congress 181:22
coin 95:20	172:4 176:9 188:15 command 2:14,19,20	33:17 35:21 38:9	congressional 93:17
<b>Col</b> 1:16,17,18 7:20 8:20 10:6 14:4,7,14	4:13 5:3 9:17,18	45:16 46:3 48:12 54:19 55:8 58:15 59:3	cons 62:20
15:15 16:12 18:22	12:12 13:4 14:18,19	77:8 115:2 122:10	consequences 143:4 consider 38:1 60:6 97:5
24:1,5,19 28:2 30:17	18:15,19 20:15 22:13	128:3 131:14 137:18	consideration 137:15
30:21,22 31:5,11,17	22:22 23:3 24:1,21	174:13 189:8 190:5	142:11 159:13 161:6
31:21 36:16 37:12	33:2 34:2,21 35:7	192:5	161:12 176:22 179:9
38:19 39:16 40:2,13	46:13 48:4 49:15,21	commercial 185:4	185:3
41:15 42:15 44:21	50:18 52:13 55:7	commit 104:8 106:10	considerations 181:4
45:8 46:8 48:13 52:9	57:14 60:2 63:2,15	commits 104:8	considered 45:3
53:14 56:4 58:17	74:1 78:9,15,18 80:3	committed 21:22	considering 47:1
59:15 64:20 67:6,18	87:13 90:22 91:5	commodores 23:10	<b>consistency</b> 10:9,14,18
70:7 73:12 75:8 79:12	100:9,13,15 101:3,7	communicate 143:19	10:20 12:22 49:14
82:14 83:13 84:10	101:12,18 102:12,15	communicated 56:18	50:8 82:16 107:18
85:19 87:10 89:16	108:2 109:3 110:1,1	communicates 44:8	consistent 92:18
91:21 163:2 173:15	112:5,10 121:13	communication 2:4	110:18
183:10	156:13 161:8 166:15	46:4 47:15 48:15	consists 102:22
collateral 23:7	166:20 172:6 175:10	55:10 56:1 66:20	constantly 69:18
colleague 77:10	176:8	162:21	constraining 70:12
<b>colleagues</b> 32:15 97:6	command's 68:4	communications 68:1	construct 26:10
ll .	I	I	I

contact 46:16.21 **CONTENTS** 3:1 **context** 176:17 contingent 105:20 continually 184:3 continuation 172:10 continue 16:10 76:11 82:20 94:17 99:11 114:2 120:17.18 130:13 135:3 141:3 144:3 165:20 174:8 174:18 182:7 191:16 continued 82:9 181:19 continues 171:20 **continuing** 76:17 109:9 171:7 control 33:20 79:20 102:10 109:15 145:15 convening 28:10 53:4 66:17,18 67:5 conversation 57:6 91:10,19 168:8 186:2 189:8 conversations 168:3 **convicted** 69:5 76:10 80:6 84:18 85:18 86:8 176:18 177:22 182:19 conviction 85:12,13,16 177:3 convictions 187:12 core 17:8 177:12.17 180:14 181:18 core-values 177:10 corporal 88:21 130:19 130:22 152:6 corps 2:15,18 5:6 13:1 15:10 22:14 39:1 49:3 52:10 81:1 100:12 121:14,20 122:7 126:20 128:22 129:16 131:4 136:17 140:15 140:16 146:12 161:15 165:19 166:2 170:4 170:20 171:19 correct 31:5 61:13 80:9 120:6 correction 136:22 182:13 correctional 81:2 83:16 94:12 150:10 correctly 89:15 correlates 140:6 141:18 **COs** 15:5 cost 37:2,3 155:11 171:12 185:16 counsel 2:5,10 4:21 35:4,5 41:17 45:14,19 55:5 59:6 60:5 68:19

110:17 133:11 135:4 183:13 191:4 **Counsel's** 35:8 counseling 90:10 91:19 counselor 164:4 counterpart 133:1 counterparts 132:16 162:14 177:5 country 97:7 115:11 178:14 County 31:13,13 **couple** 31:17 48:20 63:13 86:3 107:5 111:21 114:11 157:16 168:20 189:18 coupled 37:1 course 14:21 15:11,17 16:18 17:6 18:3 71:17 89:22 98:21 107:10 135:9 156:12 **courses** 31:15 court 36:19 123:19 court- 63:12 66:8,16 68:7 78:12 84:16 85:22 116:13 138:13 179:4 court-martial 28:10 34:18 35:17 37:5,15 42:14 43:5,6 44:9,12 53:4,8 59:5 61:19 62:8,11,17 63:3,16 65:19 66:1,18 67:5 70:5 75:21 76:6 77:15 79:8 80:9 81:19 82:19 83:9,14,20 85:3,5 86:6 87:9 90:1 109:6 117:2,20 118:2 119:11 124:22 138:10 160:21 173:4,11 177:8,22 178:16,17 178:22 180:17,22 181:7 188:7 court-martialed 75:14 129:21 130:20 court-martials 122:7

152:15 153:2 172:9

**courts** 72:16,17,18,22

74:11,12 118:5 124:7

125:19 126:12,14,16

courts-martial 5:1 11:3

35:15,20 36:9 62:1

64:11 65:2,10,13 69:3

136:12 176:18 182:20

courtroom 183:22

186:17

183:8,20

courts-4:17

69:11

cover 29:13 **covered** 27:7 45:20 95:4 189:17 **COVID** 128:9 creation 183:12 creative 31:18 **credit** 140:10 crew 154:19 crime 106:2 181:13 **crimes** 29:14 104:8 106:10 criteria 39:11 173:4 critical 10:10 190:12 **crossed** 76:22 crowd 21:15 88:1 **CSM** 102:15 113:14 115:3,5 122:12 132:11 137:19 142:9 143:16 153:19 161:14 164:2 172:20 173:21 175:21 178:15 **culture** 142:4 157:22 161:16,18 **cultures** 121:13 **curious** 24:11 148:13 current 45:1 76:3 100:5 112:1 currently 28:8 69:1 150:16 **curve** 53:18 custody 81:2 83:16 93:17 182:13 cut 88:16 **cutoff** 48:18

D **D** 2:9 dad 141:12 dad's 146:1 daily 135:1 damaging 124:1 danger 89:7 darn 157:11,17 data 51:4,11 52:19 53:14,16 54:3,8,13 175:8 date 47:5,7 dated 84:13 daughter 141:13 daughters 24:9 **David** 2:5,19 5:11 28:3 day 44:12 94:6 103:6 122:5 126:10 138:16 159:11 160:5 167:20 168:15 170:2 177:7 179:11 188:2 days 15:12 24:13 25:15

**cutters** 11:13

26:8.12.13 52:3.8 81:14 89:1 96:22 108:11 154:19 182:10 **de** 36:7 deal 8:14 9:5 12:15,16 16:5 19:4,9 25:13 26:1 50:2 63:17 89:12 103:13 105:13 125:7 147:12 188:1 dealing 7:11,16 20:10 49:16 68:12 70:9 103:16 106:20,22 109:19 135:1 188:15 dealt 12:18 124:15 125:9 decade 110:15 **December** 48:19 58:19 decided 49:10 56:22 163:14 deciding 36:18 153:7 **decision** 10:19 17:12 39:3 46:12 51:11 52:3 55:12,14 56:15 60:1 63:12 66:21 133:14 133:16 139:5 163:12 163:13.16.19.20 164:12 165:8,16 171:9 174:12 191:6 decision-maker 156:18 157:7 decision-makers 6:21 decision-making 165:15 173:19 decisional 35:3 decisions 7:1 95:22 109:8 165:22 172:19 181:5 182:2 184:6 decline 55:6 deep 147:13 155:3 179:8 **defect** 79:17 defended 41:18 defending 179:16 **defense** 28:14 40:15 41:17 defer 57:1 156:14 162:9 deference 70:20 deferent 42:19 deferments 42:20 deferral 55:1,12,22 56:2 56:15 58:15 deferrals 48:20 49:3 56:6 58:18 deferred 34:21 35:3,6 35:11 36:10 43:4 49:7 55:7,9,20 56:13 57:10 57:21

deferring 68:3

defers 42:18 135:20 162:8 **define** 170:11 **definitely** 10:9 31:8 44:8 65:7 70:7 156:2 degree 124:12 125:4 **delays** 37:16 delegate 10:15 demonstrated 142:19 denied 175:15 dependent 77:4 depending 26:14 87:16 **depends** 115:6 deploy 13:14 deployable 31:8 deployment 186:5 **Deputy 2:5,7** derived 107:5 description 100:4,18 **deserve** 151:3 152:3,9 designated 111:11 desire 96:13 141:21 **despite** 181:12 destroyer 112:6 detached 40:6 detail 126:19 details 126:21 134:1 186:13 **determine** 49:9 54:13 65:5.18 **deterrent** 44:12 137:13 138:2.4 deterrents 37:4 **develop** 90:14 94:8 developed 58:6 development 9:8 17:5 17:15 18:9 devil 137:3 146:6 dialog 43:9 difference 6:15 46:11 46:14 74:4 112:13,13 128:5,13,15 129:2,4,5 130:11,12 143:18 154:2 182:12 187:10 differences 87:18 130:15 131:12,18 132:3 144:20 different 10:4 17:3 18:11 34:15 50:10,19 59:1 65:20 66:11 67:22 73:20 74:13 86:11 97:7 107:6 121:12,12 125:10 130:17 143:10,12,15 144:5 145:2,3 151:17 160:2 166:9 172:12 173:5 178:17 180:15 180:18 183:5 184:21

differently 13:3 52:14 143:21 differs 39:21 difficult 40:14 99:13 181:2 digest 113:17 diligence 38:1 39:7 direct 46:15 47:15 152:12 directed 57:12 direction 79:10 108:3 134:19 137:11,14 directly 17:22 46:8 48:2 140:6 141:18 Director 2:2,7 disadvantage 119:17 119:19 disagree 147:6 156:21 166:16 discharge 31:10 60:14 84:17 94:1 101:9 105:14 128:11 139:19 175:13 181:5 discharged 77:15 disciplinary 12:15 19:3 19:10,13 25:12 27:21 55:19 101:9 103:13 136:11 138:19 148:6 148:11 **discipline** 4:20 7:9,12 7:17 10:13 11:15 12:20 15:22 16:11 21:15 37:8 52:4 64:7 64:9,21 73:11 81:14 83:4 95:9,16 103:9,17 109:18 113:9,22 121:15 123:8 127:9 131:19 138:11,12 141:19 144:16 152:13 164:20 167:7 185:7 191:17 192:1 **disciplined** 137:1 138:6 disconnect 43:19 discretion 174:1 discuss 14:22 118:16 discussed 13:10 35:13 37:6,16 44:2 56:8 60:21 74:18 discussing 4:12 discussion 3:2,3,4 18:10 59:6 61:8 91:6 95:2 108:19

discussions 60:19

**dishonest** 150:4,9

dismissed 45:11

disorders 168:22

92:14 93:3 161:11

disposal 8:6 9:5 19:6

144:15 disposing 35:18 distinction 180:18 distinguished 5:3 **distress** 187:13 distrust 86:16 dividend 8:16 dividing 83:14 division 17:7 26:10 60:3 136:21 **divorce** 145:22 divulge 87:14 divulging 88:13 doctor 187:20 doctors 180:4 dogs 137:3 146:6 doing 14:1 18:2 21:4,6 21:9,12,13 32:9 37:22 64:6 82:4 91:22,22 99:9 102:11,13 106:3 137:8,9 143:21 144:9 144:14 148:20 149:13 152:9,18 153:1 154:15,16 155:7 156:2 161:7 162:4 171:11 176:13.14 181:12 186:19 190:9 domestic 25:14,18 27:7 27:15 29:2 33:8 34:14 56:9 104:6 door 73:3 91:5 155:12 160:8 dorked 159:10 doubt 38:15 42:8 **dove** 147:13 179:8 **Dr** 1:11,14 5:16 99:4 142:6 144:18 148:3 185:21 drag 116:14 123:15 draw 115:14 drawing 36:12 48:20 83:21 151:1 **drawn** 151:4 drive 105:2 **drop** 136:8 drug 29:1,1 36:19 37:10 37:11 39:9 104:6 182:14 **drugs** 19:17,19 76:13 106:22 170:18,21,22 due 35:19 38:1 39:7 **DUI** 26:6,17 115:9,12 167:19 **DUIs** 25:13,17 26:3 105:9 175:12 **Dunlap** 2:18 5:5 12:7,8 15:9 19:11 22:12 33:16 36:1,3 40:22

41:3 42:11 49:13 54:6 54:18 62:3 63:10 68:11 69:7,12 70:19 71:10,12,16 80:8,12 80:21 81:6 86:2 92:6 101:22 Dunlap's 93:16 duration 37:1 59:21 duties 23:7 184:12 duty 28:6 32:14 109:5

E 1:17 **E-1** 73:4,6 **E-3** 65:15 66:1 E-8 78:19 **E9** 164:3 earlier 13:21 49:15 62:6 86:12 130:18 136:15 176:17 177:7 191:3 early 48:14 50:1 51:22 95:5 easier 99:13 113:16 easy 75:16 94:1 126:13 126:22 154:20 156:14 168:15 **Echelon** 174:1,6 **echo** 12:9 13:5 33:16 **educate** 9:10 16:9 122:22 127:3 educated 8:10 107:17 121:10 128:17 educating 15:20 88:17 88:19 133:5 education 8:3 9:3 12:22 31:15 88:4,10 92:17 108:11 109:9,10 122:18,18 127:6,21 133:8 157:4 164:21 165:2,4 172:1 191:19 educational 108:4,6 130:7 145:13 161:9 **effect** 31:1,4 114:18 129:12 130:1,12 142:5 **effective** 46:19 47:5,7 55:19 81:12 110:13 111:16 136:11,19 138:1 172:21 effectiveness 4:17 21:16 effects 18:2 45:18 160:20 186:15

efficacy 36:4

11:6 37:7

30:14

**efficiency** 10:10,21

efficient 11:19 22:2

effort 11:6 123:6 147:7 **egregious** 12:4 33:7 34:11 86:1 186:17 egregiousness 185:9 eight 34:7 eighteen 140:16 eighteen-year 129:18 either 13:14 23:20 31:9 89:5 92:19 106:11 119:5 121:8,8 126:9 153:3 158:3 171:1 175:5 185:3,5 190:14 Eleanor 2:11 **elect** 119:11,16 **elements** 168:14 eligibility 130:4 **Elizabeth** 1:12,14 email 47:18,20,21 **emails** 48:8 **employ** 31:18 138:11 **Empower** 159:22 empowered 159:5 empowering 162:12 empowerment 158:3,8 158:16 empowers 158:4 encompasses 76:6 encourage 6:4 ended 123:11 141:12 156:10 ends 123:21 **enemy** 191:15 **enforce** 114:5 enforcement 32:10 **enforcers** 113:8,21 enforcing 153:22 engage 9:8 17:3 117:1 engagement 58:1 90:12 engineer 103:2 enhance 62:2 enlisted 3:3 33:1 44:8 69:1,15 70:21 72:2 74:6 76:7 87:2,3,5 88:17 95:15 96:3 98:2 98:8,16 102:12 108:20 113:20 128:6 128:16 129:9 132:5 132:10,13,13 150:6 151:18 153:4,11,16 154:15 155:17 156:15 156:17 157:3,15 162:12,13 163:11 166:21 enlistment 130:21 183:3 ensure 10:22 23:5 79:13

enter 53:3
entire 23:15 191:12
entirety 163:1
entrusted 191:13
environment 79:15
equity 70:16 73:18
erodes 52:4
escalates 116:13
escalating 90:17
escalation 89:18
especially 6:9 14:2 42:6
64:4 106:1 123:21
156:17 157:12 161:19
162:1
essentially 17:5 43:4

essentially 17:5 43:4 55:7 60:6 75:20 85:10 87:6 established 46:18 80:3

established 46:18 80:3 establishment 45:18 et 57:4,4,5 ethical 52:16 evaluates 55:5

evenly 119:12 event 105:10 events 80:9

eventually 76:18 everybody 7:17 79:6 97:16 99:18 101:1 138:5 143:18 145:9 159:1 176:7

everybody's 152:16 evidence 38:16 40:10 41:14 42:7 43:22 51:14 57:3 60:7 68:9 125:9 136:3 169:1

Ewers 1:16 66:10,11 68:17 72:11 86:10 88:15 163:5 181:3 exactly 24:12 58:9 90:20 120:10 123:1 149:7

examine 178:9 example 17:19 26:17 28:19 30:4,10 64:8 78:17 85:4,14 93:18 115:8 116:4 127:8 132:18 153:20 154:7 177:1

Excellent 18:22 excuse 125:2 execute 10:13,16 17:13 52:22 57:8 executed 150:3 execution 40:18

execution 40:18 exhausting 142:2 151:20

**exhaustion** 147:8 **exist** 94:7 123:16

expanding 55:11 70:11 expect 25:3 83:4 128:20 137:2 expected 160:18 expeditious 33:19 expeditiously 34:12 experience 3:5 35:9,15 35:22 36:3 44:7 45:10 58:15 59:8,13,15 61:6 65:19 67:22 77:14 84:9 92:11 115:14 116:2 139:12 153:9 156:15 157:10 168:14 168:19 177:5,19 experienced 44:18

experienced 44:18 78:17 158:18 experiences 60:11 experiencing 153:18 expert 37:3 54:7 70:8 110:19 165:9 experts 109:5 explain 69:9 163:21 explaining 166:3 explains 157:2 explanation 40:7 55:13

55:15 56:17 explicit 56:14 extended 11:3 extent 37:22 94:15 133:21

extraordinarily 93:22 extreme 90:2,18 91:17 extremely 30:3 eyebrow 135:14

F

face 7:11,16 8:3 73:2 103:16 107:21,22 145:8 191:14 facility 136:22 facing 59:4 82:19 94:5 fact 72:20 83:13,19 85:3 93:20 104:15 181:12 facto 36:7 factor 14:1 facts 51:10 85:6 134:8 fail 139:18 fails 167:13 **failure** 167:13 fair 38:6,20 41:13 116:12 118:19 119:13 122:2,3,8,12 123:10 123:13 134:2,11 135:2,3,8,8,17 166:12 167:3 170:16 188:13 191:17 Fairchild 30:5

**fairly** 131:16 fairness 72:4 128:4 133:16 **faith** 96:16 165:10 **Falk** 2:3 fall 11:17 190:14 familiar 81:3 family 97:18 187:14 fan 48:7 124:10 134:9 far 45:16 60:13 68:11 80:13 92:20 96:12 123:14 165:14 181:18 184:21 fast 26:14 189:14 faster 117:17 fate 117:11 167:15 father 155:21 **favor** 42:5 62:9,12,18 133:19 **favorable** 31:14,14 fear 163:3 feedback 136:2 feel 92:6 129:1 190:11 fell 90:13 **fellow** 21:3 felony 29:14 45:22 felt 184:20 field 43:2 90:8 91:2 **fight** 191:15 fighters 155:10 179:12 180:9,10 181:17 182:2 186:4 fighting 118:12 figure 51:15 90:14 figuring 53:19 150:7 **file** 58:12,13 127:14 **fill** 134:15 160:10 **filter** 172:16 **filtered** 124:12 final 174:3,11 **finally** 117:19 find 20:2 75:17 76:21 116:17 139:15 145:17 156:5,6 167:15 184:17 finding 10:20 fine 74:14 83:17 **fingers** 155:2 **finish** 74:3 firehose 15:12 firing 186:22 187:19 **first** 4:4 7:7 17:6 19:2 51:6,7 53:17,21 60:1 87:11 89:15 90:8 93:12 95:18 103:12 125:18 129:7 130:21

136:21 138:19 139:10

150:15,21 155:22

156:12 167:12,18 169:18 five 98:16 108:11 fix 92:5 fixing 83:3 flag 71:19 flagged 30:19 31:14 flags 31:9 **flavor** 170:10 fleet 140:22 141:1 flight 156:11 flipped 163:15 float 119:15 floor 5:18 flow 49:2 69:13 fluent 170:11 flying 28:16 82:22 83:1 83:3 focus 10:22 55:1 88:16 121:16 141:7 184:10 188:3 focused 17:18 137:7 **focuses** 17:9 focusing 61:20 folder 99:2 folks 7:3 12:14 19:14 46:4 50:3 70:21 77:14 77:21 97:17 99:9,21 122:6 131:5 137:6,14 144:19 147:4 170:5 171:8 180:4,12 188:14 **follow** 26:8 50:12 73:20 124:3 143:4 follow-up 44:16 128:3 169:9 173:15 Fontenot 2:15 100:11 101:18,19 106:19 110:9 111:2,14 119:22 124:9 129:3 136:14 140:5 144:18 150:12 152:6 157:21 166:5 169:6,15 179:6 184:19 190:21 **force** 2:17,19 5:12 6:12 10:7 14:20 15:1,4 22:15 28:9,11,13 29:12,20 36:21 37:11 48:14,17 52:20 58:18 64:21 65:11 67:19 69:20 75:9 85:22 87:15 88:7,14 89:18 90:18 94:16 100:8 101:5,8 105:20 116:6 117:4 131:18 132:10 135:10 138:8 140:14 153:1 156:1 177:6,20 forced 129:22

forces 29:21 96:17 fore 127:1 forefront 190:1 **foremost** 169:18 foreseeable 120:13 forever 178:5 forget 108:16 181:20 182:4 forgot 159:19 form 22:1 43:2 62:14 formally 56:12 **formation** 9:6 13:20 18:5 90:21 114:4 123:1 173:8 178:1 179:2 former 41:17 formulate 108:21 forth 160:1 164:7 forthcoming 62:22 fortunate 9:16 forward 27:2 47:19,22 48:3 57:9 81:22 82:4 82:5 110:3 145:12 174:14 found 9:7 37:21 44:19 123:22 125:1 127:10 127:12 183:8 **foundation** 177:14,16 four 32:19,20 47:11 128:19 187:6 frame 16:11 152:21 frank 107:22 108:16 Franklin 2:4 frankly 16:22 17:14 18:6 146:13 free 127:1 190:11 freed 183:14 184:3 fresh 79:15 80:4,12 81:21 83:17 86:9 friction 47:2 **Friday** 116:18 friends 143:22 front 41:21 43:1 56:10 154:18 164:10,13,18 189:4 fruit 183:15 **frustrating** 21:7,8,17 frustration 12:11 fulfilling 6:14 full 8:5 38:22 112:21 124:13 126:5 fullest 133:21 fully 8:10 57:17 129:6 134:20 137:17 182:18 **functional** 46:19 78:3 fundamental 46:13 **funny** 103:20

forceful 157:19

further 96:21 furthest 54:7 **future** 74:7 78:14 79:3 85:17 94:8 99:14 120:13 191:22 G gainfully 31:18 **Gallo** 2:16 5:9 7:19,20 8:20 16:12 24:1,5,19 24:20 30:8 37:12 40:13 42:15 44:21 46:8 48:19 53:14 56:4 59:15 67:6 70:7 73:12 79:12 89:16 92:10 102:19 gamut 25:2 **gap** 9:3 gaps 10:1 17:17,18 garrison 117:4 gate 104:16,17 gears 133:10 **geez** 163:12 general 2:5,10 7:21 60:9 61:18 65:10.13 66:10,16,18 67:5 141:5,11 165:19 166:5.8 174:11 179:13 183:5

45:17 57:13 59:2 60:2 71:19 110:10 140:10 generalized 68:21 generally 34:10 55:22 114:16 116:9 generates 175:5 generation 154:10,12 generational 150:19 154:9 generations 167:12 gentleman 158:10 gentlemen 5:15 12:10 13:6 20:13 33:17 44:17 98:1 161:3 166:18 192:7 geographic 51:2 Georgia 23:2 getting 13:15 21:22 35:20 37:19 84:22 85:15 96:16 109:5 141:8,19 147:16 149:3 155:10 160:3 190:7 girlfriend 104:19 give 7:17 10:11 28:19 66:1 67:2 72:11 76:21 83:17 100:4 174:12 175:18 given 23:14 35:7 38:21

41:19 47:13 60:22 67:1 80:4 103:5 170:6 183:11 qives 48:9 96:15 172:22 giving 79:10 85:14 132:18 172:15 175:8 glad 15:18 99:15 127:19 169:15 **go** 7:14 14:19,20 15:3,6 22:5 30:5 40:16 42:14 44:9 61:3 62:8,11,17 64:22 68:7 72:16,21 73:2 74:10,11 77:14 78:8,12,13 80:20 83:3 83:11 85:22 105:6,15 107:19 108:3,7 114:12 116:18 117:2 119:16,18 122:6 124:19 126:3,4,13,14 127:9 129:10,10,18 129:20 136:5 137:11 137:14 140:21 146:14 148:4 150:17 157:15 157:22 158:13,14 160:10,18 162:9 164:7,20 165:5 170:6 171:2 172:7,15 174:8 179:16 187:3 188:6,7 188:7,8 189:1,3 **goes** 33:19 38:21 48:2 88:4 89:10 133:8 181:7 going 4:3,6 7:5,13,14 34:5,5 35:3,11 40:3 43:10 47:19 49:8,9 50:10 51:3 52:14,20 52:21 53:13 58:22 61:1 62:16,16,17,18 63:1,16 68:20 71:16 73:10,13 76:18 78:2 79:5,6 80:17 82:4,5 82:19 84:20 85:11 92:21 103:8 105:16 105:22 106:2 116:8 117:20 118:11 121:21 123:12 126:2,3 128:3 129:13,17,19 130:7 131:8 133:6 134:12 136:4,7,7,8 137:10 139:15 146:8 147:14 149:12 151:5,6 152:21 154:18 155:2 159:15 165:8,11,12 165:13 167:20,21 171:15 177:21 178:3 178:5 179:12 180:8 184:18 185:6,7,14,15 186:16,19,20 188:8

II			201
	l	l	l
good 4:9,19 7:1,9,11,16	guidance 49:5	he'll 57:2	hole 148:4
10:12 11:10,14 12:7	guide 153:12	head 78:8 84:15	home 146:10 156:3
12:19 13:7 15:21 16:5	guideposts 153:17	Headquarters 17:7	186:11
16:11 17:1 21:15 32:2	guilty 41:8,11,20 44:20	health 2:5,10 13:16	honest 116:15 143:9
37:8 52:4 58:12 64:7	45:5 118:9 123:22	85:11 167:6 168:4,17	150:3,9 178:20
64:9 82:9 83:17 88:12	125:1 126:17,17	hear 5:22 22:9 24:14	188:11
91:9,19 92:22 95:9,16	127:10,12 178:22	51:12 99:9,15 108:1	honestly 86:7 87:16
98:15 100:22,22	183:8	190:20	88:9 143:6
101:11 102:5 103:9	<b>Gunn</b> 1:17	hearing 51:13 66:16	hope 81:21 96:16 136:1
103:17 109:18 111:6	gunnery 129:8 152:7	68:20 84:14 86:17	hopefully 78:2 91:11
113:9 116:21 121:15	158:15	96:20 120:12,14	hoping 135:18
123:8 127:9 128:21	<b>Gunny</b> 129:15,16	hearings 121:11,20	hours 186:11
137:13 139:4 141:19	Gupta 2:6 98:12,14	heart 141:21	<b>house</b> 91:18
143:6 144:16 152:12	100:2 103:7 111:19	heavy 185:11	housing 16:2,3,7
153:20 154:7 155:19	114:9 118:15 124:3	held 45:10 69:22 70:1	<b>How's</b> 8:21
157:8,17,19 164:19	128:2 131:14 133:9	78:22 79:2,7 183:6	huge 48:7 109:2 130:8
165:19,20 166:3	136:9 142:6,8 169:8	help 4:13 6:4,19 9:19	137:12 188:13
171:14 173:1 176:12	176:15 189:5,16	87:6 94:12 119:12,12	huh 159:16
178:14 185:7	192:3	149:3 162:13 185:7	human 74:1 138:7
good-conduct 128:18	guy 131:2 159:3	helpful 22:7 153:6	humans 144:11
gotten 68:11	<b>guys</b> 164:7	hey 68:2 79:2 91:7	
grade 43:2 90:8 91:2		103:22 104:18 108:10	
92:21	<u> </u>	108:12 110:2 124:18	lan 2:18 5:5 101:22
Grady 2:17	Hagy 2:6	125:13 129:17 130:11	Idaho 32:6 102:8
grandmother 85:8	handle 20:16,17 33:18	131:4 136:8 137:8	idea 108:18 148:5
grasp 17:1	34:11 45:20 46:1	144:11 146:18 147:16	182:20 183:19
grateful 24:18 99:6,18	91:18 111:11 134:22	148:9 157:18 158:8	ideal 52:8 82:1
great 8:16 18:10 50:1	183:14	159:15 160:3 161:3	ideas 108:21
81:20 126:17 140:11	handles 138:11	162:15 166:18 170:17	identified 10:1 17:16,21
153:8 163:7 185:2	handling 183:13	176:7 191:11	identify 18:4
186:8	hands 55:19 121:5	Hi 13:7 93:10 152:19	illegal 88:3 170:21
greater 52:2	127:19 173:19	high 38:17 93:22 134:5	illustrate 18:11
greatly 24:10 47:8	hang 87:21	higher 51:10 183:6	imagine 47:12 155:9
grew 163:8	hanging 125:17	highly 138:1	immediate 38:21
grievous 37:10	happen 6:16 67:2	Hillman 1:12,14 5:16,19	immediately 40:18 91:1 184:8
grips 57:18	113:11 133:6 136:1	14:6 50:12 51:12	
gritty 189:22 group 7:13 14:17 29:3	170:1	70:18 72:10 74:19 97:2 99:4,6 143:2	impact 6:6 21:15 42:9 75:3 87:8 89:19 91:14
groups 87:20	happened 16:4,6 78:21 90:20 119:5 123:1,7	144:18 180:8 185:21	130:16,17 152:12
grow 160:17	125:3,14 166:3	190:11	impacted 12:3
growing 142:17 159:3	happening 6:5 51:17	Hillman's 148:3	impactful 63:14,19
grown 145:1	118:8,11 131:8	hinted 90:4	impacts 64:5 94:5
Gruber 2:5	152:14 172:14	hired 111:15	impartial 41:13 122:4,9
guard 2:14,18 5:14	happens 75:11	history 36:21	122:13 134:11 166:12
11:12,13 32:5,11 33:3	happy 190:20	hit 31:1 137:9	167:3 191:17
33:10,12,14 43:14	harassment 4:22 19:22	hits 156:2	implement 99:12
54:10 64:8,15,17	29:19 30:5 64:13	Hodgin 2:16 100:7,22	implemented 50:15
77:12 100:14 101:20	hard 40:3,4 53:22 76:21	101:2 103:19 116:5	implications 79:21
102:8 106:8,9 119:15	81:9 83:7 121:18	123:12 131:16 135:7	importance 95:14
139:9,11,17,21	122:19 133:22 167:14	138:8 155:21 175:10	important 5:21 160:7
148:20 149:2 165:7	167:16 168:10 171:7	177:4 181:16	190:3
175:4 184:9	179:2 189:22	hold 13:13 23:21 32:19	importantly 122:16
<b>Guard's</b> 105:19	harm 148:20 149:20	32:20 52:6 73:16 79:4	164:16 174:17
guarding 102:3	harm-to-somebody-e	79:5 120:10 133:20	impose 44:1
Guardsman 82:12	149:1	136:12 149:8 185:8	imposing 82:7
guess 27:20 56:6	harmed 180:13	191:2	imposition 64:11
103:19 115:3 121:21	hate 83:6	holding 34:3 69:14,14	<b>improve</b> 133:15
145:4 171:18 180:16	hazing 19:21 107:1	69:16 163:18	inadvertent 135:21
••			

incident 24:13 78:21 114:20 incidents 9:5 19:16 25:2,6 27:5 28:21,22 59:17 64:13 include 4:16 65:14 **included** 122:22 includes 28:12 32:5,12 64:12 154:14 including 50:17 increase 43:13,17 62:1 124:7 increased 47:8 **incredibly** 5:21 81:12 inculcate 182:18 incumbent 93:2 independent 37:19 133:13 individual 10:16 31:19 38:18 65:22 80:4 82:18 88:2 109:21 120:16 121:1 130:3,3 131:3 140:15,20 141:10 142:12 147:20 154:6 165:4 170:17 172:5 188:18 individual's 188:9 individuals 12:18 28:11 31:7 47:11 83:1,7 121:4 Indo-Pacific 155:6 **infantry** 22:18 24:22 103:1 159:3 191:14 infantrymen 102:3 infected 124:2 influence 121:1 161:9 influencing 6:22 **info** 31:14 **inform** 10:11 information 46:10 48:9 49:2 51:14 61:22 65:7 69:13 84:12 124:6 184:13 **informs** 47:18 infraction 8:12,13 89:21 90:9 115:7 **infractions** 9:6 25:22 initial 161:19 initially 89:20 initiate 57:14,16 59:6 **initiation** 31:3 57:12 173:17 **injured** 186:7 innocent 118:9 input 67:2 174:7 175:2 inquiry 18:4 61:12 inside 104:9,16 183:21 insight 6:17 97:4

insightful 148:3 192:4 insights 95:3 installations 101:15 **instance** 170:18 instances 173:16 **instill** 12:19 **instinct** 161:19 instituted 92:17 institution 160:19 179:7 instructive 9:19 intact 164:20 intelligent 109:7 intelligently 107:18 intensity 89:19 intent 10:11 148:21 intentions 135:12 interaction 45:14 50:2 interest 73:14 interested 49:6 interesting 12:12 22:13 53:7 159:16 internal 58:6 internally 63:2 **internet** 126:13 interpose 24:7 interpret 52:15 interpretation 121:6 180:2 interrupt 8:18 98:22 intersection 167:6 **introduce** 5:2 100:3 introduction 16:20 invested 94:13 investigate 112:17 investigated 33:9,14 investigates 29:17 investigation 18:1 29:21 30:6 51:6 106:1 112:22 investigations 17:20 18:9,10 29:13,17,20 51:9 172:9 investigative 33:11,12 investigator 164:4 investigators 184:15 invite 98:21 100:4 103:18 114:13,22 115:1 189:19 invites 141:10 involve 94:2 involved 15:21 16:9,10 17:12 25:7 59:18 83:1 109:22 114:20 157:1 **involves** 74:1 157:2 involving 45:20 73:16

**Island** 15:11 108:8.8 **issue** 35:22 72:14,19 77:5 149:10,15 167:8 168:18 177:10 187:18 issues 4:13 12:16 13:16 19:3,10,13,17,20,21 19:22 20:1,10,12 25:12 27:21 50:16 52:17 81:14 89:12.12 89:13 94:2 97:5 101:9 103:13 106:21 109:19 138:12 145:17 146:7 150:19 167:7 It'd 166:7 it'll 130:13 134:4 135:3 135:8,17 165:16

jacked 159:8 **Jag** 14:11,22 15:17 23:12 38:22 49:2 56:17 60:5 126:20 166:2 iail 106:12 **James** 1:19 2:21 Janelle 2:8 **JBLM** 17:9 24:21 27:16 46:20 47:11 102:18 Jennifer 2:3 Jeri 1:20 jerk 92:7 Jim 2:20 **JO's** 157:13 **iob** 6:5,19 13:19 73:9 88:12 92:13 112:1 117:9,9 140:11 165:19,20 166:3 186:8 iobs 22:9 **John** 1:16 **joined** 93:7 joining 4:11 5:21 joint 1:11 5:4 29:10,15 99:19 Jr 1:16 2:13 5:7 judge 1:17,19,20 2:13 2:17,20,21 23:8 24:3 24:6 46:18 61:1 69:4 74:19,20 78:8,9 82:6 82:7 93:8 94:20,20 152:19 165:18 189:6 189:14 judge's 61:11 judgment 171:14 judicial 10:17 11:6 115:22 119:20 167:2 jump 8:11 14:14 17:22

93:6 120:1 129:3

136:15 jumped 136:14 jumping 90:7 91:17 junior 8:5,9 9:9 15:19 16:8 69:15,15 71:22 86:12 92:3 95:21 113:20 114:3 125:16 144:10 155:5,16 157:10,18 158:6 161:19 162:6 junior-enlisted 132:6 132:19,20 justice 1:1,10 4:5,15 6:6,16 7:1,8 10:21 13:12 15:6 16:21 28:22 52:6,22 59:1 66:4 68:21 87:7 89:4 94:2 95:10 97:12 98:18 108:14 118:17 118:19 119:6 128:7 163:8 167:7 168:16 169:4 171:2,3 172:7 176:21

Κ

**K** 1:20 Kasold 1:17 189:6.14 keep 16:8 23:21 72:21 88:7 113:11,12 117:5 124:12 131:5 139:6 146:19 176:5.14 180:16 187:10 190:15 keeping 15:21 83:3 keeps 164:19 **Kenny** 1:18 **Kevin** 2:15 100:11 101:19 kick 7:20 84:20 kicked 87:14 88:14 kidding 180:7 kids 143:10,21 kids' 143:22 kind 8:1 9:10,19,22 10:11 13:13,18 16:4 18:1,11,13,19 22:8 26:7 30:8 36:6 37:19 37:20 38:15 39:16 40:6 42:16 44:7 51:5 51:18 53:8 58:11 65:11 72:19 73:17 80:5 84:5 89:19 90:4 90:17 91:13 107:7 113:17 122:14 140:4 144:8,8,20 152:2 153:14 155:8 156:12 161:15,18 162:13 164:10 170:6 173:18 kinds 6:11

IRO 120:14 121:11,20

**IROs** 121:9

162:9,17,18,18 165:9 86:21 88:10 90:18 **King** 31:13 114:4 122:21 123:6 Kings 23:2 168:2 177:4 179:8,19 127:2 139:1 144:10 93:22 126:8 138:10 Kirsten 1:16 180:11 181:1 185:1 145:18 150:6 151:9 142:14 144:21 147:13 151:21 154:17 155:7 **kit** 97:14 188:6 189:2 154:7 157:20 165:4 knee 92:7 **knowledge** 17:17,18 162:2 176:2,9 177:8 178:16,22 180:22 182:13 185:6 kneecap 146:5,6 152:8 109:4 110:19 191:19 leadership 20:6,7 21:8 191:21 knows 44:19 131:9 24:9 52:10,12 87:13 152:8 levels 39:13 95:19 96:1 know 6:2 7:2 8:9 10:8 143:18 88:12 95:15 96:4 knucklehead 151:13 141:2 147:16 151:5 10:12 12:2,19 13:19 178:17,18 Korea 25:8.10 151:10 156:12 13:20 14:1,10,11 16:2 leveraged 76:9 Lewis 1:11 99:19 16:2,3,6 18:1 19:17 Krulak 140:10 179:13 **leadership's** 20:5 147:8 20:11,13,16 21:10,19 leading 6:13 143:12 Lewis-McChord 5:4 leads 117:21 29:16 22:6 24:11,12,15 26:21,22 27:6,12 33:5 **L** 1:12 lean 79:10 108:17 liaison 111:12 labor 81:9 179:2 110:21 140:4 149:4 33:21 34:5 35:9 36:8 **Libretto** 2:7 4:3 8:17,18 97:22 98:7 192:7 36:19,21 37:7,9,10 167:16 184:5,17 lack 109:4 112:14 39:2,8 40:2,6,8,9,21 113:21 130:13 145:19 learn 53:22 lieu 37:15 75:7 learned 94:18 95:5 41:5,7,12 42:6 45:2,4 ladies 97:22 161:3 **lieutenant** 2:18 9:13 12:8 70:19 71:10 47:1,5 48:18 49:3,7,9 192:7 109:1 150:21 lady 158:10 learning 42:20 49:6 101:22 110:10 155:22 50:1,3,5,18,22 52:10 52:13,16,18,22 53:7 lance 88:21 130:19 53:18 166:8 54:2,4,12,14 62:5,20 leather's 144:22 lieutenants 156:5 163:3 land 87:9 63:1,15,18,19 64:6,7 large 19:15 29:13 92:4 leave 74:9 108:15 life 99:13 117:12 145:8 146:11 159:2 168:1 65:16,17 66:13 68:3,7 109:14 111:4 **led** 140:1 182:18 187:9 188:9 69:13,18 70:10,11,16 largely 12:10 71:16,18 73:1,17,19 **left** 39:17 56:2 100:6 liaht 76:3 165:13 largest 112:6 74:9,13,14,17 75:6,10 **lasting** 141:17 170:6 179:17 likelihood 37:13 75:11,18 76:13,15 legal 9:15 13:13 14:9,22 **LIMFAC** 30:13 **latch** 157:11 77:11,18,21 78:5 79:5 19:18,19 23:20 34:1 limited 4:17 30:3 59:15 late 89:2 90:21 190:5 79:16 80:6,7,16,21 lately 42:12 38:22 40:17 46:17 **limits** 170:7 81:1 82:1,3,15 83:1,5 **Lathan** 2:19 100:16 48:5 50:3.18 56:19 line 35:12 48:20 83:14 83:16,16 84:16 85:14 102:15,16 113:14 67:13 86:13 104:16 83:20 84:1 85:9 96:14 151:1 175:17 177:12 86:3 87:17,19 88:4,9 115:3,5 122:12 104:16 107:9,15 88:10,22 89:2 90:15 132:11 137:19 142:9 110:13,16,20 111:9 177:12 179:19 111:12 116:18,20 90:17 91:1,9,11,16,18 143:16 153:19 161:14 lineal 75:1 92:8,10,11,13,16,16 164:2 172:20 173:21 126:14 165:21 184:2 lines 76:22 162:20 92:20,22 93:1 95:8,12 175:21 178:15 190:5 list 125:17 131:9 95:21 96:15 102:11 Laughter 41:2 71:15 legal-first 128:9 listen 153:14 157:5 104:7,15 105:12,14 81:5 115:4 legally 160:10 158:13,20 159:6 107:1,10,11,16,19 law 6:9,10 32:10 104:4 **Lejeune** 141:12 160:3 176:7 108:2,7,8,8,10,13,16 123:18 172:13 lengthy 12:1 35:19 listening 160:5 37:16 73:21 74:2 108:21,22 109:7,9,10 litany 140:20 lawmakers 6:21 109:14,16,17,19 laws 114:2 114:18 literally 162:9 110:2,17,18,19 114:3 lesser 124:17 145:5 litmus 43:5 150:8 lawyer 107:11 115:11,18 116:3,18 **lessons** 109:1 little 13:3 27:19 33:3 lawyerese 108:13 let's 26:5,16 45:13 90:9 39:17 40:21 73:15 117:15 118:9,10 lawyers 184:16 119:2,14 120:5,12,16 lays 26:10 90:12,14 91:8 129:15 109:16 114:13 126:19 120:16,17 121:3,5,14 lead 4:7 16:11 19:11,17 131:5 137:9 147:16 126:20 136:16 137:5 121:15,21 123:2,21 76:19 77:3 93:19 150:2,11 155:15 138:3 142:22 143:10 123:22 124:14,17,17 178:4 156:8 161:15 163:6 106:12 134:6 139:14 124:18,19,21,22 172:1 173:22 175:1 letter 26:9 123:18 155:4 191:13 125:2,8,8,10,17,20 leader 9:8 17:4,15 18:8 Leupold 2:17 180:9 126:2,4,16 133:7 level 9:12 14:18 17:2,8 live 145:11 44:8 102:12 150:21 135:3 143:22 145:22 17:16 18:6 20:11,17 lived 78:17 151:18 157:15 162:13 146:4 147:12 150:12 lives 129:13 LeaderLegal 15:10 20:17 29:14 31:9 152:19 153:16 154:13 leaders 8:4,5,9 9:4,9,21 33:13,18 34:12 35:4,5 living 104:20 153:21 154:22 155:3 157:6 65:4 87:2 89:11 90:5 35:6 44:10 46:9 63:1 loaded 71:13 159:8 160:15 161:4 91:6,12 92:15 93:4 64:4,4 75:14,20 83:4 **LOC** 131:19

II			
la sal C4:4	40.00 44.0 40.44	474:0.00	40:40 45:0 54:40 04:4
local 64:4	40:22 41:3 42:11	174:2,20	43:12 45:9 54:10 64:1
locally 172:14	49:13 54:6,18 62:3	manner 30:7 53:13	64:2 68:14 77:9 96:8
LOCs 132:7 138:15	63:10 68:11 69:7,12	114:17 147:18 152:18	McLaughlin 2:8
logistics 23:6	71:12,16 80:8,12,21	MARFORCOM 110:11	MCSF 101:19
long 24:15 88:5 103:21	81:6 86:2 92:6	marginalized 158:5	mean 16:4 24:16 31:8
108:7 111:16 117:3	luxury 111:22 112:4	marijuana 19:18	38:20 52:9 62:21
117:13 123:16 133:8		marine 2:15,18 5:6 13:1	72:13 74:16 75:10,13
141:22 154:19 162:19	M	15:9 22:14 41:8 49:16	75:14,16,19 77:12
164:12 174:20	ma'am 14:14 15:10	49:17 63:15 69:19	82:21 85:21 87:22
long- 141:16	16:12 18:21 30:21	78:12 81:1,15 82:1,11	88:16,21 92:11 122:3
longer 130:5	31:20 36:16 40:21	86:4 100:12 102:3	124:13,20 126:7
look 13:2 26:15 36:20	41:1,4 42:11,16 43:11	121:14,19 122:7	127:11 143:15 145:7
37:20 39:12 40:21	44:15 54:5,7 56:4	125:17 129:16 131:4	160:10,11 163:7
51:8 63:6 89:17 99:13	70:7 71:12 72:7 80:10	136:17,20,21 140:15	166:1,10 169:20
105:15,16 106:11	86:2 87:19 93:1	140:16 141:4 146:12	181:6 191:18
118:22 119:2 120:9	111:17 120:1 157:8	148:1 150:18 161:14	meaning 181:8
127:22 128:18 134:2	173:22 177:6 181:16	170:4,20 171:19	means 99:8 127:12,15
138:18,19 139:2	182:11 184:1,20	179:15 186:7 187:5	128:1 160:14
148:18,20 149:18	185:18,21 186:3	Marines 12:13 19:14	meant 131:20
158:11 159:18 175:3	190:22	20:21 21:2,4,6,8,11	measures 91:17
183:2 187:3	Magers 2:11	21:22 22:9,17,18,19	medal 128:19
looked 167:17		34:1 41:6 42:12 49:17	
	magic 117:16		media 71:19
looking 6:3 18:20 30:13	magical 52:7	50:9 62:7,11,15 63:12	medical 23:6,20
39:17 42:6 119:17	magnitude 47:14	81:12 86:18 87:2,3	meet 39:10 57:4 168:14
136:2 148:17 190:9	main 19:3 93:14 103:12	88:17 102:1 121:17	173:4
looks 54:4 127:7	131:18	148:15 163:21	meeting 1:3 4:4 192:10
134:11	maintain 95:15 110:1	mark 2:18 5:13 31:2	192:12
loop 48:5	121:12 182:21 185:7	54:2 101:13 129:19	meetings 160:15
<b>LOR</b> 131:19 132:1	191:16	Mars 144:14	<b>Meghan</b> 2:9 4:6 5:19
II			
lord 163:9	maintaining 7:9 109:17	martial 4:18 63:13 66:9	7:4 22:3
LORs 132:8 138:15	192:1	66:17 68:8 72:17,17	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6
<b>LORs</b> 132:8 138:15 <b>lose</b> 61:4 91:16	192:1 <b>maintenance</b> 4:19	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1	7:4 22:3 <b>member</b> 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7	7:4 22:3 <b>member</b> 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14 36:7 55:21 105:10	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14 36:7 55:21 105:10 106:5,8,14,20 116:22	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14 36:7 55:21 105:10 106:5,8,14,20 116:22 117:16,18 119:3	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14 36:7 55:21 105:10 106:5,8,14,20 116:22	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14 36:7 55:21 105:10 106:5,8,14,20 116:22 117:16,18 119:3	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9 127:17 136:16 162:20	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10 matter 22:2 72:15,21	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9 127:17 136:16 162:20 172:18 190:15	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10 matter 22:2 72:15,21 98:4 123:10 192:14	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9 mentioned 30:8 33:4
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9 127:17 136:16 162:20 172:18 190:15 man 82:4 126:3 146:18	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10 matter 22:2 72:15,21 98:4 123:10 192:14 matters 156:18 178:15	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9 mentioned 30:8 33:4 45:15 46:22 49:14
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9 127:17 136:16 162:20 172:18 190:15 man 82:4 126:3 146:18 manage 46:21 180:17 management 29:3	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10 matter 22:2 72:15,21 98:4 123:10 192:14 matters 156:18 178:15 maturity 65:21	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9 mentioned 30:8 33:4 45:15 46:22 49:14 57:19 70:17 104:14
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9 127:17 136:16 162:20 172:18 190:15 man 82:4 126:3 146:18 manage 46:21 180:17	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10 matter 22:2 72:15,21 98:4 123:10 192:14 matters 156:18 178:15 maturity 65:21 Maxwell 14:20	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9 mentioned 30:8 33:4 45:15 46:22 49:14 57:19 70:17 104:14
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9 127:17 136:16 162:20 172:18 190:15 man 82:4 126:3 146:18 manage 46:21 180:17 management 29:3 managing 11:16 12:1 mandated 92:7	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10 matter 22:2 72:15,21 98:4 123:10 192:14 matters 156:18 178:15 maturity 65:21 Maxwell 14:20 McChord 1:11,11 99:20	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9 mentioned 30:8 33:4 45:15 46:22 49:14 57:19 70:17 104:14 177:7 mentor 87:4
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9 127:17 136:16 162:20 172:18 190:15 man 82:4 126:3 146:18 manage 46:21 180:17 management 29:3 managing 11:16 12:1	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10 matter 22:2 72:15,21 98:4 123:10 192:14 matters 156:18 178:15 maturity 65:21 Maxwell 14:20 McChord 1:11,11 99:20 101:10 138:14	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9 mentioned 30:8 33:4 45:15 46:22 49:14 57:19 70:17 104:14 177:7 mentor 87:4 MEPS 170:19 merits 45:3 60:6
LORs 132:8 138:15 lose 61:4 91:16 loss 75:1 120:22 134:15 lost 130:2 lot 23:18 29:10 34:14	192:1 maintenance 4:19 MAJGEN 66:11 68:17 major 2:15,19 9:12 15:5 100:11,15 101:19 102:16,17 109:11 129:7 131:17 146:7 158:4,16,19,21 159:10 160:1,15 161:17,20 162:10 163:2,4 175:11 Major's 137:18 majority 19:16 21:11 28:18,21 85:21 86:18 maker 60:1 making 11:19 12:2 17:12 37:22 59:9 60:3 81:9 95:22,22 96:17 99:17,21 117:9 127:17 136:16 162:20 172:18 190:15 man 82:4 126:3 146:18 manage 46:21 180:17 management 29:3 managing 11:16 12:1 mandated 92:7 mandatory 31:3 60:16	66:17 68:8 72:17,17 72:19,22 74:11,12 78:13 84:17 86:1 116:14 118:5 124:7 125:19 126:12 136:12 176:19 182:20 183:8 183:20 martials 126:14,16 138:14 179:5 Mason 2:7 mast 41:6 43:15,21 63:2 82:3 149:7,8 master 2:14,16,20 100:7,9,13 101:2,12 102:2 112:5 129:8,8 148:5 156:5,6,7,22 157:2 master-at-arms 22:19 masts 45:10 matter 22:2 72:15,21 98:4 123:10 192:14 matters 156:18 178:15 maturity 65:21 Maxwell 14:20 McChord 1:11,11 99:20 101:10 138:14 McDonnell 2:18 5:13	7:4 22:3 member 38:7,10 39:6 39:22 40:5 59:4 78:3 79:14,22 84:16 106:2 106:2 117:7 127:10 153:3 156:15 182:17 182:21 183:3 186:7 members 32:14 33:1,14 38:14 62:2 65:12,14 65:18 68:19 69:2,16 72:3 76:11 86:16 93:7 94:12 96:11,13 97:18 98:21 112:2 114:19 143:11 166:15 169:13 169:19 170:3,16 176:18 189:6,10 memorandum 57:13 58:12 memory 148:8 mental 13:16 167:6 168:4,17 182:9 mentioned 30:8 33:4 45:15 46:22 49:14 57:19 70:17 104:14 177:7 mentor 87:4 MEPS 170:19

Montana 32:6 102:8 127:9 165:3,17 182:6 182:22 183:7 **Navy's** 15:7 185:8 month 31:1 56:7 met 1:10 **months** 13:12 25:9,21 **NCIS** 50:4 112:10,17,21 methods 11:5 26:20 30:11,18,20 **NCO** 103:21 **MG** 1:16,18 72:11 86:10 33:6,21,21 34:3,7,9 NCOs 87:6,6 88:19 88:15 163:5 181:3 34:22 51:20,22 53:17 172:6 mic 100:20 53:22 147:19 necessarily 8:9 20:18 Michael 2:7 moral 187:11,21 21:20 41:12 57:15 75:1 78:7 92:12 107:9 microphone 8:19 **morale** 21:16 middle 90:7 Morales 2:19 5:11 10:6 129:5 132:12,16,22 Mike 8:18 98:14 142:16 169:4 170:16 10:6 11:11,21 14:14 miles 155:6 27:18 28:2,3 30:21 173:4 military 1:1,10 3:5 4:5 31:5,17 36:16 38:19 need 10:12 20:18 51:3 4:15 6:6,8,16,22 7:7 45:8 48:13 49:22 51:16,20 52:1 62:21 16:21 28:22 29:16 50:13 51:21 52:9 73:11 94:8 117:1,6 58:17 64:19,20 67:18 119:18 127:20 128:14 52:10 54:20 59:1 66:4 68:21 69:4 74:7 76:20 75:8 82:14 85:19 131:4,5 139:20 82:7 87:7 89:4 92:4 87:10 104:14 142:22 149:3,4 93:21 94:2 95:10 morally 186:6,6 158:12 160:4 161:4 96:11,12 97:12 98:18 morning 4:9 11:10 12:7 163:21 168:9 171:13 108:14 112:2 118:16 13:7 32:2 98:15 171:13 175:18 184:10 118:18 119:6 128:7 100:22 101:11 157:8 184:16 163:8 167:7 168:15 Morris 2:8 needed 124:18 169:4 174:18 176:21 move 11:20 27:2 54:9 needs 123:5 157:1 178:12 58:2.22 109:20 118:3 172:1 185:5.14 mind 42:17 76:8 83:22 118:16 128:14 129:22 negative 67:18 84:9 105:5 173:21 172:12 174:14 190:14 negotiating 59:9 190:16 mine 165:15 neither 151:14 185:10 minimum 61:9,15 173:8 moving 4:3 7:1 47:9,19 185:19 **minimums** 60:20 47:22 57:8 81:22 91:2 **Neller** 141:5 **O-3** 75:13 minor 32:17 33:13 86:8 145:12 146:19 neutrons 187:1 89:12 112:19 113:2 **MPI** 29:16 never 92:8 159:2 113:10.15 **muddy** 180:8 183:21 minute 159:5 multiple 18:14 187:4 **new** 27:9 54:1,12 64:14 minutes 111:21 189:18 81:15 135:19 154:9 Ν misbehavior 143:5 newcomers 87:10 N 2:4 **misconduct** 19:7 21:3 **Newport** 15:7,11 22:1 62:14 69:21 70:9 nexus 144:22 Nalini 2:6 98:12 99:20 71:20 81:13 137:16 name 24:20 175:17 nice 73:2 150:3 169:13 name's 101:11 **nineteen** 143:17 misconducts 182:13 narrative 127:16 **Ninety-six** 186:11 nation 94:7,13 155:6 **NJP** 32:19,20 33:5,18 mission 10:13 11:1 22:20 23:5 27:20 28:6 national 93:21 42:7,13 43:15 44:2,9 30:15 156:1 44:14,20 57:19 62:8 **natural** 76:19 missions 6:14 32:11 nature 33:8 46:9 60:9 62:17 63:1,2 64:11,22 75:13,21 78:19 82:2 143:6 63:8 75:11 94:15 mistake 149:21 150:4,4 107:2 110:18 126:11 83:15,16 86:5,21 150:9,9 167:22 132:4 144:3 146:5 89:12,13 95:17 109:5 mistakes 79:18,19 168:22 172:10 185:12 125:8 183:17 188:7 186:18 191:20 NJP'd 129:22 130:19 94:18 mix 32:21 43:14 naval 101:15 112:6,7 **NJP-type** 28:21 **MJRP** 1:1 navigating 6:14 **NJPs** 40:9 41:6 125:19 **MOA** 29:16 152:14 172:9 Navy 2:13,20 5:8 13:1 offense 27:7 35:18 69:6 moderating 98:20 Nobody's 154:1 15:4 49:16,18 69:19 77:5 79:9 84:1,11,21 non-10:16 11:5 31:7 **mom** 146:1 77:10 84:3 100:10 85:2,18,20 86:8 87:8 moment 55:2 61:20 101:12 111:22 113:2 non-covered 23:15 94:15 138:20 181:1 momentarily 55:18 non-deployable 30:20 185:9 113:7 126:8,14,14 money 180:4 148:16 150:6 168:21 offenses 23:16 29:14 31:2

non-judicial 4:18 28:20 39:18 40:19 42:4 43:1 58:2 116:8 126:8 131:22 132:8 138:15 Norfolk 112:7 **norm** 144:20 **normal** 16:15 normally 73:22 149:11 **norms** 87:20 Northern 32:6 northwest 101:13,14 102:7 note 38:4 notes 169:16 notice 8:8 noticed 8:15 9:2 90:3 notwithstanding 97:13 nuanced 29:9 **nuances** 157:3 nudge 131:20 138:17 **number** 12:11 19:12 20:11 23:7 41:5 42:4 42:19 47:8,14 59:17 65:20 71:18 96:10 105:9 126:15 181:6 **numbers** 25:21 75:2 103:4

## **O-4** 35:6 75:13 **O-4s** 75:9 **O-5** 75:10,13 **O-5s** 23:10 **O-6** 23:9 oath 183:3,4 observation 93:15 observations 46:5 **obtain** 127:1 obvious 11:4 **obviously** 20:12 26:11 29:10 42:18 44:22 60:17 84:12 105:19 188:21 occasion 41:7 47:21 occasions 178:21 occur 174:2 occurs 56:2 **OCT** 134:10 off-base 175:12 offender 60:18 offending 149:11

П			200
00.47.00.40.00.40			l
32:17 33:13 36:19	onboard 152:16	oriented 86:13	parent 141:11,12 143:9
45:20,22 50:11 84:8	once 42:18 43:3 55:20	<b>Osborn</b> 1:18 15:14,15	146:12
95:17 96:2,9 186:17	56:2 57:20 98:7 108:3	18:22 30:17,22 31:11	parents 142:1 145:21
188:8	116:13 157:14 172:5	31:21	part 20:8 31:19,19 40:4
offer 14:12 61:15	187:4	<b>OSCAR</b> 145:16	40:7 55:17 60:19 66:4
176:20	one-sided 172:18	<b>OSTC</b> 20:13 27:7,9,13	68:2 97:13 103:10,12
offered 147:21	one-time 138:20 149:10	33:20 36:9 37:12,18	103:15 104:11 107:17
office 29:5,13 45:18	149:21	42:18 43:9 46:16,20	130:8 147:8 156:20
49:18,18 54:11	ones 35:11	47:2,6,6,12,16,19	160:13 171:9 177:15
124:15 125:18 126:1	onesies 152:17	48:15 49:8,10,17,17	182:22 187:14 188:20
133:10 135:4 165:6		49:18 50:5,22 53:2,3	190:3
	ongoing 61:22 63:8 124:7		
166:13 176:3 183:4		53:18 54:20 56:22	participate 71:20
183:12,14	onus 176:6	58:1,7,11 66:20 67:12	participated 21:3 62:14
officer 9:15 14:9 32:21	open 1:5 7:13 66:2 91:5	67:14 68:1,2 135:18	81:13
57:13 60:2 69:5 71:22	98:2,10 162:20 189:9	135:20 163:16,20	participating 69:20
73:10 74:8,11,15	opened 160:8 180:1	165:21	particular 7:14 11:12
102:13 107:9,15	<b>operate</b> 6:11 46:9 170:7	<b>OTC</b> 14:2 66:20	11:17,18 15:4 27:1
110:14 111:9 113:7	operating 55:4,16	ought 67:2	67:3 79:14
128:22 131:8 132:15	operational 134:14	outcome 62:9,12,18	particularly 27:14
132:19 133:1,2	145:14 184:5	63:19 86:6 125:15	party 37:19
156:21 157:3,5,12,20	operations 14:17 83:2	127:22 141:10 152:13	path 76:17 157:3
158:3,12 162:14	opinion 69:8 74:17	166:19 167:3	187:12
166:2 183:4	108:17 112:12 118:10	outcomes 60:7	patience 142:1
officer's 155:5	118:10 123:19 169:1	outlining 165:21	pattern 34:3
officer- 160:14	173:16 182:20 183:22	outset 67:14	pause 10:2 40:1
officer-in-charge 32:22	opinions 171:5	outside 33:20 46:12	pays 8:15
officers 13:2 16:16	opportunities 9:7	104:16 107:12 109:10	PCS 31:15
69:17,20 70:6,21	161:22 162:6	126:1 136:12 164:9	peers 96:13 119:21
71:19,20 72:16,21	opportunity 9:14,22	188:20	pending 31:12 59:5
73:16,19 74:22 75:12	17:2 58:3 67:1,7	outsider 158:22	151:12
76:7 86:13 87:3,5	80:14 87:1 89:3	overall 35:8,8 64:7	penetration 112:13,14
88:19 92:3 95:21	132:14,17 161:1	oversee 120:2	pension 72:1
97:11 110:17 126:20	171:9 175:9 178:18	Overseeing 29:3	people 11:14 32:12
128:6 132:1 153:3,9	ops 135:1	overstep 108:18	43:20 71:6 73:10
153:13 154:14 155:17	option 43:3 57:20	overwhelmed 54:21	76:19 77:17 79:18
157:10,18 158:5,6	181:19	overwhelming 86:18	87:21 94:1,1 102:9,12
159:21 161:20 162:6	options 5:1 42:17,21,21	owe 151:17 179:15	104:18 105:4,21
172:6	57:18 70:11,12 76:2		106:8,10,16 110:7
officers-in- 32:22	172:22 182:16	Р	117:5,18 119:17
offices 4:20 46:4 49:17	order 4:19 7:9,11,14,16	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S	127:6,17,21 128:10
50:10 54:20 124:14	10:12 11:15 12:19	4:1	128:21 130:10 133:4
oftentimes 39:4	15:22 16:11 18:2	<b>p.m</b> 192:15	134:18 138:17 139:13
oh 8:21 63:15 142:8	21:15 37:8 52:4 64:7	pace 50:15,16	139:14,20 140:3
158:10 160:10 161:1	64:9 82:10 95:9,16	<b>Pacific</b> 102:7	143:7 144:13 148:9
<b>OJT</b> 92:12	103:9,17 104:4	packages 39:5	151:11 152:2 153:22
okay 7:4 8:22 16:4	109:18 113:9 121:15	packet 99:3	163:12 164:10 165:2
30:19 31:21 58:20	123:8 127:9 138:17	panel 1:1,10 3:2,3,4 4:5	168:6,11 171:4 180:4
66:10 68:20 77:7 86:8	141:19 144:16 147:5	4:7,10,14 8:1 65:12	181:20,22 186:16
88:21 104:20 105:1	147:22 152:12 164:19	86:16 95:8,12 98:2,7	187:8 190:4,7 191:2
106:19 110:2 117:9	173:7 185:7 191:16	98:20 102:20 104:15	people's 123:3
117:10 137:9 145:11	192:1	120:5 153:2 160:22	perceive 57:19 71:7
145:11,11 146:20,21	Oregon 102:8	<b>panels</b> 65:12 66:9	percent 25:6 75:18
147:3,13,16 150:12	organization 79:18	170:3	131:2 140:14
	127:14 144:17 171:10	paperwork 56:21 57:1	percentage 21:13 45:4
155:14 160:10 174:19	·=····		
155:14 160:10 174:19 180:6 189:16	173:10 179:10	133:3	perception 70:20 71:1
		133:3 <b>paralegal</b> 2:2,6,8,8,9	71:6,21 72:8,9 133:15
180:6 189:16	173:10 179:10		
180:6 189:16 <b>Okinawa</b> 81:4,6	173:10 179:10 organize 53:19	paralegal 2:2,6,8,8,9 107:10,16	71:6,21 72:8,9 133:15
180:6 189:16 <b>Okinawa</b> 81:4,6 <b>old</b> 156:9 175:7	173:10 179:10 organize 53:19 orientation 9:15 14:10	paralegal 2:2,6,8,8,9	71:6,21 72:8,9 133:15 <b>perceptions</b> 70:16

I
perfect 99:11 154:1,2 perfecting 51:14 perfectly 80:18 performance 138:21 139:17,18 174:16 performer 134:5 period 11:3 24:12,15 29:5 77:18 137:7 164:10 175:22 permutations 51:1 perpetrator 134:4 perpetrators 133:20 pers 60:22 person 11:16 74:6 79:11 118:3,8 123:21 133:6 139:22 142:19 149:11,19 154:6 176:3 178:1,9,12
182:8 188:5 189:2 person's 35:7
personal 40:8 69:8
74:16
personalities 152:1 personally 44:18 67:16
67:19 164:15
personnel 2:5,10 23:19 50:17,18 132:14
perspective 8:4 10:5
11:15 17:5 26:2 27:3
34:13 40:11 43:13
47:2 53:15 54:11 58:18 61:1 70:9 73:22
77:13,20 78:6 80:1
82:15 115:22 116:6
132:13 153:6,13
172:13,15 185:3
perspectives 4:13,20 7:7 76:5 97:4 98:17
118:16
Peter 2:2
<b>Peters</b> 2:9 4:6,9 6:1 7:5
10:3 11:8 15:13,16 19:1 22:4 27:18 32:1
35:13 36:2,13 38:3
39:14 44:16 45:6,12
48:11 49:12 54:22
58:14,20 60:10 61:7
61:16 63:5,21 64:19 66:7 68:18 69:9 70:3
75:22 77:4,7 78:8
80:11,18 83:11 93:5
94:22 96:6,20
petty 113:6 157:12
physical 182:9 pick 176:15
piece 12:10,21 21:21
48:8 50:8 65:9 108:4
108:6 122:15,18,18

124:4 125:13 128:4 130:8 133:8 157:4 161:10 164:21 181:17 **Pierce** 31:13 piggyback 44:5 pill 171:17 pillars 187:6 **Pilots** 158:20 place 51:7 82:20 119:6 128:20 149:1 155:11 176:22 188:20 places 97:7 106:5 182:3 plan 90:15 126:10,10 planets 144:6 plate 15:1 185:2 platoon 148:6 162:2 play 43:10 184:22 190:13 plays 27:10 **plea** 53:3 59:7,10,13,17 60:9,11,20 61:4,9,17 please 46:5 100:17,20 111:19 115:1,1 192:11 pleased 98:16 pleasure 135:9 **plenty** 169:6 plug 80:14 **PME** 92:21 point 47:2 54:3,16 70:20 72:13 73:12 78:3 85:12 87:7,19 88:15 98:10,12,22 99:16 105:12 158:7 169:2 178:3,4 180:16 181:5 191:7 **Police** 29:17 policy 2:5,10 19:8 20:9 20:18 21:20 26:2,9 27:3 31:1 35:1 91:5 93:21 113:2,10,15 120:2 128:9 politics 146:4 pollution 32:10 poor 184:2 popular 164:12 population 18:16 96:9 113:20 portion 16:17 17:9 168:18 169:5 **portions** 165:21 **position** 45:1 52:13 77:2 82:22 100:5,18 positive 125:15,16 126:6 140:2 167:1 168:3 possession 29:1 37:11

88:3

**possibility** 39:8 67:9 181:14 possible 10:22 38:2 60:7 91:8 94:14 99:21 134:18 190:15 191:10 possibly 35:6 123:7 136:4 153:20 163:13 168:21 post 26:17 47:14 **posts** 27:16 potential 8:13 18:7 65:18 67:15 75:10 76:5 79:22 174:17 potentially 26:21 49:7 58:2 66:1 74:6 76:10 91:16 pour 137:6 141:2 151:5 151:15 152:2 160:16 187:9 191:18 poured 151:6 152:3,8 pouring 142:2 145:18 151:11 practical 17:10 72:15 72:20 practice 19:9 20:9 **Practitioners** 3:4 pre-28th 58:19 pre-command 14:16 pre-trial 120:8,9,11 **preach** 182:5 precepts 170:6 precious 99:7 predominantly 101:16 112:3 prefer 55:6 56:16.22 Preparedness 29:4 preparing 184:13 preponderance 38:16 40:10 41:14 42:7 43:22 **PRESENT** 1:13 2:1 presented 135:16 PRESENTERS 2:12 presiding 1:12 **press** 189:14 pressing 19:9 pretty 31:16 32:16 46:18 56:10 63:17 122:3,8,12 126:9 132:1 150:1,6 157:10 157:17 165:15 172:19 176:12 previous 65:1 74:22 104:15 147:9 previously 56:9 **pride** 79:16 113:6 121:14 **prides** 171:10

primarily 18:6 22:18 32:9 primary 23:4 25:22 33:11 principal 133:20 **prior** 8:1 37:12 40:18 47:7 **privacy** 106:17 123:4 133:7 **private** 47:22 105:13 164:4 privatized 16:2,3,7 **pro** 110:3 proactive 68:1 189:4 **probably** 27:16 29:5 37:13,13 40:20 53:15 53:16,21 54:20 62:6 74:14 84:4 89:14 93:19 104:13 105:4,9 124:12 156:7 **probation** 139:18,18 problem 88:22 92:5 146:8,10 189:1 problematic 43:7 problems 96:17 procedure 81:20 procedures 4:21 12:17 proceeding 44:20 proceedings 73:16 process 11:19 12:17 13:11 14:2 15:8.21 16:9 27:9 34:19 35:2 35:20 36:15 37:2 38:6 38:10,20 40:17 42:10 48:10 51:7 55:1,3,22 58:6,16 59:4,14,16 61:19 66:5 69:11 70:5 73:20,21 74:2 77:15 81:20 106:14 114:19 118:2,6 123:11 125:11 128:10 134:10 135:19 139:8,17 148:9,15,16 172:2 173:13 processes 42:2 119:20 processing 23:13 26:4 35:14 84:3 procession 73:7 **produce** 140:19 **product** 140:18 professional 9:8 17:15 18:8 92:13,17 166:18 171:10 professionals 164:17 **program** 46:6 78:13 **programs** 17:16 177:2 progress 16:16 190:14 progression 16:16

82:21 100:20 106:6 76:19 92:20 127:14 132:21 109:11 progressive 131:19 140:16 171:4 175:16 ranking 14:22 reciprocate 167:4 138:10 176:6 178:5,6 180:12 ranks 50:19 66:3 72:8 recognize 192:12 125:6 recommend 19:8 21:20 projecting 63:7 191:10 promised 40:22 49:1 putting 184:21 rate 145:22 35:2 82:10 105:14 **promote** 123:8,9 rates 36:22 149:17 promoted 130:3,22 rationale 56:15 recommendation 38:20 **promoting** 75:15,18 quarter 65:1 **Raymond** 2:19 100:16 51:18 60:4,8 67:4,12 promotion 75:3,9 79:22 quarterly 64:9,15,21 68:16 120:5 149:9 102:16 170:3 question 5:17 7:6 14:5 **RCM** 120:9 121:6 recommendations proof 39:20 27:12 59:9 99:17 15:14 16:13 19:2 20:8 re-adjudicated 80:5 **proper** 165:1,4 24:4 25:12 26:5 39:15 re-instituted 75:6 recommended 20:9 proponent 70:10 reach 129:18 130:4 record 75:15 80:1 98:5 41:16 44:16 71:13 166:20 80:16,22 95:6,6 136:4 176:13 192:8,15 pros 62:20 103:10,20 104:11 reached 47:6 recordkeeping 58:8,8 114:12 128:4 143:3 reactive 188:21,22 prosecute 133:14 58:13 prosecution 68:8 147:10 167:5 185:18 read 69:18 125:22 recover 79:19 131:21 prosecutor 54:12 question's 148:2 170:5 132:7 readily 181:11 133:13 questionable 65:16 recruiting 94:4 readiness 11:16 12:3 prosecutorial 133:16 **questions** 6:2 25:5 recruitment 76:4 protected 97:17 27:21 68:18 76:1 93:9 23:4 red 76:22 177:11,12 protecting 133:7 ready 23:6 96:18 redeployed 25:8,10,16 94:21 98:18.21.22 protocol 26:7 99:2 169:9 189:15 159:20,21 **Redford** 1:19 24:3,6 **protons** 186:22 quick 10:22 14:4 56:10 real 94:6 120:3 122:17 74:19,20 78:8,9 82:6 proud 178:11 155:3 170:12 redirected 184:9 120:3 proven 43:3 quicker 35:10 116:10 reality 71:2.6 72:6 reduced 69:2 providing 94:11 quickly 11:20 25:5 26:4 realize 6:10 50:5 66:16 reducina 73:1 proximity 155:18 30:14 100:3 112:20 159:4 reduction 69:5 73:4 **PTS** 180:21 realized 43:1 74:5 75:1,7 auit 94:1 **public** 4:4 98:11 118:9 quite 11:22 12:16 33:22 really 6:19 17:1 39:10 reeducate 18:20 119:8 123:19 162:9 87:16 88:9 107:22 46:13 48:14 53:22 reenlist 131:1 183:5 192:9,10 108:16 122:6 146:13 54:13 57:20 60:21 reexamine 175:8 referred 48:19 **publication** 140:8,8,11 178:20 188:11 73:3,9 74:15,18 77:4 140:12 quizzed 41:1 85:6,16 97:1 104:17 reflect 8:1 Puget 32:4 101:16 quote 147:22 105:3 106:20 107:5 reflects 71:6 **pull** 162:6 107:11 108:21 109:4 **refusal** 43:13 R refuse 43:15 62:17 **pulled** 115:12 110:6 116:21 117:3 **pulling** 75:17 162:3 **R** 1:15,15,16,16,16,17 122:17 134:9 137:1,6 115:13 punished 79:3 1:18,18,19 14:4,7 141:1 144:7 145:16 refused 26:18 34:6 **punishment** 10:17 11:6 15:15 18:22 22:3,6 147:12 148:11 155:19 42:13 44:14 62:8 36:7 39:18 40:19 42:4 30:17,22 31:11,21 165:19 170:11,12 **refuses** 30:10 43:2 44:10 58:3 59:11 173:18 179:8 184:20 39:16 40:2 41:15 refusing 86:5 80:7 115:22 116:9 66:11 68:17 72:11 190:6 regard 61:18 126:8 131:22 132:8 realm 89:4 83:13 84:10 86:10 regarding 167:6 148:12 179:1 **realms** 23:6 regardless 63:18 88:15 91:21 93:10 punishments 74:21 reaping 130:5 151:11,15 171:11 110:5,22 111:3,7 138:15 148:2 163:2,5 167:5 reason 11:17 41:16 regards 113:4 126:7,12 punitive 132:4 173:15 181:3 183:10 57:2 129:4 132:5 150:7 168:15 175:11 purgatory 52:5 **R.C.M** 18:3 170:18 181:12 region 32:5 101:13 reasonable 38:15 42:8 105:9 **purpose** 188:17 rabbit 148:4 reasons 23:20 89:8,9 **purposes** 58:8,13 73:8 registration 60:18 racial 19:22 **pursue** 11:5 31:10 raise 135:14 146:13 131:13 151:22 173:5 regular 183:14 rebuttals 39:5 regulations 8:7 114:2 **pursued** 67:15,16 raised 70:19 71:11 85:8 pursuing 14:17 37:14 recall 59:16,22 144:2 ramp 89:22 90:8 91:2 135:22 **receive** 31:6 46:10,10 rehab 78:13 147:15,22 range 32:16 59:11,19 143:7 91:8 received 56:5,14,16 180:3 rehabbed 81:19 pursuit 11:2 rank 65:14,20 69:2,5 58:19 **put** 58:12 64:15 77:2 receiving 42:19 55:12 rehabilitate 76:10 77:2 72:1,16 74:5 75:1,7

84:8 139:6 148:13 178:6 rehabilitated 83:8 85:11 137:2 148:10 150:10 179:3 181:8 rehabilitation 39:8 77:22 86:11 94:10 137:5 148:19 151:4 176:16 177:1 178:19 179:7 182:14,16,21 rehabilitation's 181:14 rehabilitative 76:7,14 77:20 78:6 80:15,22 81:17 132:9 reinstituted 81:4,6 reinvigorated 141:5 related 6:8 106:21 relating 98:18 relationship 46:19 141:13 155:19 156:13 157:7 162:21 relationships 45:22 53:20 relative 36:3 **relatively** 26:3 27:9 relaved 65:3 release 178:10 relied 171:15 relieving 139:4 relitigate 166:1 rely 29:22 95:15 96:3 remainder 192:9.12 remains 27:10 remember 100:20 148:5 189:21 reminder 192:8 remotely 93:7 remove 72:2 removed 12:5 148:19 removing 184:12 Rene 2:13 5:7 13:7 23:3 repeated 138:21 reply 47:20 report 31:12 64:15 reporting 4:21 reports 30:10 repository 23:17 represent 101:8 reprimand 57:13 repurposed 78:15 reputation 124:1 require 181:3 required 9:17 109:10 173:18 requirement 61:15 requirements 58:10 **rescue** 32:10 research 39:7 155:1

reserve 28:15 reserving 123:3 reservists 32:13 reset 137:10 resign 74:9 resiliency 145:6,6,19 187:2 resilient 187:5 resolution 114:19 resolved 114:16 resources 74:1 178:11 180:3 respect 86:17 121:22 145:19 157:5 188:14 respectful 137:1 138:7 respectfully 41:7 respondent 38:11 response 29:4 32:10 37:9 responses 114:10,13 192:5 responsible 48:4 101:14 rest 21:14 63:14 112:2 182:9 restore 94:14 result 61:21 134:7 results 27:1 34:8 38:13 58:11 63:7,9 115:16 116:1,3 126:9,16 180:21 resumed 98:5 retain 170:17 174:8,13 176:2 retained 130:20 retaining 105:16 169:12 171:8 retention 76:4 131:5 142:18 retire 72:1,16 74:9,12 129:11 retirement 129:20 130:4 returns 140:2 review 1:1,10 4:5,14 38:22 98:19 167:9 reviewing 39:5 Rhode 15:11 108:8 right 4:9 5:5 6:5,18,20 7:5 14:5 15:13 19:1 21:4,6,9,12,13 22:15 27:13 30:19 31:21 34:18 38:3 41:21 43:1 45:12 46:17 47:3

49:16 50:7 51:22

52:19 54:16,21,22

57:11 58:22 61:18

63:21 76:1,3 79:14,15

80:11,19 81:22 82:4 82:16 87:7 89:1 90:7 90:15,16 91:1,17 92:12 93:5 94:22 96:1 96:16,20 97:19 107:13 108:5 111:10 113:12 118:8,15 124:19 129:3 130:9 130:22 131:11 133:9 134:19 137:11 146:1 146:19 150:16 151:2 151:22 156:5,6 158:9 159:20 160:11,14 161:10 165:2,11 166:7,9 170:7 171:11 171:16 185:8 186:16 188:12,21 189:3,19 189:22 190:7,10 rightfully 184:5 **rights** 106:16 ring 174:21 rise 50:16 138:9 167:8 168:4,19 180:22 **rises** 177:8 risk 145:15 road 7:3 22:16 89:10 126:4 142:5 Robert 1:18 **robot** 154:4 **robotic** 139:16 **rocks** 81:9,10 136:16 136:16 137:4,5 138:3 138:4 Roger 146:20 191:7 **role** 65:20 103:9 190:12 **roll** 165:17 **roll-up** 31:6 **rolling** 139:17 rolls 64:16 room 58:1 92:8 159:20 159:21 160:21 164:6 164:9,17 175:2 root 142:13 **ROSO** 110:16 **ROSOs** 172:11 rotate 18:17 rotating 18:16 route 58:3 116:9 **routine** 46:21 row 89:1 Rozell 2:9 rules 125:9 run 17:7,8 37:1 40:9 41:5 95:18 running 46:6 88:1 **runs** 23:13 **Rushing** 2:20 100:10 101:11,12 111:17,20

118:4 126:7 134:20 137:17 150:1 157:8 165:18 168:12 182:11 **Ruth** 2:10

S safe 155:11 safeguard 22:21 sail 139:22,22 sailing 155:5 **sailor** 34:6 41:8 52:5 63:16 78:11,18 82:2 82:11 86:4 118:5 150:2 182:19 sailor's 182:18 sailors 12:14 13:13 19:15 20:21 21:2,4,6 21:9,11,22 22:10,17 22:19 23:12,18 34:1 40:12 41:6 42:12 44:13 49:18 50:10 62:7,11,16 77:3 86:19 88:18 102:2 113:12 118:7 163:22 168:21 sand 48:21 151:1 sat 75:8 152:7 **saving** 169:7 **savvy** 150:7 **saw** 16:6 22:8 25:16,17 125:17 128:8 saying 51:13 110:12 125:13 131:17 137:13 153:21 160:3,6,6,7 162:11 170:20 176:10 says 41:8 47:21 56:21 83:21 85:16 110:2 151:1 179:21 **scare** 88:6 scars 182:8 187:22 **school** 14:11 15:7,18 137:21 138:5 schoolhouse 16:15 **Schroder** 1:19 22:3,5,6 110:5,22 111:3 143:3 148:2 **screw** 157:14 **screwed** 157:14 **script** 44:1,3 163:15 scrutiny 39:12 **se** 107:11 sea 157:12 **search** 32:9 126:13 seat 33:22 37:18 Seattle 102:6 105:9

second 18:2 20:8 77:1

second-door 129:12

160:13

79:11 103:15 104:11

п			210
100 10 100 11		174 00 475 4 470 40	47.40.00.44.00.0
130:12 186:14	senior-enlisted 132:7	171:20 175:4 176:18	side 17:10 29:11 33:9
second-guess 171:13	seniors 113:17	178:7 181:8,19	43:16 48:14 51:6,8
second-tier 160:20	sense 6:3 50:14 66:2	182:17,21 183:3	95:20 121:8 131:18
sector 28:15 32:4	67:11 152:18	186:7 190:2	131:19 132:5 143:6,8
105:13	sent 64:10 82:18 113:3	services 14:8,11 51:1	162:3,7
security 22:14 29:21	sentence 59:11 69:3	84:4 92:18 94:5 98:9	sidebar 186:1
78:11	76:6 82:7 124:18	121:12 147:2	sideline 105:22
see 6:18 10:18 12:18	sentencing 5:1 36:22	serving 28:8 32:14	<b>sides</b> 119:1
21:5 25:3 29:5 32:16	68:22 69:10 70:5,8	session 1:5 4:4,12 5:17	<b>sign</b> 170:19
34:13,16 35:10 36:21	76:2	7:6 18:9 90:11 91:20	signal 94:8 135:21
37:18 38:14 39:5 42:9	sentiment 70:15	98:3,11,11 166:16	136:8
43:13 45:1 48:19 61:7	separate 26:12 38:18	<b>sessions</b> 9:9 17:18	signed 26:9
68:5 72:14 104:1	57:15 106:3 113:1	92:3 142:17	significant 19:12 20:4
105:10 113:19 114:1	174:8	set 28:6 91:1 127:1	20:12 25:17,18 37:2
118:7 126:2 127:8	separated 77:16	187:18	37:17 75:21 78:20
131:18 132:20,22	separating 168:21	seven 103:1	104:19 130:1 177:9
133:4 134:3,14	169:13	severity 26:6	significantly 12:3
135:13 136:15 143:4	separation 26:7 27:2	sexual 4:22,22 19:22	similar 10:4 47:16
143:15 149:7 155:16	31:3 36:6,14 37:15	20:1 23:15 29:4,6,19	70:15 92:11 131:16
157:21,22 165:12	38:6 57:15,16 73:5	30:5 33:7 34:14 45:21	169:14
168:11,18 169:3	118:3 172:21 173:6	60:14,15,18 76:15	simple 83:19 86:21
170:14 172:17 175:12	173:13 174:14 175:1	77:1 84:2 104:6	149:18
184:4 188:5 189:1,3	183:17	106:22 112:10,11,19	Simultaneous 142:7
191:22	separations 35:14,16	127:10 151:12	single 68:2 138:16
seeing 6:11 27:22 35:9	38:4 64:12 169:10	<b>SGTMAJ</b> 101:18 106:19	<b>sir</b> 8:17 22:12 67:6,19
77:17 190:18	174:2	110:9 111:2,14	67:20 68:12,14 81:3
seek 26:8 79:13 161:22	sergeant 2:15,16,19	119:22 124:9 129:3	81:18 89:16 110:9
seemingly 71:21	17:6 100:7,11,15	136:14 140:5 144:18	111:14 159:15 162:18
seen 13:10 27:10 38:13	101:2,18 102:15,16	150:12 157:21 166:5	165:5 166:4 168:12
42:3,15 43:17,19	109:11 131:17 137:18	169:6,15 179:6	169:5
44:13 48:15 53:11	146:7 150:15,21	184:19 190:21	sisters 29:22
71:18 104:4 105:8	152:7 156:5,6 157:1,2	shadow 155:12	<b>sit</b> 14:21 47:11 52:12
110:15 116:14 132:6	158:4,15,16,19,20	<b>Shane</b> 2:14 100:13	65:13 66:4 87:12
135:18 136:11 155:4	159:10,22 160:15	<b>share</b> 60:11 70:15	90:10 117:13 147:4
157:13 166:2 167:8	161:16,20 162:10	120:21 134:1 146:6	164:6
168:19 178:21 183:21	163:2 175:11	161:15 169:11 189:18	site 126:15
184:2	sergeant's 125:18	shared 64:17	<b>sitting</b> 20:19 34:7 51:8
seismic 97:13	156:7	sharing 6:2,17	102:20 146:5 160:22
<b>select</b> 75:12	sergeants 129:7,8,8,9	<b>sharp</b> 157:11	161:2
selected 159:9	163:3	shed 165:13	situation 40:11 67:4
selection 148:8,16	serious 45:21 79:9	shenanigans 142:5	74:5 90:19 139:3
<b>sell</b> 15:17 83:7	89:13 172:19 183:13	152:14	156:19 177:6,9,21
senators 181:22	seriously 97:12	<b>shift</b> 133:10	situational 48:9
send 63:12 67:3 136:21	<b>serve</b> 5:3 76:11 111:12	shifting 134:10	situations 156:22
139:7,9 182:2 184:13	174:18 182:7	<b>shifts</b> 97:13	173:12 174:10 180:11
185:8	served 80:7	<b>ship</b> 78:21 157:16	six 25:21 53:22 102:14
sending 37:5 77:21	<b>service</b> 24:8 28:9 33:12	<b>ships</b> 23:19 155:5	118:22
135:21 148:12	38:7,10,13 39:2,6,22	<b>short</b> 9:2 67:6 137:7	sixteen 129:17
senior 2:2,6,9 3:3 9:15	40:5,15 59:4 62:2	139:22 150:14 162:19	<b>SJA</b> 60:22 67:22 108:17
14:9,22 15:6,10 28:9	64:6 69:1,15 71:17	184:20 186:3	110:8,11 111:10,13
31:9 39:2 44:7 65:4	72:3 73:7 76:10,18	shorter 35:12	161:10 172:4 183:14
69:15 87:2,5 95:14	78:7 79:4,14,22 82:9	shortly 27:9	<b>SJAs</b> 107:12
96:3 98:2,8,16 101:5	82:20 84:16 85:17	<b>shot</b> 175:18	<b>slap</b> 73:2 112:15
102:12 103:21 108:20	86:16 94:12 97:18,19	<b>show</b> 39:10 120:16	slate 8:6
129:9 139:1 150:6	101:5 104:9 114:19	123:9 140:3 173:7	slightly 86:11
151:18 153:3,3,16	117:8 119:3,4 121:22	showed 90:21	slippery 104:17
157:15 158:11 162:12	128:14 134:7 143:11	shown 31:7	<b>small</b> 21:12 29:19 81:9
162:13 163:11 166:21	149:20 169:13 171:7	<b>shows</b> 57:1 89:2 162:14	96:10 105:20 181:9
	I	I	I

П			
	1	1	1
181:10	<b>special</b> 4:21 29:13 35:4	stations 33:2	suggestions 176:20
smaller 11:13 29:10	35:5,8 45:13,19 55:4	statistically 36:20	suite 32:11
106:4 155:5	68:19 110:17 124:21	stats 188:6	summarizes 64:10
smart 128:17	133:10 135:4 183:12	status 63:7 64:21	<b>summary</b> 124:22 127:1
Smith 47:22	191:4	stay 108:2 176:10 181:8	summer 25:9
<b>smooth</b> 11:19	Specialist 2:4	Stayce 2:9	super-structure 177:13
smoothly 55:4,16	specific 16:17 25:11	<b>steep</b> 53:18	177:15
societal 87:20 144:19	27:11 49:4 59:20	step 96:14 134:19	support 103:2 134:20
society 77:22 78:3	67:12 85:4,14	<b>Steve</b> 93:10	171:4
104:7,8 117:7 144:7	specifically 13:4 17:10	Steven 1:15	supporting 83:2 143:7
145:1,21 146:21,21	17:20 26:5 27:5 59:18	sticking 131:10	<b>suppose</b> 46:16
147:1 153:10 178:6,8	121:19 129:14 158:6	sticks 74:15	<b>supposed</b> 65:17 127:22
178:10,13 179:15,16	166:21 171:18 176:17	Stonier 2:20	187:19
179:16 186:9	186:6 188:5	story 125:2	sure 7:20 12:2 22:10
soft 145:5	specified 61:10	straight 89:6	27:8 37:22 40:11
soldier 26:5,17 30:18	spectrum 23:15	strategic 22:21 28:5	43:10 58:9 71:12
31:13 40:14,16 43:6	speed 105:18 168:9	101:20	79:21 93:6 95:4,22
48:5 78:12 82:12 85:4	spend 116:22	streamlining 51:7	96:17 97:8 117:10
90:10,21 91:4 115:12	spending 99:7	54:15	123:3,6 127:20 138:1
115:17 137:21 138:5	spent 152:8	stress 145:14	139:21 144:16 149:6
174:9 176:2,4,5,7,11	spike 25:17,18	stresses 180:11	162:20 165:3 166:15
178:22	<b>squadron</b> 10:16 23:4	strikes 110:6	167:17 169:22 190:18
soldier's 58:13 174:16	103:2 175:14,16	stripped 132:21	surprise 88:8
<b>soldiers</b> 9:6 25:2 41:18	squadrons 28:16	strong 82:17	surveyed 163:10
47:14 86:19 88:18	Stacy 2:2	stronger 121:8	survive 61:11,14
91:15 103:5 133:5	staff 2:3,6,7,9,11 4:7	structured 49:21	suspected 115:12
143:20 163:22 164:16	6:2 23:8 87:5 88:19	struggle 122:15 126:18	suspects 20:22
<b>SOLO</b> 14:9,21	99:20 110:10 112:2	126:20	suspend 82:8
somebody 12:4 44:19	116:21 158:15 172:6	struggled 112:9,18	sustain 141:3
76:22 106:1 111:1,4	184:2 190:5	struggling 142:17,18	Sustaining 140:9
122:20 148:12,21	stage 154:18 155:18	149:22	179:12
167:13,18 171:6	<b>stamps</b> 52:16	<b>strung</b> 115:17	swallow 121:18 171:7
173:3 180:3 181:7	stance 68:4 151:21	Stryker 24:1,21,22	171:18
191:6	stand 41:20 47:6 165:8	102:17	<b>swift</b> 37:9
somebody's 141:21	167:21 176:4	stuff 30:15 110:16	<b>swiftly</b> 109:19
<b>Somers</b> 1:20 152:19	standard 39:20 57:3	125:8,22 134:22	system 4:15 62:2 75:11
somewhat 29:9	90:13 91:1 113:8,8	143:17 144:9,14	76:9 86:14 87:7 94:3
<b>son</b> 141:13,15	128:10 183:6	155:3 162:14 172:13	95:10 99:10 115:18
sons 24:9	standards 88:6,7	187:20	118:17,19 119:6,13
soon 159:4	113:21 131:21 138:17	sub-culture 149:16	121:21 122:3 123:9
sorry 8:17 24:5 36:12	standing 65:11,12,18	subject 38:7	124:8,16 128:7,18
89:14 189:13	126:1	submarine 15:4 23:4,21	131:1 134:17 163:8
sort 128:13 139:3 177:2	standpoint 38:10	subMarines 23:5,10,11	166:7,10,11 167:2
sound 32:4,9 101:16	145:14 147:15	submit 175:14	169:4 171:2,3 172:8
189:9	start 13:15 24:17 71:10	subordinate 8:4 32:7	176:21
sounded 111:3	74:2 79:15 80:12	57:8 92:14,15 93:3	system's 7:8
sounds 66:19 83:15	81:21 83:18 86:9	subset 104:7	<b>systemic</b> 149:9,15
Southern 85:8	95:22 99:5 100:17	substance 88:3 177:1	Т
<b>spaces</b> 50:19 51:2 97:7	103:8,11,18,19 142:3	subtraction 142:15	·
span 25:2	started 150:18 starts 158:17	143:1 150:11 <b>Sucato</b> 101:14	Tab 99:3
speak 7:15 19:5 20:17 21:19 41:12 45:17	state 19:18 26:20 32:5	success 25:20 26:14	table 51:9
72:7 82:3 98:17	32:8	53:20	tactical 28:5 take 11:3,22 20:4 22:1
100:21 107:18 114:22	stated 32:15	successful 7:3 26:3	
167:15	states 5:6,8,10,11,14	77:18	26:19 30:11 31:9 36:18 43:6 48:22
speakers 5:3	10:7 101:15 120:10	suck 146:18	88:15 97:3 98:13
speaking 116:10 136:9	station 112:7 149:16	suggest 181:13	122:22 135:20 137:14
142:7 155:21	station 112.7 149.10	suggested 99:2	140:12,15 142:10
172.7 100.21	<b>Stationed</b> 52.7 115.10	Juggesteu 99.2	140.12,13 142.10
II	•	•	•

153:14 156:17 159:12	telling 73:10 157:17	21:12,13 29:19 39
161:5,12 162:5	158:13,14	42:22 51:3,19 65:2
166:22 185:15 187:13	tempo 50:14,16	72:2 79:20 81:22 8
191:11,15	ten 112:9 131:2 138:13	83:3 87:12,14 88:1
takeaways 93:14	tenant 28:13 29:9	88:13 89:20 91:8
taken 39:11,12 67:17	tend 73:15 157:11	104:1,21 106:12
191:5	tendency 17:21	107:1,6 108:12,13
takes 12:16 13:11 30:9	tenets 16:20	109:5 110:17,20
52:22 87:22 106:4	tenure 18:15,19	114:5 115:20 117:
112:17 115:15 117:3	term 59:9,10 62:6,7	119:3 120:4,19
127:6 141:22 147:7	87:11 112:15 113:21	122:14 123:15 125
173:18 180:2,3 183:3	terms 7:21 9:4 32:16	125:7,13 126:10
183:4	33:4 37:7 39:7 43:20	127:13,18 130:2
talk 8:2 27:8,19 29:8 69:12 75:16 86:10	48:16,21 49:2 56:12 63:6 112:19 113:14	137:2 138:16 140:: 141:8,20 144:2 14
96:22 106:14 114:12	115:5 174:22 175:2	147:14,21 149:5
127:18 129:14,15	179:4	152:11,18 153:14
133:10 139:12 144:10	test 26:19,19 27:2 43:5	155:8,10 156:4,10
154:21 155:15 157:15	150:8	159:6,18 160:4,5,8
158:13,14 159:21,22	testify 37:3	161:5,11 164:3
161:2,17 162:1,7	testimony 93:13,16	168:10,22 170:1
167:12 168:6,7 172:8	thank 4:8,10 5:15,20	171:16,21 172:10,
172:8 181:22 184:12	9:1 11:7,9 12:6 14:3	174:20 177:2 182:
186:9	15:13 22:4 24:8 30:16	183:20 184:18 185
talked 86:12 95:14	33:15 45:12 46:7	186:17,19 187:1,8,
134:8 147:9 148:14	49:11 56:3 58:20,20	188:7 191:5,13,20
172:7	66:6 68:17 70:3 72:10	think 6:18 7:21,22 8
talking 20:19 24:14	74:20 83:10 93:12	9:11,13 10:10,14,1
29:18 45:22 61:19	94:19 95:1,2 96:5,21	13:2,22 16:22 18:1
63:9 72:4 80:9,15	97:22 98:3,13 100:1	22:8 24:16 34:18
88:18 96:10 97:6 103:8 111:10,10	101:17 102:4,14 103:7 110:4 111:6	35:10 37:4,12,17 38:13 39:21 40:4,1
134:13 138:21 152:20	113:13 118:14 127:4	40:17 41:11 42:13
153:11 154:19 159:9	135:6 137:18 155:20	43:8 44:11 46:22 4
160:9 162:3,4 163:4	166:3 169:5 183:8	51:5 52:13,19 53:1
176:16 186:1	184:18 189:15,20	53:14,15,17,18,21
talks 110:16,16	190:20 192:3,6,13	54:1,13,15,19 55:1
tantamount 115:18	thanks 5:19 7:4 10:2	55:17 57:17,22 59:
<b>Tara</b> 1:18	15:15 18:21,22 27:17	61:21 63:10 64:2 6
taught 108:17 163:3	28:1 31:22 44:4 48:11	66:2,15 70:8,10,13
teach 88:20 156:4	54:17 66:13 96:19	71:2,5 72:8 73:15,
teachers 113:7	97:20 98:14 100:2,19	74:4,14 76:9 78:4
teaching 92:3 156:11	114:9 122:11 190:21	79:13 80:2 81:3,7
156:16	theme 176:16	82:6,17 84:1,14 86
team 9:9 40:17 47:17	thereof 145:19	88:4,12 89:17 90:3
48:4 87:13 88:12 91:7	thick 144:22	91:8,15 93:14,16,1
101:8 102:22 107:12	thing 49:1 53:1 58:5 60:17 81:7 84:22	94:4,6 96:9,15 104
107:17 109:3 145:16 157:19 166:15 176:8	95:13 106:13 108:9	105:4 108:1 109:2 112:20 113:16 114
176:9	109:13 111:20 123:14	115:6 116:11 117:
teams 32:20	123:19,20 130:9	118:7 119:2,5,11,1
Technical 2:3	131:7,11 132:19	119:16 122:3,5,12
tell 21:10 40:15 41:15	134:16 142:9,10	122:21 123:2,5,20
51:3 109:17 112:8	147:17 153:18 159:3	124:11 125:15 126
	163:7 167:18 170:13	128:8,12,14,16
123:7 127:14 134:22		-, , -, -
123:7 127:14 134:22 136:17 137:12 141:6	170:14 171:11 188:14	130:18 132:9,11
	170:14 171:11 188:14 <b>things</b> 6:15 13:2,9	130:18 132:9,11 133:18 134:13,18

138:20 143:2,9,11 19 39:11 9 65:20 144:9 147:11 148:9 31:22 82:4 150:5 153:19 154:1 4 88:11 155:20 157:22 158:17 161:14 164:19,22 165:8,18 167:11 12,13 168:4,5 171:22 172:20,21 174:15,19 175:3 177:13,20 0 117:14 178:15,18 181:1 15 125:3 182:12,17 184:3,4,7 188:16 190:18 191:15 thinking 16:1 68:3 6 140:21 137:15 152:22 155:13 4:2 147:5 155:14 188:10 thinks 39:22 40:5 41:19 third 18:2 37:19 131:3 53:14 6:4,10 thirst 64:3 0:4,5,8 thirteen 140:17,19 thought 26:6 67:11 135:2 153:6 159:17 72:10,13 178:2 2 182:3 thoughtful 95:1 192:5 18 185:12 thoughts 75:5 82:13 87:1,8,22 124:2 169:11 173:20 13.20 thousand 12:14 19:14 1.22 8:2 20:2 22:9.16 0.14.19 thousands 155:6 22 18:13 threats 94:6 **three** 15:12 28:16,19 34:18 47:11 53:21 40:4,15 103:1 105:8 108:10 42:13,22 137:7 138:14 164:3 6:22 48:7 178:16 183:20 9 53:12 threshold 57:4 136:4 18,21 throughput 27:13 51:10 9 55:11 tied 121:5 191:22 22 59:19 tier 131:1 64:2 65:3 Tim 101:2 3,10,13 time 6:3 11:4 12:1 15:2 73:15,19 20:5,5,5 23:14 24:12 30:9,12,18,20 31:11 31:3,7 34:22 35:10,12 37:1 ,14 86:5 37:16 43:10 47:4 7 90:3 50:22 51:2,16 52:1,12 4,16,19 52:19,22 89:15 92:9 15 104:13 93:9 96:22 99:7 109:2 100:21 104:18 106:12 16 114:15 112:17 114:6 116:22 1 117:8 117:3,13,19 122:22 5,11,12 137:7 141:22 147:7 3,5,12,14 149:14 150:13 152:8 2,5,20 154:11 156:9,13 15 126:5 162:17 164:2 167:12 167:14 175:4,16,22 179:1 180:2 183:18 13,18 184:8,17 192:4,6 138:13 timelines 56:1 175:7

train 87:1 89:11 96:4 turndowns 42:4 timeliness 12:10.21 understood 43:21 undisciplinary 120:18 13:11,22 20:20 21:21 **trained** 165:9 **turned** 8:20 55:9,15 109:14 training 14:16 31:15 turns 56:11 undisciplined 154:3 114:12 115:6 116:11 92:7,13 94:14 95:21 Twenty-six-year-old undoable 114:7 trajectory 163:6 timely 22:2 114:16 155:7 uneducated 172:18 147:18 transfer 106:6 twice 18:18 unfair 72:5 135:15 times 11:5 18:14 30:4 transferred 117:19 two 23:1,9 34:19 60:13 unfamiliar 18:7 61:2 81:16 89:1 35:14 41:17 63:13 transform 140:17 unfortunate 105:10 69:20 117:18 122:19 transformation 140:9 103:10 117:12 118:1 unfortunately 20:3 122:21 132:15 134:3 141:3 179:13 121:11 144:5 159:18 187:14 142:19 152:5 164:5 transient 52:11 172:7 178:17,21 uniform 113:4 154:20 transition 50:17 178:12 uniformed 112:1 173:3,3 187:4,13 two-day 192:9 timing 33:4 186:9 187:15 **two-part** 19:2 unique 22:13,22 33:3 Timothey 2:16 transitioning 188:18 two-star 102:6 49:20 53:13 102:2 **Timothy** 100:7 transmit 67:11 twosies 152:17 107:15 110:6 tinker 190:19 191:1 transmitted 56:13 58:7 **type** 14:19 29:19 59:11 unit 10:13 11:16,17,18 tips 155:1 transparency 61:22 64:13 77:5,11 84:1,11 12:2,5 17:2,16 19:4 tiresome 151:19 62:4 63:6 64:3 66:2,9 84:21 85:2,17,20 19:13 21:16,17 25:8,9 tissues 186:22 107:10 108:8 118:6 25:13,16 27:20 28:17 69:13 73:14 106:7,9 today 4:11 94:7 95:2,11 106:15 122:15,17 131:3,11 159:3 173:8 29:10 33:13 37:9 64:4 97:1 98:16 99:8 124:4,6,10,14 125:12 **types** 19:3,7 25:12 64:5 81:2 92:1 100:5 143:10 146:16 147:1 126:5 127:6,20 27:21 34:15 103:13 103:14,17 110:6 160:9 187:16 189:21 164:21 165:1 **typical** 149:8 111:5,13 114:20 192:4,6 transparent 62:15,21 typically 32:18 33:14 118:10 119:15 123:17 today's 167:19 62:22 65:5 134:16 48:3 77:13,15 139:1 123:20 124:2 126:8 told 22:8 58:1 67:13 treat 79:6 140:2.13 177:9 134:21 135:5 142:4 81:11 104:3 129:17 treated 128:6 149:16 150:10.16 U tolerated 173:9 tremendous 99:8 152:13 158:12 192:2 toll 106:4 trial 4:21 35:4,5,8 40:15 **U.S** 2:13,14,15,16,16,18 unit's 84:20 ton 110:7 42:5,8 45:13,19 55:5 2:18.19.19.20 32:4 unit-type 182:14 tool 8:11 18:3.7 69:10 61:20 68:19 110:17 **UA** 89:1 **united** 5:6,8,9,11,13 81:20 97:14 132:9 133:11 135:4 183:12 **UCMJ** 19:19 42:2 74:22 10:6 164:10,13,18 172:21 173:7,14 191:4 86:17,20 89:12 units 7:12 11:13 12:20 toolkit 56:2 triggers 175:13 114:15 116:7 117:2 28:13 31:16 32:7,14 **tools** 7:8 8:6,10 9:4,10 trip 105:3 119:16 168:13 173:12 32:20 37:14 46:2 51:2 troops 7:2 9:20 19:5 29:8 38:1 ugliness 186:5 58:10 65:6 93:17 trouble 13:15 129:9 55:19 57:7 76:7 80:15 ultimate 39:2 96:22 106:4 80:22 81:17 90:6 187:10 ultimately 10:17 39:1 unpack 150:13 188:14 94:12 136:11 144:15 truly 128:1 134:12 84:18 **unquote** 147:22 unsurprisingly 25:15 top 84:15 94:9 131:2,2 139:14 145:1 umbrella 145:7 190:13 trust 62:2 76:16 77:3 undermine 166:7 **unusual** 49:19 topic 7:7 59:1 68:22 91:16 124:8 134:17 undermining 166:6,8 updated 114:2 underneath 28:6 145:7 149:7 154:21 166:11 191:16 upwards 26:22 30:11 trusted 162:15 191:11 topics 4:16 15:1 154:9 understand 6:20 9:19 use 29:1 36:14 37:10 total 28:10 47:8 trusting 82:22 46:20 47:10 52:21 46:17 62:7 87:6 115:8 124:17 127:2 138:16 totally 174:21 **try** 10:21 30:13 79:16 72:13 75:3 84:11 85:9 touch 190:19 97:4 108:21 139:6,14 90:5 97:8 106:10 144:15 170:21 173:7 153:2 172:3 175:18 108:22 127:7,21 173:12,13 174:1 **touched** 55:18 tough 10:14,19 106:15 178:5,10 128:13 129:6 130:11 useful 54:3 130:15 132:5 133:22 171:17 trying 6:19 84:13 90:22 uses 132:9 tours 119:9 186:10 123:3 126:20 145:5 usually 32:18 57:2 151:10 155:13,14 town 34:9 145:16 154:4,5 178:4 161:4 164:11 170:12 63:14 95:17 152:1 toxicology 30:10 31:12 181:11 190:10 183:11 187:1,21 TUESDAY 1:7 understanding 6:5 8:5 utilized 91:4 37:4 turn 4:6 5:17 8:19 30:14 track 90:16 113:12 9:4 39:19 40:5 53:2 149:4 152:20 176:12 39:17 45:13 47:4 89:5 67:8 91:13 113:18 89:9 98:12 142:6 114:5 164:14 vacuum 6:11 tracker 34:2 50:4 Tracking 40:13 turnaround 34:21 35:10 understands 40:14 valid 57:20

validating 37:20 value 70:13 90:5 93:17 94:9,11 177:13 values 153:21 177:17 180:14 181:18 vanishingly 181:9 variety 89:7,9 various 14:22 17:11 151:22 171:5 vary 116:3 vast 19:16 21:11 vein 190:22 verbal 56:17 verbally 56:18 versions 74:22 versus 42:7 90:17 148:19 verus 169:12 vessel 12:5 43:16 vessels 33:2 43:14 Vetter 2:10 viable 147:11 victim 52:6 118:19 123:15 127:11 victims 21:1 109:22 121:4 view 38:5 42:1 72:12 viewed 41:18 **violate** 180:13 violation 117:2 violations 114:15 violence 25:14.18 27:7 27:15 29:2 33:8 34:14 56:9 104:6 violent 45:21 virtually 2:22 192:11 visibility 48:6 **visible** 132:3 visibly 132:20 **VMGR-352** 158:19 **volume** 27:14,15 47:13 vouching 176:10 **Vuono** 2:11 W **wagons** 18:14 wait 117:13 118:1 159:4 168:10 waiting 13:18 27:1

wait 117:13 118:1 159:4 168:10 waiting 13:18 27:1 30:12 117:5,11 118:5 118:6 126:1 167:15 waiver 175:15 waivers 175:11 wake 188:2 walk 121:3 147:17 164:9,17 walked 91:12 walking 20:22 21:5,14

121:4 **wall** 177:14 **wand** 117:16 want 5:17,20 10:18,21 30:17 48:21 50:12 53:16 61:2 66:7,15 85:13 86:10 88:16 93:6 95:1 97:3 106:9 106:9 109:3 110:5 116:17 118:1,2 119:3 120:2 121:13 126:3 126:19 127:16 133:20 137:13 142:20 148:3 151:6,7 152:3,6 153:4 154:13 158:20 159:12 163:5 174:13 176:13 176:15 178:1 182:22 182:22 183:7 184:11 189:20,21 190:8 want-to-do- 148:22 wanted 46:16 134:7 143:3 176:2,5 wanting 140:3 149:19 wants 134:16 149:21 war 155:10 179:11 180:9.10 181:17 182:2 186:4 warfighting 25:1 179:10 warranted 26:6 68:15 **Washington** 1:11 19:18 22:15 23:2 32:6,8 102:6,8 115:11 wasn't 60:1,21 85:7 104:10 137:4 161:7 watch 51:16 157:19 **Watching** 186:18 waters 180:9 wave 117:15 way 9:11 17:4 25:21 33:9 44:11 45:19 46:1 48:14 51:21 65:14 66:13 69:2 74:14 75:12,15 88:5 89:10 89:17 90:1 94:8 110:3 119:5 126:22 131:22 133:8 139:7 145:9 146:14,18 152:21 160:2 161:1 165:5 176:8 180:18 183:2 184:14 187:19 ways 31:18 76:8 133:3 149:12 160:18 176:20 180:13 we'll 27:8 83:17 97:3

98:1 109:16 165:16

we're 4:3 6:3,9 7:14

192:8

8:13 18:19 20:10.19 26:3 29:18 30:13 37:22 40:6 42:6,20 43:9 49:9,16,21 50:1 52:9 61:19 62:15 68:12 69:14,14,16 72:4 77:21 80:8,15 83:21 86:17 96:1,10 96:16 97:6 99:6,10,15 99:16 101:14 102:22 103:8 104:7 105:16 106:20,22 108:10,16 113:7 114:3 117:20 118:12 121:19 136:2 136:7,8 137:8 142:17 142:18 144:8 146:15 147:14,19 148:12 152:20 154:19 160:9 163:4 165:8,11,12 167:21 171:8 179:10 179:11 180:9 185:6 187:15 188:11,15,18 we've 8:15 9:2 10:1 13:9 17:5,16,17 19:15 19:19,21,21,22 20:13 25:20 28:20 36:20 42:22 56:5 58:5,12,18 95:4 122:5 125:19 134:8 147:4,12 163:15 172:4 176:12 179:14 weapons 22:21 101:20 week 50:4 120:15 126:10 weeks 32:18 33:5 137:8 140:17.19 weighing 62:20 welcomed 99:19 well- 187:7 went 15:10,18 78:18 81:14 83:19 84:16 85:4 91:7 98:5 125:6 138:5 159:14 191:8 192:15 weren't 129:21,22 Western 28:14 whatnot 109:6 191:6 white 150:2 who've 75:2 148:14 **wiggle** 175:1 willing 168:6,7,7 win 42:14 wing 28:4,4 29:11 101:3 159:2,2 winning 156:21 wish 65:5 119:9

wonder 153:18 wondering 41:22 42:8 153:8 words 145:5 work 24:18 84:15 97:14 99:10,22 101:13 102:5 110:7 120:17 129:2 135:5 140:3 147:20 165:1 180:16 189:22 worked 133:19 135:11 workforce 64:18 128:16 190:10 working 19:6 20:14 50:7,9,19 51:19 99:10 116:17 155:22 165:2 184:14,15 187:19 190:5 works 73:17 106:14 160:11,12 world 23:1 52:8 97:8 186:19 191:12 world's 112:6 worst 167:20 worth 53:16 54:8 126:15 135:22 181:15 worthwhile 52:21 wouldn't 41:1 61:3,14 120:17 173:2 177:20 181:13 wounded 186:6 wow 63:15 **wrap** 30:9 writ 92:4 Writer-Editor 2:3 writing 140:11 **written** 171:3 wrong 89:10 145:2 wrongdoing 70:22 wrote 140:7 **Wynn** 2:21 X

**XOs** 15:4

Y
yard 104:2
yeah 36:5 44:22 45:8
51:5 64:2,20 157:4
167:11 175:21
year 8:1 20:2 25:7,8
26:22 33:6,21,22 34:5
53:16 54:2,16 113:3,3
115:15,19 116:1
117:12 125:14,20

year's 53:16 54:8 year-and-a-half 185:16

185:15

witnesses 37:3

**woman** 82:5

years 28:20 34:19	<b>1997</b> 136:18	9
71:17 93:21 102:14	<b>1999</b> 140:7	
105:8 112:9 113:2	1000 1 10.7	9:00 1:11
116:15 117:12 118:1	2	9:36 4:2
118:22 126:15 128:19	<b>2-year</b> 18:15,19	<b>90</b> 25:15
129:17 135:10 137:20	<b>2,400</b> 28:6	90-minute 4:12
138:14 140:16 141:6	<b>2,600</b> 23:12	90s 144:4
147:20 156:8,9	<b>20</b> 29:6 56:7 71:17	<b>98</b> 3:3
168:20 177:19 183:21	156:8	
<b>Yep</b> 190:21	<b>2007</b> 156:2	
Yob 2:2	<b>2014</b> 150:16	
young 12:14 19:14	<b>2017</b> 150:17	
22:17 81:12 87:1,5	<b>2018</b> 81:7	
88:17,19 90:22 91:12	<b>2024</b> 1:7	
130:10 132:18 146:6	<b>22</b> 20:2 156:9	
150:18,21 152:5	<b>23</b> 1:7 156:9	
153:4,9,12 155:7	<b>26</b> 135:10 177:19	
157:5 188:5,11	<b>28</b> 48:19	
younger 132:13 154:12	<b>2nd</b> 24:1,21,21 102:17	
youngsters 87:1		
youthful 143:5	3	
<u> </u>	<b>3</b> 34:22 99:3	
<u> </u>	<b>3-1</b> 23:4	
<b>Z</b> 1:15	<b>3,700</b> 102:9	
<b>zero</b> 79:17	<b>30</b> 81:14 93:21 113:1	
zero-policy 170:21	<b>303</b> 18:4	
zero-tolerance 170:20	<b>32</b> 137:20	
<b>Zoom</b> 189:6	<b>37</b> 18:16	
0	4	
<b>04</b> 186:10	4 3:2	
<b>06</b> 14:18 15:5 155:14	<b>4,200</b> 103:5	
186:10	<b>4,500</b> 25:1,3 103:5	
<b>09</b> 186:10	<b>40</b> 25:6 28:5	
	<b>446th</b> 28:15	
1	<b>45</b> 26:8,12,13	
<b>1,200</b> 102:1		
<b>1:45</b> 192:9	5	
<b>10</b> 11:14 25:9 26:19	<b>5</b> 34:22	
<b>100</b> 18:18 28:20	<b>50</b> 23:14,19 112:1 131:3	
<b>10th</b> 1:3 4:4	<b>50/50</b> 12:13	
<b>11</b> 101:14		
<b>11:01</b> 98:5	6	
<b>11:14</b> 98:6	<b>6</b> 26:19 34:9,22	
<b>11:15</b> 98:1	<b>60</b> 52:2,8	
<b>12</b> 11:14 26:20 30:11,18	<b>60-plus</b> 140:14	
30:20 31:1 34:9	<b>600</b> 32:12	
<b>12:40</b> 192:15 <b>120</b> 134:4	<b>62nd</b> 28:4 101:3,7	
<b>120</b> 134.4 <b>14</b> 32:7,14	7	
<b>15</b> 39:20 41:18 43:3	<b>7,000</b> 28:10	
56:6 75:18 90:8 91:3	<b>7,000</b> 28.10 <b>700</b> 1:11	
<b>15-6</b> 17:22	<b>75</b> 18:18	
<b>15s</b> 39:18 40:9		
<b>172</b> 24:12	8	
<b>18</b> 20:2	<b>8</b> 34:9	
<b>1800</b> 116:18	<b>89-9</b> 159:1	
<b>19</b> 71:17		
	1	l l

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Open Session

Before: MJRP

Date: 04-23-24

Place: Base Lewis McChord, WA

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &